

David Schied, on behalf of “*Student A*”,

V.

Case No. 10-1045 –  
6<sup>th</sup> Circuit CofA  
09-12374 –  
USDC EDMSD

Notice is hereby given that David Schied, plaintiff in the above named case, hereby appeal to the United States Supreme Court Circuit of the entered by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit in this action on 1/14/11.

By:

David Schied  
*Pro Se*  
PO Box 1378  
Novi, Michigan 48376  
248-946-4016

No.

In The  
Supreme Court of the United States

David Schied,  
*Petitioner*

v.

RONALD WARD, KEN HAMMAN, KIRK HOBSON, PATRICIA MEYER, KAREN  
ELLSWORTH, JESSICA MURRAY, JENNIFER BOUHANA, PATRICIA HAM,  
JOE D. MOSIER, in both their individual and official capacities  
*Respondents*

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari  
From The United States Court of District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan  
And  
United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

**MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE  
WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

David Schied  
*Pro Se*  
PO Box 1378  
Novi, Michigan 48376  
248-946-4016

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT.....	5
JUDGMENT SOUGHT FOR REVIEW.....	9
“ <i>EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES</i> ” AS GROUNDS FOR RELIEF.....	13
RELIEF SOUGHT.....	26
DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL GRAND JURY INVESTIGATION.....	26

## TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES

U.S. Supreme Court Rules, Rule 13(3).....	4
U.S. Supreme Court Rules, Rule 13(5).....	4, 27
U.S. Supreme Court Rules, Rule 30(2).....	4
U.S. Supreme Court Rules, Rule 30(3).....	4
5 U.S.C. § 702.....	5
42 U.S.C. § 1983.....	5
20 U.S.C. §1400(d)(1), §1401(9) and (14); §1403, §1407(b), §1408(b), §1412, §1413, §1415, §1416.....	5
34 U.S.C. §§ 300.34, 300.101, 300.116, 300.220, 300.222, 300.501, 300.556 and 300.600.....	5
28 U.S.C. § 1331.....	5
42 U.S.C. § 1983.....	6
18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).....	6

28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(3).....	6
28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(4).....	6
<i>Ex parte Young</i> , 209 U.S. 123 (1908).....	6-7
<i>Scheuer v. Rhodes</i> , 416 U. S. 232 (1974).....	6, 8
<i>Sterling v. Constantin</i> , 287 U.S. 378 (1932).....	6-7
18, U.S.C., §242.....	7
18, U.S.C., §241.....	7
18, U.S.C., §246.....	7
28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.....	7
18 U.S.C. § 3332.....	7, 26-27
42 U.S.C. § 1988.....	7



WHEREFORE, Plaintiff-Appellant David Schied relies upon

The Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Rule 13(3) states:

*“The time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari runs from the date of entry of the judgment or order sought to be reviewed, and not from the issuance date of the mandate (or its equivalent under local practice).”*

The Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Rule 13(5) also states:

*“For good cause, a Justice may extend the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari for a period not exceeding 60 days. An application to extend the time to file shall set out the basis for jurisdiction in this Court, identify the judgment sought to be reviewed, include a copy of the opinion and any order respecting rehearing, and set out specific reasons why an extension of time is justified. The application must be filed with the Clerk at least 10 days before the date the petition is due, except in extraordinary circumstances. For the time and manner of presenting the application, see Rules 21, 22, 30, and 33.2. An application to extend the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari is not favored.”*

And, Rule 30(2) states:

*“If filed less than 10 days before the specified filing date....such application will not be granted **except in the most extraordinary circumstances**.”* (bold emphasis added)

And, wherefore Rule 30(3) states:

*“An application to extend the time to file petition for Writ of Certiorari...shall be made to an individual Justice and presented and served on all other parties as provided by Rule 22.”*

## JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

Petitioner appeals the final order of dismissal entered January 14, 2011 by the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. Appellant timely filed his “*Notice of Appeal*”, along with his *Motion for Permission to Appeal in Forma Pauperis* and his *Affidavit Accompanying Motion for Permission to Appeal in Forma Pauperis*.

The Court also has jurisdiction under the 5 U.S.C. § 702 (*Right of Review*).

The jurisdictional basis for petitioner’s original 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Complaint is that Respondents, while operating in their individual and official capacities, did intentionally ignore and disregard petitioner’s civil rights and constitutionally protected rights; and did intentionally ignore and disregard the civil rights of underage disabled children for whom the Respondents otherwise had the duty to protect. Authority is thus provided by 20 U.S.C. Chapter 33 §1400(d)(1), §1401(9) and (14), §1403, §1407(b), §1408(b), §1412, §1413, §1415, §1416, under Education of Individuals with Disabilities. Authority is also provided under the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 34 (Education), Sections 300.34, 300.101, 300.116, 300.220, 300.222, 300.501, 300.556 and 300.600. Additionally, federal jurisdiction is held under the Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989 (5 U.S.C. § 2302).

This court has subject matter jurisdiction to consider this Petitioner’s claim of violation of Federally guaranteed unalienable Rights under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which places the U.S. Supreme Court in the position of Jurisdiction over claims of Federal Questions and claims of violation of common law, constitutionally guaranteed and

protected Fundamental Rights, which are also enforced against violation by State actors pursuant to statutory law as well, including but it is not limited to Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983; and; Title 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c) (*Racketeer Influenced and Corrupted Organizations Act*), (hereafter “RICO”).

The jurisdictional basis for petitioner’s appeal relies upon 28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(3) as it provides jurisdiction of the United States with issues involving equal rights of U.S. citizens, involving any right, privilege or immunity secured by the Constitution of the United States or by any Act of Congress, and any redress of a deprivation of those rights under color of any State law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage. 28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(4) additionally provides for the recovery of damages or to secure equitable or other relief under any Act of Congress providing for the protection of civil rights.

The Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court in cases against individuals who are Officers and Officials of the State acting under color of law in regards to State Statue and Constitutional Provisions, and where claims of violations of federally guaranteed Rights challenge the constitutionality of as state law is well established in the history of the District and Federal Courts in the cases of *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908), *Scheuer v. Rhodes*, 416 U. S. 232 (1974), and even more exhaustively in the case of *Sterling v. Constantin*, 287 U.S. 378 (1932)(*infra*).

Petitioner’s original Complaint was submitted along with a “Sworn Affidavit and Complaint” established as part of the official record. That “*crime report*” put the U.S. District Court, the Sixth Circuit Court, and now this U.S. Supreme Court on

notice that the Respondants have committed crimes of Title 18, U.S.C., §242, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW, Title 18, U.S.C. §241, CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS, Title 18, U.S.C., §246, DEPRIVATION OF RELIEF BENEFITS. The Jurisdiction of this Court to issue Orders for remedy by temporary and permanent injunction is well established by the cases of Ex parte Young and Sterling v. Constantin (*supra*). Jurisdiction for Declaratory relief is upheld by the Declaratory Judgment Act, and this case seeks remedies under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

Petitioner has repeatedly notified the United States courts that he relies upon Title 18, U.S.C. § 3771, RIGHT OF CRIME VICTIMS TO REASONABLE PROTECTION FROM THE ACCUSED. Petitioner has also repeatedly reminded these Courts that under Title 18, U.S.C. § 1332 (“Powers and Duties of the Special Grand Jury”) “*It shall be the duty of each such grand jury impaneled within any judicial district to inquire into offenses against the criminal laws of the United States alleged to have been committed within that district*. Such alleged offenses may be brought to the attention of the grand jury by the court or by any attorney appearing on behalf of the United States for the presentation of evidence. Any such attorney receiving information concerning such an alleged offense from any other person shall, if requested by such other person, inform the grand jury of such alleged offense, the identity of such other person, and such attorney’s action or recommendation.”

Petitioner relies upon federal statute 42 U.S.C. § 1988 (*Proceedings in Vindication of Civil Rights*) which maintains the following:

*“(a) Applicability of statutory and common law: The jurisdiction in civil and criminal matters conferred on the district courts by the provisions of titles 13, 24, and 70 of the Revised Statutes for the protection of all persons in the United States in their civil rights, and for their vindication, shall be exercised and enforced in conformity with the laws of the United States, so far as such laws are suitable to carry the same into effect; but in all cases where they are not adapted to the object, or are deficient in the provisions necessary to furnish suitable remedies and punish offenses against law, **the common law**, as **modified and changed by the constitution and statutes of the State wherein the court having jurisdiction of such civil or criminal cause is held**, so far as the same is not inconsistent with the Constitution and laws of the United States, **SHALL** be extended to and govern the said courts in the trial and disposition of the cause, and, if it is of a criminal nature, in the infliction of punishment on the party found guilty.*

In addition to the above jurisdiction of this court given by the RICO and Civil Rights Statutes that vest this Court with jurisdiction over the broad and expansive common law crimes against the Petitioner’s Rights, the matter of “unalienable” Rights under common law are well within the jurisdictional duty of this Court to decide as they:

*“...are of great magnitude, and the thousands of persons interested therein are entitled to protection from the laws and from the courts equally with the owners of all other kinds of property, and the courts having jurisdiction, whether Federal or State, should at all times be open to them, and, where there is no adequate remedy at law, the proper course to protect their rights is by suit in equity in which all interested parties are made defendants.”*

*Ex parte Young*, *supra*, at p. 126

The Jurisdiction of the federal courts to make findings of money damages against the Respondents is well established in *Scheuer v. Rhodes* (*supra*).

## JUDGMENT SOUGHT FOR REVIEW

Sixth Circuit Court judges Danny J. Boggs, Ronald Lee Gilman, and Joseph M. Hood established their ruling in 1/19/11 in claim that despite Plaintiff-Petitioner having filed an 87-page brief on appeal, after having also filed a two and a half inch (2 ½”) thick packet of evidence with his 90-page complaint in the U.S. District Court, and despite Plaintiff-Petitioner having filed proper “*Responses*” to Defendant-Respondents’ numerous motions to dismiss <sup>1</sup>, that the Defendant-Respondents’ motion was somehow more compelling because these judges ruled that “*Schied’s complaint did not contain facts that stated ‘a claim to relief that is plausible’*”. (See “EXHIBIT #1”) Yet two of these three Sixth Circuit Court judges Boggs and Gilman, failed to mention anything in that judgment Order about their making that ruling while Plaintiff-Petitioner had pending “*judicial misconduct*” complaints pending against each of these two federal judges. (See “EXHIBIT #2”)

Note that the Judicial Misconduct Complaints on these judges Danny J. Boggs (Complaint #06-09-90-124) and Ronald Lee Gilman (Complaint #06-09-90-132) were filed by sworn declaration of Petitioner on 9/4/09, fully four months prior to these judges making such a determination; and by assertion of this Petitioner, in prejudicial favor to the government Appellants-Respondents, and in gross disregard for their obligations as judges to emit fair and impartial judicial rulings and

---

<sup>1</sup> Defendant-Respondents’ attorneys, who were being paid on the Defendants’ behalf by the Brighton Area Schools employer through taxpayer funding, first filed a motion to have Petitioner’s Complaint and two-inch thick package of evidence dismissed on the claim that they were never properly “*served*” by this *forma pauperis* litigant. They then filed a motion “*for failure to state a claim*” when the U.S. District Court admitted their own “*dereliction*” in failing to provide proper service to Respondents on Petitioner’s behalf after approving his “*forma pauperis*” application, and after the Court thus compelled the Defendant-Respondents to admit they had actually received the Complaint and supporting materials or be served again by the court through U.S. Marshals.

decisions in regard to previously filed judicial misconduct complaints.<sup>2</sup> While these judges may assert that they have the ability and otherwise do carry out those judicial canons without prejudicial favor, this Petitioner holds evidence that the “*self-policing*” system of State and Federal judges over their “*peer group*” of other judges has gone seriously awry, and this instant case provides just reason for this U.S. Supreme Court to review that question as well as the evidence.

**EXHIBIT #3** is one such example of where Petitioner wrote to the Sixth Circuit Court’s “*Circuit Executive*” Clarence Maddox, on 11/25/09, in complaint of “*Mishandling of Judicial Misconduct Complaint No. 06-09-90141 against Lawrence P. Zatkoff*”. The gist of this complaint was that the Circuit Executive Maddox had assigned this judicial misconduct complaint to “*chief*” Judge Alice Batchelder, against whom Petitioner already had a judicial misconduct complaint filed for failure to properly address the gross negligence of Judge Danny Boggs’ initial failure to address the factual allegations of this judicial misconduct complaint against Judge Zatkoff when he was the previous “*chief*” judge of the Sixth Circuit earlier that year.

**EXHIBIT #4** is another such example of where Petitioner wrote to the Sixth Circuit Court’s “*Circuit Executive*” Clarence Maddox, on 2/13/10, in complaint of “*Assignment of ‘Judicial Misconduct’ Complaint(s) on Judge Patrick J. Duggan (No. 06-10-90009) and on Judge Lawrence P. Zatkoff (No. 06-09-90141) to Chief Judge Alice M. Batchelder for whom [Petitioner] already have an outstanding judicial*

---

<sup>2</sup> It should be noted that despite more than a full year and a half passing since the filing of these judicial misconduct complaints, these two Complaints remain pending and without any sort of resolve from the Sixth Circuit’s “Judicial Council”.

*misconduct Complaint pending (No. 06-09-90117)*. The gist of this Complaint reiterated the previously unanswered letter of complaint previously written on 11/25/09, and offering a reminder about why Judge Batchelder, along with others of the Sixth Circuit, had been named in numerous complaints concerning gross negligence of a “*Motion for Immediate Consideration*” that Petitioner had filed in the Sixth Circuit in 2009 (case No. 08-1879).

The letter referred to as “*Exhibit #3*” reminded this “*Circuit Executive*” Maddox that these judges (of the Sixth Circuit) had all had chosen to disregard that “*motion*” (i.e., refusing to assign a tribunal of judges to consider the motion despite the urgency with which it was submitted), which had included multiple “*Sworn and Notarized Affidavit(s) by a third party proving that a conspiracy of government crimes were continuing to be committed against [Petitioner David Schied] (as well as against the FBI and the People of the United States) by Michigan school district administrators and (and State law enforcement officials).*” The letter also pointed out that Petitioner’s previous complaint (unanswered) was concerning the Maddox’s assignment of Petitioner’s complaint about Danny Bogg’s earlier unsubstantiated dismissals of a complaint on Judge Lawrence P. Zatkoff for that judge’s illegitimate method of systematically dismissing a previous federal case, “*with prejudice*”, which named numerous U.S. Department of Justice employees along with three Sixth Circuit Court judges Martha Daughtrey (Complaint No. 06-08-90058), David McKeague (Complaint No. 06-08-90059) and (Gregory Van Tatenhove (No. 06-08-90060)).



Important to note about these above-referenced complaints is that they all carried a common “pattern” of rulings that were both “*unpublished*” and/or laced with significant “*omissions and misstatements of fact*” and misapplications of the law by an evidential prejudicial “*cherry-picking*” of the laws. Additionally, these newly published judgments were placed into “*fraudulent official rulings*” patterned after the government crimes themselves which comprised Plaintiff-Petitioner’s civil and criminal complaints being summarily “*dismissed*” without consideration of the “*merits*” and by an “*abuse of discretion*” of State and Federal law enforcement. Moreover, these rulings completely ignored Plaintiff-Petitioner’s persistent “Demand(s) for Grand Jury Investigation” submitted on the cover of each document filed with these federal courts; or else they provided rulings directing Plaintiff back to the State and United States “*defendants*” in law enforcement about whom the felony complaints were filed in the State and Federal courts for their failure to provide any sort of remedy to Plaintiff-Petitioner’s persistent crime reports on repeated criminal misdemeanor offenses being committed by the administrations of two school districts, which were submitted along with plenty of evidence of these crimes.

**EXHIBIT #5** is another such example of where Petitioner wrote – well over a year ago on 2/18/10 – to the “*Chief Justice of the United States*” himself, John G. Roberts, Jr., and with no response whatsoever to that Complaint, even as the subject line of the letter underscored the imperativeness of an impartial address of these matters by bolded lettering as follows: “*Complaint on Clarence Maddox,*

*Circuit Executive for the Sixth Circuit Court; and NOTICE OF CORRUPTION in the Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit Court*'. (Bold emphasis added)

Significance about this letter is the FACT that it was received via certified mail on Justice Robert's behalf, by Deborah Mayrenne signing a "*return receipt*" as either his "*agent*" or the agent of James C. Duff, the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Court, to whom this letter was also sent for his personal care of this matter. Also significant is the FACT that this letter also called Chief Justice Roberts' attention to the "*duty*" of the Special Grand Jury under 18 U.S.C. §3332 and the persistent failure on the part of U.S. District Court and Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals judges to provide Petitioner with access to the special grand jury for reporting these government crimes, inclusive of felony "*misprision of felony*" and "obstruction of justice" (and obstruction of grand jury proceedings).

Altogether, the above FACTS, as supported by reasonable evidence of at least a "question of gross negligence" and corruption in government, and most significantly, a "question of credibility" within the ranks of the Federal judiciary itself, serve as the impetus for this Petitioner filing his claim that the above "*background*" to Plaintiff's current troubles meets the criteria for "*extraordinary circumstances*". Given the scope of this instant "*Petition to Appeal*" to the Supreme Court stemming from a case DENIED and dismissed by these very same judges in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and the Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, the "*question of reliability*" of the "*JUDGMENT SOUGHT FOR REVIEW*" is an important reason for Petitioner bringing this case.

**“EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES” AS GROUNDS FOR RELIEF**

In the history of this case, Petitioner was granted “*forma pauperis*” status by both the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit based upon Plaintiff/Appellant/Petitioner’s previous “*Affidavit Accompanying Motion for Permission to Waiver of Fees in Forma Pauperis*” (Form 4) filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division in April 2009 when this instant case was first filed. (See **EXHIBIT A** accompanying Petitioner’s “*Motion for Permission to Appeal in Forma Pauperis*” submitted along with this instant “*Motion to Extend Time To File...*”)

As noted in the Affidavit referenced by Exhibit A, Plaintiff’s *forma pauperis* status was granted, in part, based upon Plaintiff/Appellant/Petitioner’s persistent claims that this instant case involves “*ongoing crimes being perpetrated against the Plaintiff-Appellant by the Defendants-Appellees [which] has led to job loss, loss of the Petitioner’s teaching license, the necessity for Petitioner to enroll full-time into a “Master’s” university program on borrowed federal guaranteed funds, loss of all savings and income, recent high credit card debt, and outstanding balances of medical co-pay amounts accrued over four and a half years associated with the psychological and emotional trauma on the family, and as a result of being CRIME VICTIMS.*”

Though this case has been filed in a civil court, it calls for a Grand Jury investigation and indictments because Petitioner has properly submitted these criminal claims with the Wayne County (Michigan) Sheriff’s Department, the

Northville City Police, and with the Office of the Wayne County Prosecutor only to obtain evidence of their having refused my crime report without “*due process*” of a proper handling of these criminal matters through use of the Michigan *Code of Criminal Procedures*.

In addition, Petitioner has sought “*victims’ relief*”, as guaranteed under the Michigan state Constitution, by requesting access to a criminal grand jury at both the “*State*” level and at the “*United States*” levels.

At the state level it appears that county and state grand juries of citizens have been rendered obsolete by the “*investigative subpoena statute*”. (See Senate Bill 85 codified as MCL 767A1-767A9) According to the *Michigan Criminal Law Annual Journal* (Vol.1, No.1, 2002) that law, otherwise implemented to help in solving “*cold cases*” beginning January 1, 1996, has effectively instead turned Michigan into a state that no longer has a “*standing grand jury system*”. <sup>3</sup>

At the Federal level, Plaintiff/Appellant/Petitioner David Schied has documented his pleas-turned-demands upon judges, courts, and federal prosecutors

---

<sup>3</sup> “Although we do have two very specific “*Grand Jury*” statutes, they are unique creatures of the law, and only utilized rarely, in very special circumstances. MCL 767.3, *et seq.* authorizes the use of a “*one-man grand jury*”- that being a judge. Witnesses can be summoned before a judge to answer questions under oath regarding a particular crime. At the end of the investigation, the judge decides whether to return an indictment. The proceedings are held in secret, and any violation of secrecy can result in criminal punishment being imposed against the violator. This type of grand jury is typically utilized to investigate public corruption cases. MCL 767.7b et. seq. also authorizes a “Multi-County Grand Jury” to be convened solely for the purpose of investigating crimes crossing two county borders. The attorney general, or each participating county prosecutor, must file a motion seeking permission in the Michigan Court of Appeals to convene such a multi-county grand jury. Its membership must consist of not less than 13 nor more than 17 members. Secrecy provisions also govern the multicounty grand jury. These grand juries are most commonly used to investigate drug-dealing organizations, which generally operate in several counties. These statutory provisions are the only ones authorizing a grand jury in the state of Michigan. There are no provisions for a regular, standing grand jury by which normal crimes are to be routinely investigated and reviewed for charging and indictment.” (Citation found at: <http://www.michbar.org/criminal/pdfs/CLJ2002.pdf>)

for access to a federal special grand jury to investigate his criminal allegations against an ever-expanding list of attorneys and their clients as government “agents”, who are creatively committing a variety of felony offenses “*under color of law*” to cover-ups the preceding crimes of their “*peer group*” of other government officials through “*fraud upon the court*”, deprivation of *due process* and other constitutional rights, and through the issuance of grossly misleading and fraudulent judicial “*decisions*” and “*rulings*”.

Evidence of these crimes by government officials is clearly evident by the official documents already on file in the Sixth Circuit Court pertaining to this instant case, and giving the proper background to this cause of action as shown in the accompanying documents referenced below and provided as attachments in documents of support for this instant “*motion*”. Note that these following listed documents provide a proper “*history*” of “*proof*” of a “*conspiracy to commit*” gross negligence and malfeasance by the former Michigan attorney general Mike Cox and his staff of “*assistants*”, as well as numerous county prosecutors and members of Michigan law enforcement, as well as State and Federal judges.

**This evidence listed below therefore further substantiates and reaffirms Petitioner’s persistent claims that criminal allegations are still outstanding, as they have thus far remained unaddressed by either the courts or by State or Federal law enforcement; thus, resulting in further damage on Petitioner’s ability to properly file his Writ of Certiorari in a timely fashion:**

- 1) 6—page cover letter addressed to the “*State of Michigan Attorney Grievance Commission*” (inclusive of an additional 8 pages of separate formal complaints on 8 attorneys) - EXHIBIT #6

- a) Complaint on Plunkett-Cooney, P.C. law firm attorney MICHAEL

WEAVER – This complaint to the AGC lists the numerous court cases in which Petitioner had filed in State and Federal court in which attorney Weaver had also appeared as the defense attorney for SANDRA HARRIS and the LINCOLN CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL DISTRICT while continuously committing felony crimes of “*fraud upon the court*” and “*conspiracy to commit*” other crimes so as to “*cover up*” the crimes of Sandra Harris and other under employ at the Lincoln Consolidated School district committing criminal misdemeanors of placing an erroneous 2003 FBI criminal history report into the district’s public personnel files and proffering that “*nonpublic*” and “*restricted*” document to the public in response to FOIA requests in 2003, in 2006, and again in 2009 at minimum.

- b) Complaint on Oakland County Prosecutor JESSICA COOPER – This is a complaint to the AGC that was submitted along with another supporting document, a “Sworn and Notarized Crime Report” that was addressed to that prosecutor, which outlined the numerous crimes that attorney Michael Weaver was committing within Prosecutor Jessica Cooper’s jurisdiction. While the crime report clearly outlined the numerous counts

of “mail fraud” (as well as “*fraud upon the court*”) being perpetrated by attorney Weaver from the Plunkett-Cooney law firm in Oakland County, another supporting document submitted to the Attorney Grievance Commission memorializes how – after receiving a personal suggestion by Oakland County Circuit Court judge MICHAEL WARREN to contact the prosecutor directly – the prosecutor’s office then worked “constructively” with the local Oakland County Sheriff’s Department and the Bloomfield Hills City Police to deny service to Petitioner on this complaint.

c) Complaint on Keller Thoma, PC law firm “partner” BRUCE BAGDADY –

This complaint to the AGC lists documents that support the allegation that Bagdady is actually partnering along with other attorneys of Gary King, Richard Fanning, Jr., Thomas Fleury Barbara Buchanan, Jennifer Rupert, and others (Kevin Sutton and Susan Koval have left the group) in a “*racketeering and corruption operation*” known as the Keller Thoma, P.C. law firm. One of the documents, a hearing transcript in State court, shows that Bagdady used misstatements and a misleading “*cherry-picking*” of laws to convince Michigan Judge Cynthia Diane Stephens to rule that “Expungements (of criminal history records) are a MYTH” and that “*Schoolteachers should be singled out to hold LIFETIME SENTENCES*” even when over 30 years prior they received a “withdrawal of plea”, a “dismissal of indictment”, and a “set aside of judgment” followed immediately afterwards by a state governor’s declaration of “full

*pardon*” and “*full restoration of civil rights*”, and followed even later by a Texas court Order for the “*expunction*” of all other records related to the initial “*arrest*”.

- d) Other complaints on KELLER THOMA, PC law firm attorneys – GARY KING, RICHARD FANNING JR., (who is also one of the “*Respondents*” listed another ongoing case now also before the U.S. Supreme Court), THOMAS FLEURY, BARBARA BUCHANAN (who is also one of the “*Respondents*” listed as the “*attorney of record*” in that other U.S. Supreme Court case), and JENNIFER RUPERT, each with supporting documents showing what respective roles these “*officers of the court*” played in a broad scale “*judicial conspiracy to defraud State and United States courts and judges*”.

- 2) 4-page cover letter addressed to the State of Michigan Judicial Tenure Commission (inclusive of an additional 10 pages of separate formal complaints on 5 Michigan judges) - EXHIBIT #6

- a) Complaint on 3<sup>rd</sup> Judicial Circuit Court judge JEANNE STEMPIEN <sup>4</sup> –

This complaint provides supporting documents on Petitioner’s allegation that Judge Jeanne Stempien used her judge office to continue providing special treatment for government officers and providing special treatment for government officers and their attorneys by knowingly allowing the

---

<sup>4</sup> **NOTE:** As further evidence shows with the collective documents labeled as “EXHIBIT #7”, Judge Jeanne Stempien was the “Chairperson” of the Judicial Tenure Commission for a series of years, and more importantly in 2008 when the JTC DENIED six (6) of Petitioner’s previously filed complaints on other Michigan judges.



defendants and their attorneys to perpetrate "*fraud upon the court*", even after Petitioner had filed the first of what was eventually to become two (2) motions for this judge to disqualify herself for judicial and criminal misconduct based upon her actions in the court. The available evidence shows that Judge Stempien acted concertedly and in a "*chain pattern*" of corruption to "*cover up*" her own judicial and criminal misconduct by quashing, silencing, disregarding, and dismissing my numerous written motions, affidavits, and oral statements in the courtroom calling continuous attention to the defendants' attorneys and her own unprofessional behaviors. Moreover, she continuously disregarded the underlying "*facts*" serving as the basis of the Complaint, being that for the past 7 1/2 years since 2003, Petitioner and his family have been crime victims of numerous Michigan government officials committing a "*chain*" of misdemeanor and felony crimes. She also disregarded and dismissed all of Petitioner's repeated requests and demands that she do something to assist me in getting a resolve of Petitioner's criminal allegations by facilitating a "*criminal grand jury investigation*" of these crimes.

- b) **Complaint on 3<sup>rd</sup> Judicial Circuit Court judge MURIEL HUGHES** – This complaint contends that Judge Muriel Hughes used her judge office to continue providing special treatment for another woman after being confronted by Petitioner for flagrantly displaying a sorority membership banner over the State flag in the courtroom and filing the first of what

was eventually to become three (3) motions for this judge to disqualify herself for judicial and criminal misconduct based upon her actions in **the court currently handling Petitioner's divorce from his wife**. Judge Hughes acted concertedly and in a "chain pattern" of corruption to "cover up" her own judicial and criminal misconduct by quashing, silencing, disregarding, and dismissing Petitioner's numerous written motions, affidavits, and oral statements in the courtroom calling continuous attention to her unprofessional behaviors. Moreover, she continuously disregarded the underlying basis of the divorce over which she presided by repeatedly disregarding and dismissing Petitioner's numerous claims of being a crime victim and of Petitioner's family being crime victims, by association and by direct retaliation, at the hands of Michigan government officials committing a "chain" of misdemeanor and felony crimes against Petitioner and his family for the past 7 1/2 years since 2003. She also disregarded and dismissed all of Petitioner's repeated requests and demands that she do something to assist me in getting a resolve of my criminal allegations by facilitating a "criminal grand jury investigation", including an investigation of crimes by "*Friend-of-the-Court*" employees.

- c) **Complaint on 3<sup>rd</sup> Judicial Circuit Court “chief” judge VIRGIL SMITH** – Judge Virgil Smith used his judge office to continue providing special treatment for other judges, and providing special treatment for

government officers and their attorneys. Judge Smith knowingly allowed two judges (Hughes and Stempien) to perpetrate "*fraud upon the court*", and he knowingly committed "*misprision of felonies*" by hearing all of the details in front of witnesses and still dismissing Petitioner's complaints that these judges were allowing defendants and their attorneys to continue committing crimes against Petitioner as well as their own "*fraud upon the court*". "Chief" Judge Smith acted concertedly and in a "*chain pattern*" of corruption to "*cover up*" the judicial and criminal misconduct of his "peer group" of other government officials, by quashing, silencing, disregarding, and dismissing Petitioner's numerous written motions, affidavits, and oral statements in the courtroom calling continuous attention to the crimes of government "*actors*". Judge Smith continuously disregarded the underlying "*facts*" serving as the basis of the "*motion*" as well as the Complaints, being that for the past 7 1/2 years since 2003, Petitioner and his family has been crime victims of numerous Michigan government officials committing a "*chain*" of misdemeanor and felony crimes. He also disregarded and dismissed all of Petitioner's repeated requests and demands that he assist in notifying or convening a "*criminal grand jury investigation*" about my report of these crimes.

- d) Complaints on two other judges of Redford Township, also located in Wayne County under the jurisdiction of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Judicial Circuit Court, whose names are JUDGE CHARLOTTE WIRTH and JUDGE KAREN

**KHALIL** – These two separate complaints to the Judicial Tenure Commission reveal that judges Charlotte Wirth and Karen Khalil are working "*in concert*" along with numerous others of the Redford Township, the Redford Police, the District Attorney, the Clerk and Court Administrator, and the County Supervisor..... to intentionally misrepresent the nature of their hearings, and to DEFRAUD public citizens. The "*modus operandi*" of this judge, in collaboration with other local government officials, is to send out police officers to write bogus tickets; then have that very same police officer appear in court in place of the magistrate or judge to "*extort*" money from community citizens expecting to come to court to challenge the police citations. The notices are published in misleading fashion so as to have the public believe they will be appearing before a "*magistrate*" at a "*conference*" with the "*police representative*", where a "*sentencing*" can be expected. Upon arrival, they find instead the police officer dressed in plain clothes impersonating a "*district attorney*" (at a desk in an office adjacent to the judge's bench marked "*district attorney*") who offers "*plea deals*" of lower extortion amounts in exchange for admissions of guilt. Those who refuse to fully cooperate are bullied, intimidated, threatened, and ultimately "*coerced*" to pay or to come back to face one of the two judges.

- 3) **15-page set of “Judicial Misconduct” complaints of six (6) judges that was filed with the Judicial Tenure Commission in 2008, inclusive of complaints**

that were all subsequently DENIED WITHOUT SUPPORTING CAUSE as  
filed against the following judges: <sup>5</sup> - EXHIBIT #7

- a) Washtenaw County Circuit Court – Judge MELINDA MORRIS –  
Complaint No. 08-17406;
- b) Michigan Court of Appeals – Judge DEBORAH A. SERVITTO - Complaint  
No. 08-17407;
- c) Michigan Court of Appeals – Judge KAREN M. FORT HOOD - Complaint  
No. 08-17408;
- d) Michigan Court of Appeals – Judge MARK J. CAVANAGH - Complaint  
No. 08-17409;
- e) Ingham County Circuit Court – “Chief” Judge William E. Collette –  
Complaint No. 08-17410;
- f) Wayne County Circuit Court / (now promoted to Michigan Court of  
Appeals) – Judge CYNTHIA DIANE STEPHENS - Complaint No. 08-  
17411;

The most recent of these crime reports was submitted in a **formal letter of criminal complaint** to BARBARA McQUADE, the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, just this past Tuesday, April 5, 2011 by hand-delivery to Assistant U.S. Attorney Regina McCullough. (See “EXHIBIT D”)

---

<sup>5</sup> NOTE: At the time these judicial misconduct complaints were filed, the “Chairperson” of the Judicial Tenure Commission was Judge JEANNE STEMPIEN, the same judge Petitioner has just recently filed yet another judicial misconduct complaint against, as documented now to the U.S. Supreme Court.

The most recent letter of criminal complaint was submitted to this U.S. Supreme Court as “Exhibit #2” in support of Petitioner’s “*Motion for Application to Appeal in Forma Pauperis*”, referencing numerous supporting documents provided to Assistant U.S. Attorney Regina McCullough for forwarding to U.S. Attorney Barbara McQuade and/or to the “*Criminal Civil Rights Division*” official (thus far unnamed). Note that the subject line heading of that letter reads as follows:

*“Report of large scale conspiracy of multi-tiered government crimes (misdemeanor and felony); Request and/or Demand for access to a federal grand jury; for reporting these crimes (as they occurred individually and collectively) to a federal special grand jury as statutorily provided under 18 U.S.C. § 3332.”*

As a result of these crimes continuing for over seven (7) years, Petitioner’s family and Petitioner have been subject to millions of dollars in added suffering and damages. At the time of filing of this instant “*motion*” with the United States Supreme Court, divorce proceedings are finalizing in State court as the end result of what has become the ultimate destruction of the Petitioner’s “*family*” by these “*government racketeering and corruption*” crimes.

Therefore, based upon the above-related FACTS as supported by the evidence referenced above, as well as other evidence referenced by any or all of the above-referenced court cases pertaining to these ongoing crimes against Petitioner and his family by State and Federal government officials, “*extraordinary circumstances*” exist that constitute “*good reason*” for Petitioner to be in a constant “state of emergency” and unable to complete his “Writ of Certiorari” for the Supreme Court by the statutory deadline. Plaintiff therefore needs the maximum extension

allowable (60 days) under the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Rule 13(5).

### Relief Sought

WHEREFORE, Petitioner David Schied has been unable to find or afford an attorney to do the work of litigating this matter.

WHEREFORE, 18 U.S.C. § 3332 (Powers and duties of the special grand jury) state the following:

*“(a) It shall be the duty of each such grand jury impaneled within any judicial district to inquire into offenses against the criminal laws of the United States alleged to have been committed within that district. Such alleged offenses may be brought to the attention of the grand jury by the court or by any attorney appearing on behalf of the United States for the presentation of evidence. Any such attorney receiving information concerning such an alleged offense from any other person SHALL, if requested by such other person, inform the grand jury of such alleged offense, the identity of such other person, and such attorney’s action or recommendation.”*

WHEREFORE, for good cause, this Court may extend the time prescribed by the Supreme Court Rules or by its own order to perform any act, or may permit an act to be done after that time expires. Petitioner asks that this Motion be granted for good cause, as explained above. Petitioner has only to reiterate that this case involves the intentional criminal acts of numerous government officials.

THEREFORE, Petitioner requests that this Court do as follows:

- (1) Grant this instant motion by issuing an order granting Petitioner the maximum 60 days extension of time to file his Petition for Writ of Certiorari;

(2) Inform the federal special grand jury, under 18 U.S.C. §3332 about the alleged criminal offenses referenced above and through the “*exhibits*” attached to this motion; and while notifying the grand jury of the identity of the person David Schied making these criminal allegations, and thereafter making public the action or recommendation of the judge or attorney making such contact with the grand jury;

(3) Grant such other relief as the Court deems appropriate.

I hereby move for the Court to issue an Order to:

Respectfully submitted,

By: \_\_\_\_\_

DATED: April 7, 2011




## VERIFICATION

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

As the aggrieved party, UCC 1-102(2) Reserving my rights Without Prejudice UCC 1-308, I, David Eugene: from the family of Schied, am pursuing my remedies provided by [the Uniform Commercial Code] UCC 1-305.


This AFFIDAVIT, is subject to postal statutes and under the jurisdiction of the Universal Postal Union. No portion of this affidavit is intended to harass, offend, conspire, intimidate, blackmail, coerce, or cause anxiety, alarm, distress or slander any homo-sapiens or impede any public procedures, All Rights Are Reserved Respectively, without prejudice to any of rights, but not limited to, UCC 1-207, UCC 1-308, MCL 440.1207. Including the First Amendment to The Constitution of the Republic of the united States of America, and to Article One Section Five to The Constitution of the Republic of Michigan 1963 circa. The affiant named herein accepts the officiate of this colorable court oath of office to uphold the constitution, and is hereby accepted for value.

  
David Schied  
Pro Se

Executed on April 11, 2011.

David Schied  
Pro Se  
PO Box 1378  
Novi, Michigan 48376  
248-946-4016  
Email: deschied@yahoo.com

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 11 day of April, 2011.

  
Notary Public, Oakland County, MI acting in Oakland County Michigan.

My Commission Expires: July 23, 2014

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2011, I served the following documents upon the Respondents' attorneys as indicated below, by depositing in the United States Mail with sufficient postage addressed also as follows:

- 1) *Notice of Appeal*
- 2) *Motion to Extend Time to File Writ of Certiorari;*
- 3) *Appendix in Accompaniment of Motion to Extend Time to File Writ of Certiorari;*
- 4) *Appendix in Accompaniment of Motion to Appeal in Forma Pauperis;*
- 5) *Affidavit of Verification in Accompaniment of Motion to Appeal in Forma Pauperis;*
- 6) *Affidavit of Verification in Accompaniment of Motion to Extend Time to File Writ of Certiorari;*
- 7) *Demand for Federal Special Grand Jury Investigation;*
- 8) *Certificate of Service* *for Motion to Extend Time to File Writ of Certiorari;*


and accompanying documents;

Joe D. Mosier (P18021) and  
Roy H. Henley  
**c/o Thrun Law Firm PC**  
2900 West Rd Ste 400  
PO Box 2575  
East Lansing, MI 48826  
517-484-8000  
Representing all named defendants  
except for himself (inclusive of  
Ronald Ward, Ken Hammon,  
Patricia Meyer, Karen Ellsworth,

Scott Lee Mandel  
Foster, Swift, Collins, & Smith  
313 S. Washington Square  
Lansing, MI 48933  
Representing Joe D. Mosier

Jessica Murray, Jennifer Bouhana,  
Patricia Ham)

Respectfully submitted,

By: \_\_\_\_\_

DATED: April 11, 2011

No.

In The  
Supreme Court of the United States

David Schied,  
*Petitioner*

v.

RONALD WARD, KEN HAMMAN, KIRK HOBSON, PATRICIA MEYER, KAREN  
ELLSWORTH, JESSICA MURRAY, JENNIFER BOUHANA, PATRICIA HAM,  
JOE D. MOSIER, in both their individual and official capacities  
*Respondents*

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari  
From The United States Court of District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan  
and  
United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

**APPENDIX OF REFERENCED EXHIBITS**

**IN ACCOMPANIMENT OF  
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

David Schied  
*Pro Se*  
PO Box 1378  
Novi, Michigan 48376  
248-946-4016

## APPENDIX OF REFERENCED EXHIBITS

DESCRIPTION OF ENTRY	DATE	RECORD ENTRY NO.
“UNPUBLISHED” Order of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit denying Petitioner’s appeal from the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan in case No. 10-1176 (3 pages)	1/19/11	1
Letter from Petitioner David Schied to the Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit, regarding “judicial misconduct” complaints on listed judges of the Sixth Circuit in connection with case No. 08-1879. (10 pages)	9/4/09	2
(Unanswered) Letter from Petitioner David Schied to Sixth Circuit Court “Circuit Executive” Clarence Maddox concerning “Mishandling of judicial misconduct complaint No. 06-09-90141 against Lawrence P. Zatkoff”. (2 pages)	11/25/09	3
(Unanswered) Letter from Petitioner David Schied to Sixth Circuit Court “Circuit Executive” Clarence Maddox concerning “Mishandling of judicial misconduct(s) on Judge Patrick J. Duggan (No. 06-10-90009 and on Judge Lawrence P. Zatkoff (NJo. 06-09-90141) to Chief Judge Alice M. Batchelder for whom I already have an outstanding judicial misconduct complaint pending (No. 06-09-90117)”. (2 pages)	2/13/10	4
(Unanswered) Letter from Petitioner David Schied to “Chief Justice” John G. Roberts sent also in care of “James C. Duff, Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts” concerning “Complaint on Clarence Maddox, Circuit Executive for the Sixth Circuit Court; and NOTICE OF CORRUPTION in the Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit Court (3 pages inclusive of a copy of the certified return receipt for mailing)	2/18/10	5

Recently filed cover letter of Complaints, with accompanying “ <i>Submission of five (5) “judicial misconduct complaints on the following Michigan judges: 1) Muriel Hughes; Jeanne Stempien; Virgil Smith; Charlotte Wirth; and Karen Khalil’</i> (9 pages total)	4/9/11	6
Recently filed cover letter of Complaints, with accompanying “Submission of eight (8) attorney misconduct complaints on the following Michigan attorneys: 1) Bruce Bagdady; Gary King; Richard Fanning; Thomas Fleury; Barbara Buchanan; Jennifer Rupert; Michael Weaver; and Jessica Cooper (14 pages total)	4/9/11	7
Cover page and six (6) judicial misconduct complaints previously filed and subsequently DENIED by the Michigan Judicial Tenure Commission with Judge Jeanne Stempien as the “chairperson” in 2008. (15 pages total)	1/2/08	8

# **EXHIBIT #1**

No. 10-1176

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**  
**Jan 19, 2011**  
LEONARD GREEN, Clerk

DAVID SCHIED on behalf of Student A,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

SCOTT SNYDER, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED  
STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
MICHIGAN

O R D E R

Before: KEITH, CLAY, and KETHLEDGE, Circuit Judges.

David Schied, a Michigan citizen, moves for sanctions and a writ of mandamus and appeals pro se a district court order dismissing a complaint he filed. This case has been referred to a panel of the court pursuant to Rule 34(j)(1), Rules of the Sixth Circuit. Upon examination, this panel unanimously agrees that oral argument is not needed. Fed. R. App. P. 34(a).

Schied, who has recently filed a number of actions in both the Michigan state and federal courts, filed this complaint in forma pauperis, purportedly on behalf of his minor son, against a number of defendants. Schied alleged that defendant Snyder, the principal at his son's school, had suspended his son a number of times in retaliation for Schied's involvement of Snyder in some of Schied's other litigation. Schied's attempts to appeal these suspensions and seek an Individual Education Program for his son under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 et seq., were not resolved satisfactorily to Schied. He sued Snyder and the various local, state, and federal officials to whom he complained, alleging that the defendants had engaged in a vast criminal conspiracy to violate his son's rights. The complaint was 223 pages in length, with an additional 88 attachments. The various defendants filed motions to dismiss for failure to state



a claim and motions to strike the complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8. Schied filed responses to these motions, as well as motions of his own. The district court granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim and to strike the complaint for failure to comply with Rule 8, and denied Schied's motions.

Schied has filed an 87-page brief on appeal, as well as his motion for sanctions and a writ of mandamus, with 213 pages of exhibits. Some of the defendants request in their briefs that Schied be sanctioned as a vexatious litigant.

We review the dismissal of a complaint for failure to state a claim under both 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) de novo. *Gunasekera v. Irwin*, 551 F.3d 461, 465-66 (6th Cir. 2009); *Grinter v. Knight*, 532 F.3d 567, 571-72 (6th Cir. 2008). Dismissal for failure to state a claim is proper where the factual allegations in the complaint do not state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face. *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A complaint must contain more than allegations and legal conclusions. *Eidson v. Tenn. Dep't of Children's Servs.*, 510 F.3d 631, 634 (6th Cir. 2007). In this case, de novo review shows that the complaint's factual allegations are insufficient to plausibly support the legal conclusions asserted by Schied.

As Schied has been informed by several courts that have addressed his complaints, private citizens have no authority to initiate criminal prosecutions. *Lopez v. Robinson*, 914 F.2d 486, 494 (4th Cir. 1990); *Cok v. Cosentino*, 876 F.2d 1, 2 (1st Cir. 1989). Therefore, Schied's main claim for relief is clearly without merit.

Moreover, the district court did not abuse its discretion in determining that the complaint in this case violated Rule 8, requiring a short and plain statement of a claim. *Nafziger v. McDermott Int'l, Inc.*, 467 F.3d 514, 519 (6th Cir. 2006). The complaint, over 200 pages in length, and several hundreds of additional pages of exhibits, nowhere explained with sufficient clarity why Schied's dissatisfaction with the resolution of his grievances would lead to the conclusion that defendants were criminally or civilly liable.

Several of the defendants have requested that Schied be sanctioned as a vexatious litigant. We have the authority to prospectively deny Schied in forma pauperis status as a sanction for filing repeated frivolous appeals. *Maxberry v. SEC*, 879 F.2d 222, 224 (6th Cir. 1989). In addition, one who files repeated frivolous complaints may be prohibited from filing further actions unless a magistrate judge certifies that any proposed complaint is not frivolous. *Ortman v. Thomas*, 99 F.3d 807, 811 (6th Cir. 1996). Schied is hereby warned that filing of further appeals claiming a right to criminally prosecute others for perceived transgressions will result in sanctions.

Finally, Schied's lengthy motion for sanctions and a writ of mandamus, in which he cites no authority for either type of relief, but merely restates the legal conclusions set forth in his previous pleadings, is denied.

For all of the above reasons, the district court's order dismissing this complaint is affirmed. Rule 34(j)(2)(C), Rules of the Sixth Circuit.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT



Clerk

# **EXHIBIT #2**

David Schied  
20075 Northville Place Dr. North #3120  
Northville, MI 48167  
248-924-3129  
[dschied@yahoo.com](mailto:dschied@yahoo.com)

9/4/2009

Attn: Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit  
Office of the Circuit Executive – Misconduct Petition  
503 Potter Steward, U.S. Post Office and Courthouse Building  
100 E. Fifth Street  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
FAX: (513) 564-7210

Re: “*Judicial Misconduct*” Complaint(s) on named Judges of the Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit by criminal abuse of office in connection with case No. 08-1879

To Whom It May Concern on the Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit:

Enclosed you will find my Complaint(s) about the following judges:

Chief Judge Alice M. Batchelder  
Senior Judge Damon J. Keith  
Senior Judge Gilbert S. Merritt  
Senior Judge Cornelia G. Kennedy  
Judge Boyce F. Martin, Jr.  
Senior Judge Ralph B. Guy, Jr.  
Senior Judge James L. Ryan  
Judge Danny J. Boggs \*  
Senior Judge Alan E. Norris  
Senior Judge Richard F. Suhrheinrich  
Senior Judge Eugene E. Siler, Jr.  
Senior Judge Martha Craig Daughtrey \*  
Judge Karen Nelson Moore  
Judge R. Guy Cole, Jr.  
Judge Eric L. Clay  
Judge Ronald Lee Gilman  
Judge Julia Smith Gibbons  
Judge John M. Rogers  
Judge Jeffrey S. Sutton  
Judge Deborah L. Cook  
Judge David W. McKeague \*  
Judge Richard Allen Griffin  
Judge Raymond M. Kethledge  
Judge Helene N. White

\* The Complaints on judges Danny Boggs, Martha Daughtrey, and David McKeague are enhanced over and above the rest of these judges.

**NOTE:** To save paper, as well as time, and rather than to copy and paste the same information in repeated fashion into separate documents in design of separate Complaints, each with a “Statement of Facts”, this Petitioner recognizes the inclination of the Judicial Council to consolidate complaints on multiple judges, while still assigning separate case numbers to each judge and while still addressing each case number as a separate “Complaint”. Therefore, except for judges Martha Craig Daughtrey, David W. McKeague, and Danny J. Boggs, the *“content”* of my complaints on each of the above-named judges will be the same or similar. As for judges Martha Craig Daughtrey, David W. McKeague, and Danny J. Boggs, I have additional information included in my Complaints about these individuals.

Attachments to this narrative Complaint are the “Complaint Form” and “Statement of Facts” which I have submitted under penalty of perjury for truthfulness of the facts. Please note that while your form Complaint restricts my statements to only 5 pages, I do not believe that *“official corruption”* or *“patterns”* of official corruption can be encapsulated by description in such minute number of pages. Therefore, I will seek to clarify by this letter a proper interpretation of the “Statement of Facts” as they have been presented in the attached, with the understanding that my seven (7) pages of “Statement of Facts” on the twenty four (24) judges listed above averages to less than one third of a page allocated for each Complaint, and thus is fully compliant with the 5-page limit for each complaint.

The Court of Appeals already has record of a court Order granting issuance of *“forma pauperis”* standing with this Court to show reason why it is an extreme hardship upon my family to provide for the costs of multiple copies of the attached documents in Complaint of TWENTY FOUR judges in the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. The documents being provided as one complete set include the following:

- a) This cover letter interpreting the 7-page “Statement of Facts”;
- b) Formal “Complaint of Judicial Conduct” – tailored in form designed and provided by the Sixth Circuit Court;
- c) 7-page “Statement of Facts” covering all 24 judges;
- d) Notarized “Sworn Affidavit of Earl Hocquard” dated 4/7/09, inclusive of all referenced exhibits of Evidence, as witness to the retaliatory crime perpetuated against me by the district administration and business office management of the Lincoln Consolidated Schools, occurring more recently in 2009;
- e) Notarized “Sworn Affidavit of Earl Hocquard” dated 2/10/09, inclusive of all referenced exhibits of Evidence, as witness to the retaliatory crime perpetuated against me by the district administration of the Northville Public Schools, occurring more recently in 2009;

Please also note that my Judicial Misconduct complaint is not about a *“wrong decision”*, a *“very wrong decision”*, or arguments *“directly related to the merits”* of case or the judge’s stated reasons for their decision for inaction upon my multiple *“motions”*, my civil rights *“appeal”*, and my continual reports about ongoing CRIMES being committed by Michigan government officials. This Complaint is not to call into question the correctness of an official judgment by this *“pool”* of judges. **Though the Complaint(s) does relate to the *“decision”* of these all these Sixth Circuit Judges to not even assign a tribunal to address the issues I have presented to their collective group, my Complaint(s) on these judges goes beyond merely a challenge of the correctness of their decision based on the merits of the case. Instead, my Complaint(s) attacks the propriety of these judges as**

having arrived at this point in time with still no “*affirmative*” action on my case, deciding instead to allow these CRIMES to continue against me in such an illicit manner *and* with an apparent improper motive, given the context and content of this case, of my many “*motions*”, the “Evidence”, the sworn victim “Statements”, and the sworn and notarized “witness statements” that I provided to these judges long ago about these ongoing crimes.

In this case, the evidence of an improper motive lay in the “*context*” in which these judges have continuously delayed the *effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts* resulting in a hindrance and gross “*miscarriage*” of justice. This “*continual delay*” falls within a “PATTERN” of criminal offenses perpetuated by the civil and criminal co-defendants named by the all of the previous State and Federal court cases referenced by this instant Court of Appeals case No. 08-1879, by which a CONSPIRACY is proven to exist by a “meeting of the minds” on a “common design” that maintains the “unity of purpose” of “concealing criminal conduct” and “thwarting government liability” for the actions of other government authorities involved and/or referenced in the evidence about this case.

*"Private persons, jointly engaged with state officials in the prohibited action, are acting 'under color' of law for purposes of the statute. To act 'under color' of law does not require that the accused be an officer of the State. It is enough that he is a willful participant in joint activity with the State or its agents," United States v. Price, 383 U.S. 787, 794 (1966)."*

*"If sufficient allegations appear of the acts of one defendant among the conspirators, causing damage to plaintiff, and the act of the particular defendant was done pursuant to the conspiracy, during its course, in furtherance of the objects of the conspiracy, with the requisite purpose and intent and under color of state law, then all defendants are liable for the acts of the particular defendant under the general principle of agency on which conspiracy is based."* Hoffman v. Halden 268 F.2d 280 (1959)

**My Complaint is about prejudicial conduct by these judges, who have demonstrated an egregious manner of treating me as a litigant, by their “*engaging in conduct outside the performance of their official Court duties*”, and while using their judiciary positions as means for “*aiding and abetting*” in the perpetuation of crimes and covering up for the crimes of others while acting “under color of law”. Their actions, given proper public attention, would therefore lead to a “*substantial and widespread*” lowering of public confidence in the Courts, at least among *reasonable* people.**

I should remind this Judicial Council that these charges, as proven by reason as true, are very serious and that this Sixth Circuit Court’s Judicial Council has a duty to the Constitution to protect the integrity of the courts. Plaintiff reminds this Council that its loyalties are to the People of the United States and not to the self interests of the Bar, or to their “*peer group*” of fellow judges, or to The Bar Plan company of liability insurance. The Plaintiff appreciates that it is difficult for a judge or council of judges to find and determine misconduct against his or her fellow judge(s). Plaintiff-Appellant believes that it is unconstitutional for the judicial system to be self regulating, as this case is evidence as to why self regulation doesn't work since Evidence already submitted to this U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit demonstrates that prior complaints have already been ignored by the State Bar of Michigan, Michigan’s Judicial Tenure Commission, and indeed, the Judicial Council for the Sixth Circuit. Nevertheless, the judiciary zealously defends its self regulation, so it has a DUTY to self-regulation and self-policing. Therefore, **this Council, though presented with a *prima facie* conflict**

of interest, has a duty to protect the public perception of the integrity of this United States Court.

Many preambles, forwards, and prefaces to judicial codes of ethics and responsibility are found to state something effective of the following:

*"The judicial and legal professions' relative autonomy carries special responsibilities of self governance. These professions have the responsibility of assuring the public that its regulations are conceived enforced in the public interest and not in furtherance of parochial or self-interested concerns of their judicial officers. Every lawyer and judge is responsible for observance of the Rules of professional practice. Each should also aid in securing their observance by other lawyers and judges. Neglect of these responsibilities compromises the independence of the judiciary and the public interest which it serves."*

The United States is a government of the people, by the people, and for the people. The judicial system's function is to serve the public by providing a means by which disputes may be resolved and justice may be served. This can only be done in an environment where honesty, integrity, and high moral standards are strictly enforced. The Courts therefore use disciplinary proceedings to protect the courts and the public from the official ministrations of judges and lawyers unfit to conduct legal proceedings in the practice of law.

Bad judges and lawyers hurt good ones. When a lawyer or a judge is allowed to abuse the judicial process for his own personal gain, or to provide gain or cover-up to the gain of others, it taints the image of the court and that of all lawyers and judges. As officers and officials of the court, judges and lawyers must be held to a higher standard of honesty and moral character, not a lower standard. It is therefore in the best interest of all judges and lawyers to determine who is failing to uphold that standard and therefore needs further retraining and knowledgeable support. Any organization that fails to take responsibility to *properly* police itself will eventually lose its autonomy from government regulation. If the courts allow judges and lawyers to use the court's power to abuse the people, the people will eventually find themselves without any further recourse except to rise up with contempt against the courts; to challenge and to strip them of their autocratic authority.

In the case of *ELKINS ET AL. v. UNITED STATES*, 364 U.S. 206, 80 S. Ct. 1437, 4 L. Ed. 2d 1669 the court in speaking about the imperative of judicial integrity stated:

*"In a government of laws...existence of the government will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Our Government is the potent, the omnipresent teacher. For good or for ill, it teaches the whole people by its example. Crime is contagious. **If the Government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for law; it invites every man to become a law unto himself; it invites anarchy.**"*

The twenty four (24) judges named above have not so cleverly exhibited their disdain for ethics and honesty by their persistent decision(s) to continue delaying any sort of address of these crime reports. Their contempt of the Rules of proper judiciary conduct is glaringly obvious by their having intentionally contributed to an ongoing CONSPIRACY TO COVER UP CRIMES against this litigant. **Their "decision(s)", when placed in contrast with the content of my various Complaint and Motion pleadings, serves not to underscore the "merits" of the pleadings themselves, but to underscore these judge's willingness to SUSTAIN and SANCTIFY ONGOING CRIMES against the plaintiff-appellant. The manner in which these judges have blatantly refused to provide any**

sort of **“affirmative action”** on this case is itself demonstrative Evidence of conduct that was willful, deliberate and inexcusable.

In a society where professional attorneys become professional judges and judges go back to being lawyers, it would seem natural for the rule of law and “*justice*” to simply give way to the old idiom, “*You have to go along to get along*”. It is likely that is what has happened in this case. (Note that a ruling by judges Daughtrey, McKeague, and Van Tatenhove served as the basis for a formal Complaint I filed about former U.S. Attorney Stephen Murphy, to whom I had reported federal crimes to a year and a half ago in Detroit, and who thereafter thwarted his duty to prosecute those crimes or to remand the case to a Grand Jury for indictments; and who just shortly afterwards changed careers to become a federal judge for the Eastern District of Michigan.) Judges are not above the law, however. It is illegal to conspire with lawyers and/or other judges to cover up for each other and while simultaneously making a mockery of “*justice*” and the public. All of these judges and U.S. Department of Justice employees have the DUTY to serve the public in the name of the law and the duty to serve justice, not themselves.

**Gross Negligence, Incompetence, and Intentional Malfeasance of Duty is outside the Scope of “Official Judiciary Duty”**

One need not consider the “*merits*” of these judges’ ruling as weighed against the legal arguments to rationalize a willful omission of these judges to even address the Arguments and the Evidence presented by the litigant’s pleadings. Neither does one need to consider the “*merits*” to reasonably prove that these judges’ *continual delay* of plaintiff-appellant’s ““Motion to Expedite’ Appeal for ‘Superintending Control’ and a Finding of ‘Contempt’ Against Defendants””, plaintiff-appellant’s ““Motion to Expedite’ Appeal for Hearing on ‘Motion for Sanctions””, plaintiff-appellant’s ““Motion to Expedite’ Appeal for Hearing on ‘Motion to Expand/Enlarge Record on Appeal””, plaintiff-appellant’s “Motion to Claim and Exercise Constitutional Rights, and Require the Presiding Judges to Rule Upon This Motion for All Public Officers of This Court to Uphold Said Rights”, and plaintiff-appellant’s “Motion to Demand This Court Read All Pleadings Plaintiff Files With This Court, and to Adhere Only to Constitutionally Compliant Law and Case Law, and More Particularly, the Bill of Right, in Its Rulings”.....demonstrated repeated “*decisions*” made with “*prejudicial bias*” toward the government co-defendants and against the plaintiff-appellant as the Petitioner. One need only look at the surface features here, in comparison of the pleadings and the judges’ “*response(s)*” to those pleadings via their decision(s) to continually delay the proceedings and “*justice*” in this case. **The decision to incessantly delay these proceedings, itself follows the same criminal pattern about which the petitioner complains needs to be investigated, and in which the petitioner continually asserts needs to have indictments issued, in order to stop ongoing victimization of the petitioner/plaintiff and his family.**

**This Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals should note that the Rules barring the review of a “*dismissal*” decision that is “*directly related to the merits of the named judges’ decision*” does not preclude a petitioner’s right to have his or her petition considered and granted on the basis that the “*named judges’ decision*” is “*meritless*” given the “*context*” and “*conditions*” under which that decision is derived.** In this case, the “*decision*” of these twenty-four judges follows the “*same pattern*” of criminal behavior (by members of their “*peer group*” of government “*officials*”) about which the Sixth Circuit Court judges were petitioned to review and decide upon *against* their “*peer group*” of other government officials. The crimes they committed in the process of their committing “*gross negligence*” in “*malfeasance*” of their judicial duties in order to “*aid and abet*” their government co-conspirators, does not serve as the proper basis for determining



that the petitioner's allegations against these judges are "*meritless*" or that the petitioner's claims should be dismissed because they are "*directly related to the decision*" of the judges (to "*dismiss*" and/or "*delay*" the Plaintiff-Appellants' claims and case against those other government co-defendants).

Therefore, this Judicial Council should grant the review of this "*Petition*", as well as grant proper sanctions against the above-named judges, for their gross negligence and malfeasance of duty to consider and rule upon the "*merits*" of the pleadings before them, and for their having followed the recurring criminal "*pattern*" of **disregarding the merits and depriving me (Plaintiff/Appellant/Petitioner David Schied) of the actual "*merits*" that I have presented straightforwardly in FACTS, in LAWS, and in EVIDENCE...**as I am now similarly presenting facts, laws, and evidence to this Judicial Council.

**Additional documents that I am sending now along with this Petition include the ones listed below** in support of what I have been continuously claiming for the past nearly six (6) years in State and Federal courts, about my being continuously criminally violated by the co-Defendants/Appellants. Those claims were placed in all of the above-referenced "*Motions*" as well as in my "*Notice of Appeal*" pleadings on the case now on Appeal (No. 08-1879), after my attorney's "*original complaint*" to the U.S. District Court judge Paul D. Borman was also dismissed. Essentially, I have been waiting for these judges for well over a year since first notifying them about these crimes. It has been nearly two years since this case was first filed by my attorney in U.S. District Court.

Nearly immediately after my filing, the tribunal of judges **Martha Craig Daughtrey, David W. McKeague, and Gregory Van Tatenhove** ruled with a dismissal of my "*Petition for Writ of Mandamus*" and my "*Motion for Criminal Grand Jury Investigation*" of these reported CRIMES. (*See "Complaint of Judicial Misconduct"* No's 06-08-0900 58 / 59 / 60). Subsequently, a year later and as recently as 7/13/09, Judge Danny Boggs dismissed that Complaint about those judges. (Again, *see "Complaint of Judicial Misconduct"* No's 06-08-0900 58 / 59 / 60.) despite my inclusion by reference to these the very same support documents I had provided to all the other judges. All of these documents served a substantive PROOF that these crimes were continuing to be committed – repeatedly – against me.

Moreover, the documents I have filed with these judges of the Sixth Circuit have included a plethora of PROOF that the Defendant/Appellants and their attorneys have long been defrauding the various Courts where they have acted in the capacity of "officers". I pointed out that they have even "*defrauded*" the U.S. District Court and the U.S. Court of Appeals by their written pleadings, otherwise submitted under Oath of compliance, inclusive of and at least one falsely sworn "*Affidavit*" of the Northville Public Schools superintendent (identified as co-defendant/appellee LEONARD REZMIERSKI).

**Note that the following list of documents** accompanying my recent "*Petition*" (dated 9/3/09) to the Judicial Council (regarding Judge Danny Bogg's dismissal of my previous "*Judicial Misconduct*" complaint against Daughtrey, McKeague, and Tatenhove) not only help to justify the basis for my claim that Judge Danny Boggs failed to recognize the merits of the Complaints I had filed earlier with the Judicial Council, these following listed documents additionally support the basis of my original "*Judicial Misconduct*" Complaints about Judge Daughtrey, McKeague, and Van Tatenhove. **The documents listed below also provide an additional basis for all of my "*Motions*" being perpetually delayed by the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, as well as the original claims I had filed as the basis for my "*Claim of Appeal*" on the original case in which U.S. District Court**

**Judge Paul Borman (of the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division) had improperly dismissed in 2008 without looking into my attorney's report about these CRIMES being perpetrated by the Defendants.**

- 1) "Sworn (and Notarized) Affidavit of Earl Hocquard" (dated 4/7/09) regarding crimes being committed against me by district administrators and business office personnel of the Lincoln Consolidated Schools;
- 2) "Sworn (and Notarized) Affidavit of Earl Hocquard" (dated 2/10/09) regarding crimes being committed against me by district administrators of the Northville Public Schools.
- 3) Four (4) letters dated 6/9/09, 6/15/09, 6/17/09, and 6/24/09 reflecting correspondence written between the Michigan State Police and me regarding the ongoing criminal offenses being perpetrated against me by the Lincoln Consolidated School District;<sup>1</sup>

In addition, to support the basis of my now six-year effort to report these ongoing crimes to the State and Federal "judiciary", to law "enforcement", and to "prosecutors", I rely minimally upon the following official documents by reference:

- a) "CJIS Information Letter" dated April 6, 2001 – located at the following website: [www.doj.state.wi.us/les/law/docs/20010406\\_infoletter1.doc](http://www.doj.state.wi.us/les/law/docs/20010406_infoletter1.doc)
- b) "National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Resource Materials" published by the U.S. Department of Justice's "Bureau of Justice Statistics" on January 1998 (NCJ 1716771) – located at the following website: [www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pub/pdf/ncppcrm.pdf](http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pub/pdf/ncppcrm.pdf)
- c) Codes of the "Law Enforcement Information Network (LEIN)" dated 5/1/09 as provided in the "Childrens Protective Services Manual" at the Michigan Department of Human Services – located at the following website: [www.mfia.state.mi.us/olmweb/ex/cfp/713-2.pdf](http://www.mfia.state.mi.us/olmweb/ex/cfp/713-2.pdf)
- d) "The Attorney General's Report on Criminal History Background Checks" published in June 2006 by the U.S. Department of Justice's Office of the Attorney General – located at the following website: [www.usdoj.gov/olp/ag\\_bgchecks\\_report.pdf](http://www.usdoj.gov/olp/ag_bgchecks_report.pdf)

## **BASIS OF THIS PETITION**

In short, this "Judicial Misconduct" Complaint about these twenty four (24) Sixth Circuit Court Judges, is based on the FACT that they blatantly and continually refuse to recognize the merits of my various pleadings in form of both "complaint" and in "motions" that I have repeatedly filed with these judges in the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.

The foundation of this Complaint is supported by a documented phone conversation with Roy G. Ford, case manager for the above-referenced Complaint currently on Appeal in the Sixth Circuit Court. In that phone conversation, I inquired about the persistent delay in the processing of my various

---

<sup>1</sup> This Judicial Council for the Sixth Circuit should recognize that the Complaints I have filed, inclusive of my criminal allegations against the Michigan State Police, should otherwise be considered a matter of "whistleblowing" as defined by the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–3733) which allows people who are not affiliated with the government to file actions against federal contractors claiming fraud against the government. In this case, the fraud has long been on the FBI by the Michigan State Police criminally "covering up" the now six (6) year "conversion" of federal government property (i.e., a 2003 erroneous FBI report) to personal use (by Lincoln Consolidated School officials using the document criminally to retaliate against me for filing civil and criminal claims against their former superintendent SANDRA HARRIS and others at that school district).

“*motions*” inclusive of Evidence of recent crimes against me as documented in “*motions*” I filed with the Sixth Circuit Court by sworn and notarized Affidavits by witness Earl Hocquard. The conversation I had with Mr. Ford was documented as having occurred on 8/19/09.

In that conversation, Mr. Ford confirmed that on 5/6/09 all of the above-named judges of the Sixth Circuit received all of the documents I had most recently filed. He stated that he otherwise knows nothing about why my “*Motion to Expedite*” has not yet been ruled upon despite it being over a quarter of a year in passing since my report of these more recent CRIMES. **In answer to my question about what judges might be held accountable for such “*negligence*”, Mr. Ford stated that as of the date of my call, ALL of the judges of the Sixth Circuit court were still maintaining their “*decision*” not to assign any of their judges to my case; and until such time as any judges make a formal “*ruling*” and distribute an “*Order*” on my case, there are, to his knowledge, no judges assigned to my case by the collective group of ALL of these judges.**

In response to my asking if it would helpful if I were to file a new “*Motion*” for the judges to process my “*Motion to Expedite*”, Mr. Roy G. Ford clarified with me that there was nothing whatsoever “he” could or would do about this condition imposed upon me as a crime victim. He stated that he could only confirm that all of the documents that I had previously filed regarding this most recent motion “*were relayed to the judges on 5/6/09*”. **He reiterated that until any particular judges made their selves known as holding the principal accountability for the proceedings in this case, that ALL the judges of the Sixth Circuit were equally accountable for the “*denial of service*” and the persistent “*delay of proceedings*” in my case over the course of this past year and a half since I had first presented these judges with my criminal allegations.**

In support of that claim, I present the following numbered FACTS:

1. The decision to continually DELAY these proceedings serves to discriminate against me by **denying proper “*service*” to me** as Plaintiff-Appellant David Schied;
2. The decision to continually DELAY these proceedings serves to further the perpetuation of reported crimes by **providing “*favorable treatment*” to the Defendant-Appellees** though they are criminals;
3. The decision to continually DELAY these proceedings serves to prejudice this case by continuing the perpetual delay and prevention of an “*effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts*”;
4. The decision to continually DELAY these proceedings serves to perpetuate the familiar pattern of the Co-Defendant-Appellees of **denying full faith and credit to Petitioner’s Texas clemency documents**; and of obstructing Petitioner’s free exercise of Constitutional rights, as otherwise guaranteed by Texas courts and the Texas Governor. It also **reflects and reinforces the pattern of Co-Defendants’ “*exploitation of a vulnerable victim*”**;
5. The decision to continually DELAY these proceedings serves to provide favor to the government Defendants as the “*appellees*” by criminally “*aiding and abetting*” them with continued “*cover*” for their wrongful crimes against me as the “*crime victim*” and civil rights “*litigant*”;
6. The decision to continually DELAY these proceedings serves to **display a willful cover-up of allegations of criminal felony offenses**, inclusive of an offense of “conversion” of government

- property (i.e., an erroneous 2003 FBI report) to personal use (i.e., by public dissemination under the Freedom of Information Act in “*retaliation*” against a former “*whistleblower*” and employee), which itself constitutes felony offenses by the judges;
7. These judges have **displayed a refusal to execute their duty to take immediate action** under both state and federal statutes governing the rights of crime victims;
  8. The decision to continually DELAY these proceedings serves to display the familiar patterns of a government cover-up of **preferential treatment for government peers, an obstruction of justice, and a conspiracy against rights**;
  9. The decision to continually DELAY these proceedings serves to display the familiar pattern of the government Co-Defendants, of **corruptly misleading the public** by continuing to allow their predecessor and colleague judges to set forth *fraudulent* authentication features in what is otherwise the **restricted interstate communication of criminal history identification information**;
  10. The decision to continually DELAY these proceedings serves to display the familiar pattern of the government Co-Defendants, of **continuing to allow their predecessor and colleague judges to corruptly mislead the public by libel, slander, and by trespassing upon Petitioner’s personal and professional reputation**;
  11. The action of these judges **demonstrates their role in a continuum of government racketeering**, not only by their “*meeting of the minds*”, but by their regular meetings about all cases currently under the scope of their review, inclusive of all motions and the Complaint(s) I have filed as Plaintiff-Appellant and Petitioner;

#### **COMPLAINT SPECIFIC TO JUDGE DANNY J. BOGGS**

When provided the opportunity to properly review and “*decide*” upon the “*Judicial Misconduct*” complaints I filed against judges Martha Craig Daughtrey, David W. McKeague, and Gregory Van Tatenhove in 2008, as those complaints were also based upon many of the above-related factual issues and charges, Judge Danny Boggs “*delayed*” his decision and “*memorandum*” for nearly a full year. (**See Judicial Misconduct complaint numbers 06-08—900 58 / 59 / 60**)


When Judge Boggs eventually did formalize his “*Decision*” and “*Memorandum*” on 7/13/09, he “*abused his discretion*” by filing a “*fraudulent official documents*” with the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. His official “*response*” documents ignored all of the arguments that I submitted as supported by evidence and referencing laws and “*Rules*” governing judges’ conduct and the handling of judicial misconduct complaints. Though I had filed in explanation about how my charges of “*misconduct*” went “*beyond a challenge of the correctness based on the merits of the case to attack the propriety of these judges having arrived at their ruling in an illicit manner and with an apparent improper motive*”, **Judge Boggs refused to recognize the merits of that Judicial Misconduct Complaint**. He dismissed my Complaint by writing, without supporting basis, that my complaint was: a) frivolous; b) directly related to the ‘merits’ of the judges’ decision (to dismiss my “*Petition for Writ of Mandamus*” and “*Motion for Criminal Grand Jury*”).

Investigation"); and c) failed to allege conduct or a condition of a judge which is prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts. He added, again without supporting reason, that "The Judicial Council is not a court and has no jurisdiction to review any rulings by a judge".

The basis of my Complaint about Judge Danny J. Boggs is outlined in the accompanying "Petition for Review of Chief Justice's (Danny Boggs') Disposition on Complaint" (on Martha Craig Daughtrey, David W. McKeague, and Gregory Van Tatenhove) which was dated on 9/3/09 and mailed by "certified" U.S. Postal delivery (and Faxed) to the Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit on 9/4/09. That sworn documented is incorporated herein by reference. His actions reflect the "misconduct" described by this "Complaint" form and it's supporting "Statement of Facts" and cover letter.

---

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read rules 1 and 2 of the Rules of the Sixth Circuit Governing Complaint of the Judicial Misconduct or Disability. The statements made in this complaint, as articulated in the 7 pages designated as a concise "Statement of Facts" as seen above and as provided in the accompanying 10 pages of "Interpretation" of those facts, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.



Executed on: 9/4/2009

Attachments:

- "Statement of Facts"
- Form: "Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit Complaint of Judicial Conduct or Disability"

# **EXHIBIT #3**

David Schied  
20075 Northville Place Dr. North #3120  
Northville, MI 48167  
248-924-3129  
[dschied@yahoo.com](mailto:dschied@yahoo.com)

11/25/2009

Attn: Clarence Maddox – Circuit Executive  
Office of the Circuit Executive  
503 Potter Steward, U.S. Post Office and Courthouse Building  
100 E. Fifth Street  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
FAX: (513) 564-7210

Re: Mishandling of Judicial Misconduct Complaint No. 06-09-90141 against Lawrence P. Zatkoff

Mr. Maddox:

On 9/4/09, I wrote to you with a Judicial Misconduct Complaint on Chief Judge Alice M. Batchelder, who I alleged was acting in a conspiracy with other Sixth Circuit Judges to disregard and further delay action on a Motion for Immediate Consideration that I had filed to expedite the rendering of a judgment in a case on appeal in the Sixth Circuit (case No. 08-1879) and in which I had submitted to the Court two **Sworn and Notarized Affidavits by a third party** proving that crimes were continuing to be committed against me (as well as against the FBI and the People of the United States) by Michigan school district administrators. The Judicial Misconduct complaint against Chief Judge Alice M. Batchelder was assigned Judicial Complaint No. 06-09-90-117.

On 11/10/09, I sent to your office (via your secretary Patti Nicely) an addendum to my complaint about Judge Alice M. Batchelder. That 6-page letter of follow up to my original Complaint provided reference to Facts related to Judge Batchelder having more recently participated in the dismissal of my Sixth Circuit Court case (08-1879) without a proper address of either my Motion for Immediate Consideration or other Motions (for Sanctions, for the honoring of my Constitutional rights, my Right to Due Process of having my documents actually read and responded to, and such) that I had filed the previous year. **Those motions, along with my original Complaint (and the Motion for Writ of Mandamus I filed after my Complaint) all pointed to the proof I had that the Defendants in that case had filed a fraudulent Affidavit with the U.S. District Court and were continuing to commit CRIMES against me. Again, Judge Batchelder had acted as party to the dismissal of that Sixth Circuit Claim of Appeal, while again denying me access to a criminal Grand Jury to properly report the crimes I had also been reporting a year earlier (via the Motion for Writ of Mandamus) to Judges Martha Daughtrey, David McKeague, and Gregory Van Tatenhove.**

On 9/14/09, I wrote to you with a complaint on Judge Lawrence P. Zatkoff. Specifically, I had stated that Judge Zatkoff had committed crimes of conspiracy against me when striking evidence I had submitted of other crimes committed against me by other Sixth Circuit Court judges Martha Daughtrey, David McKeague, and Gregory Van Tatenhove when he dismissed my case against these three judges and other U.S. Department of Justice employees acting in a **conspiracy to deprive me of access to any**

**sort of a Federal Grand Jury.** The cases referenced were listed in my judicial complaints as docket numbers 08-14944, 08-1895 and 08-1879.

Yet despite that your office had assigned my Complaint against Judge Batchelder a number earlier in sequence to my subsequent Complaint about Judge Zatkoff, you have – by written indication of your letter to me dated 11/12/09 – now assigned to Judge Batchelder my Complaint about Judge Zatkoff. Your recent letter now cites Rules 3(a)(2) and 8(b) as your justification for forwarding my Complaint to a judge already cited by me for her Judicial Misconduct and her participation in a corruptive scheme to allow these crimes to continue unabated against me and against the FBI and the People of the United States. I see your action as using “color of law” as the basis for a “set up” for again having my Complaint invalidated and dismissed, and thus once again depriving me of my right to justice by your own participation in this “*chain conspiracy*” of “*miscarriage of justice*”.

I see your action as an intentional dereliction of your duty to provide fairness in the review of Judicial Complaints; and unless this clear “*conflict of interest*” is rectified, I will be filing action against you personally for criminal racketeering and corruption by your manipulation of the judicial system of “*self-policing*” of complaints about judges in the Sixth Circuit Court.

Respectively,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David L. Kline". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "David" being more prominent and the last name "Kline" following in a similar style.



# **EXHIBIT #4**

David Schied  
20075 Northville Place Dr. North #3120  
Northville, MI 48167  
248-924-3129  
[dschied@yahoo.com](mailto:dschied@yahoo.com)

2/13/2010

Attn: Clarence Maddox  
Office of the Circuit Executive  
503 Potter Steward, U.S. Post Office and Courthouse Building  
100 E. Fifth Street  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
FAX: (513) 564-7210

Re: Assignment of “*Judicial Misconduct*” Complaint(s) on Judge Patrick J. Duggan (No. 06-10-90009) and on Judge Lawrence P. Zatkoff (No. 06-09-90141) to Chief Judge Alice M. Batchelder for whom I already have an outstanding judicial misconduct Complaint pending (No. 06-09-90117)

Mr. Maddox:

On 11/25/09, I wrote you a letter regarding the “*Mishandling of Judicial Misconduct Complaint No. 06-09-90141 against Lawrence P. Zatkoff*”. The letter of complaint that I wrote then was in specific protest to the fact that you had assigned a review of my Complaint about Judge Zatkoff to “*chief judge*” Batchelder in spite of the fact that I had filed a previous complaint on Judge Batchelder (No. 06-09-90117). My letter of 11/25/09 stated as follows:

*“Your recent letter now cites Rules 3(a)(2) and 8(b) as your justification for forwarding my Complaint to a judge already cited by me for her Judicial Misconduct and her participation in a corruptive scheme to allow these crimes to continue unabated against me and against the FBI and the People of the United States. I see your action as using ‘color of law’ as the basis for a ‘set up’ for again having my Complaint invalidated and dismissed, and thus once again depriving me of my right to justice by your own participation in this ‘chain conspiracy’ of ‘miscarriage of justice’.....I see your action as an intentional dereliction of your duty to provide fairness in the review of Judicial Complaints; and unless this clear ‘conflict of interest’ is rectified, I will be filing action against you personally for criminal racketeering and corruption by your manipulation of the judicial system of ‘self-policing’ of complaints about judges in the Sixth Circuit Court.”*

As you should recall, my complaint about Judge Batchelder then was that she had been acting in a conspiracy with other Sixth Circuit Court judges to further delay action on a “*Motion for Immediate Consideration*” that I had filed to expedite the rendering of a judgment in a case on appeal in the Sixth Circuit (case No. 08-1879), in which **I had submitted to the Court two “*Sworn and Notarized Affidavit(s)*” by a third party proving that a conspiracy of government crimes were continuing to be committed**

**against me (as well as against the FBI and the People of the United States) by Michigan school district administrators (and State law enforcement officials).**

My letter to you went on to state,

*“On 11/10/09, I sent to your office (via your secretary Patti Nicely) an addendum to my complaint about Judge Alice M. Batchelder. That 6-page letter of follow up to my original Complaint provided reference to Facts related to Judge Batchelder having more recently participated in the dismissal of my Sixth Circuit Court case (08-1879) without a proper address of either my Motion for Immediate Consideration or other Motions (for Sanctions, for the honoring of my Constitutional rights, my Right to Due Process of having my documents actually read and responded to, and such) that I had filed the previous year. **Those motions, along with my original Complaint (and the Motion for Writ of Mandamus I filed after my Complaint) all pointed to the proof that the Defendants in that case had filed a fraudulent Affidavit with the U.S. District Court and were continuing to commit CRIMES against me. Again, Judge Batchelder had acted as party to the dismissal of that Sixth Circuit ‘Claim of Appeal’, while again denying me access to a criminal **Grand Jury** to properly report the crimes I had also been reporting a year earlier (via the Motion for Writ of Mandamus) to Judges Martha Daughtrey, David McKeague, and Gregory Van Tatenhove.”***

Yet despite my earlier notice and warning to you, you have now “sent” yet another of my “*Judicial Misconduct*” complaints to Judge Batchelder for review and final judgment. This more recent complaint concerns Judge Patrick J. Duggan (No. 06-10-90009). I should point out that the action you have taken, of sending yet another judicial misconduct complaint to Judge Alice Batchelder, follows Judge Batchelder’s previous “dismissal” of three other “*Judicial Misconduct*” complaints that I had filed on Judges Martha Daughtrey (No. 06-08-90058), David McKeague (No. 06-08-90059), and Gregory Van Tatenhove (No. 06-08-90060) after those three judges had dismissed my “*Petition for Writ of Mandamus*” for issuance of an Order commanding law enforcement to do their jobs in stopping the crimes occurring against me and against the United States **(by the unauthorized public dissemination by two Michigan school districts, of an erroneous 2003 FBI report and a 2004 Texas court “Order of Expunction” of criminal history records related to a single teen “arrest” in 1977)**. You are aware that the dismissal by Judge Batchelder, in re-review of Judge Danny Boggs’ earlier dismissal of my complaints on those other three judges (Daughtrey, McKeague, and Van Tatenhove), came just recently on 1/19/2010.

**You are well aware that my complaint about Judge Boggs’ dismissal, as now about Batchelder’s dismissal and indeed, the dismissal of my “*Petition for Writ of Mandamus*” by judges Daughtrey, McKeague, and Van Tatenhove, included a dismissal of my repeated demands for the convening of a **Grand Jury** or a Special **Grand Jury**. These were demands that my formally “sworn and notarized” CRIME REPORTS about government corruption be properly forwarded to a federal **Grand Jury** for review, since I had reminded the Court of the Special **Grand Jury**’s statutory “DUTY [under 18 U.S.C. §3332(a)] of each such grand jury impaneled within any judicial district to inquire into offenses against the criminal laws of the United States alleged to have been committed within that district”. I reminded all**

of those judges that the refusal to “answer” the Grand Jury’s inquiry (despite having clear knowledge and possession of my “*sworn and notarized criminal complaint*” and my REQUEST for that Grand Jury to be notified) constitutes not only “misprision of felony” and “aiding and abetting” in the commission of crimes after the fact, but also felony “Interference with Grand Jury proceedings” and a felony “Obstruction of Justice”.

You should be informed now that after all I have described above, for you to be forwarding yet another “*judicial misconduct*” complaint to Judge Alice Batchelder for yet another review and prejudicial “*dismissal*” of my complaint about Judge Patrick J. Duggan is not only unethical. It clearly constitutes yet another instant of severe “*impediment to the administration of justice*” in the United States Court for the Sixth Circuit.

In reiterating what I provided already at the beginning of this letter, I had ended my last letter to you on 11/25/09 with the following:

*“I see your action as an intentional dereliction of your duty to provide fairness in the review of Judicial Complaints; and unless this clear ‘conflict of interest’ is rectified, I will be filing action against you personally for criminal racketeering and corruption by your manipulation of the judicial system of ‘self-policing’ of complaints about judges in the Sixth Circuit Court.”*

You therefore have 10 days to appropriately respond to this “*grievance*” letter before further action is taken by me against you personally, for your violation of ethical standards and “*duties*” as set forth under Title 28 U.S.C. § 332. You should note that any future action I take against you will also demand access to, and a full accounting of the truthfulness of your entries into record of the annual “*Report to the Administrative Office of the United States Courts*” (required from the circuit courts by January 31<sup>st</sup> of each year) which are supposed to be truthfully outlining “*the number and NATURE of orders entered...during the preceding calendar year that relate to judicial misconduct*”. I am fully aware that fraudulence of that filing to the Judicial Conference of the United States constitutes a resulting impact of *fraud* also upon Congress.

Respectively,



# **EXHIBIT #5**

David Schied  
20075 Northville Place Dr. North #3120  
Northville, MI 48167  
248-924-3129  
[dschied@yahoo.com](mailto:dschied@yahoo.com)

2/18/2010

Attn: John G. Roberts, Jr. – Chief Justice of the United States  
c/o James C. Duff – Director, Administrative Office of the United States Courts  
One Columbus Circle NE  
Washington, DC 20544

Re: Complaint on Clarence Maddox, Circuit Executive for the Sixth Circuit Court; and NOTICE OF CORRUPTION in the Judicial Council of the Sixth Circuit Court

Dear Chief Justice John Roberts,

I have enclosed a copy of a recent letter I wrote to Clarence Maddox in complaint of repeated derelict actions that he has taken in regards to numerous “*judicial misconduct*” complaints I have filed against the judges of the Sixth Circuit, submitted in detail and with a plethora of supporting evidence.

The basis of my Judicial Misconduct complaints are simple: for the past six (6) years many of my civil and constitutional rights, including my rights to equal criminal protection and my victims’ rights, have been completely disregarded while local school district administrators freely disseminate to the public – under the Freedom of Information Act – copies of an erroneous 2003 FBI criminal history report and numerous three-decade old clemency documents (set aside, pardon, and expungement) I provided to these school district officials in exercise of my right in 2003 and 2004 to successfully “*challenge and correct*” the accuracy of the reports being propagated by the FBI in 2003 and in 2004. The actions of the Michigan school district officials constitute criminal “*theft and conversion of government property*”, a violation against the United States government as well as a criminal violation of my rights under numerous state and federal laws.

Nevertheless, despite being notified about all this and being provided even sworn and notarized witness testimony from multiple recipients of all these documents received illegally by FOIA request, the U.S. District Court judges and the judges of the Sixth Circuit Court continue to allow these government crimes to occur unabated. They also refuse my repeated requests that a federal **Grand Jury** or a Special **Grand Jury** be convened, or at least notified about these government crimes, as it is the DUTY of the Special **Grand Jury**, under 18 U.S.C. §3332(a), to “*inquire about offenses against the criminal laws of the United States alleged to have been committed within that district*”. I construe these judges’ refusals as not only a “misprision of felony” but also an “obstruction of justice” by interference with the proceedings of the special **grand jury**.

My multiple and ongoing State and Federal cases continue to be dismissed without litigation on the factual merits of my claims; and while both State and Federal prosecutors also refuse to address my combined reference to laws, specific allegations against specific individuals, and the

specific Evidence of these crimes being committed. Moreover, they completely disregard the facts and evidence showing that local and State law enforcement and prosecutors, themselves, have been feloniously perjuring and mischaracterizing my crime reports, submitted to them by me personally with multiple copies of all of the above. This goes so far beyond “*miscarriage of justice*” to “JUDICIAL CORRUPTION” as to be nearly unbelievable. Yet the proof is all in the court records, and in my complaints about the judges of the Sixth Circuit, most significantly including the former and current “*chief judges*” Danny Boggs and Alice Batchelder.

**I wish you, Chief Justice John Roberts, as well as the Director of Administration, James C. Duff, and the body of the United States Supreme Court, to ALL be put on formal notice about these treasonous actions going on in the judicial offices of the Eastern District of Michigan and in the Sixth Circuit.** I also wish to receive back from you, in a timely fashion, your personal written response to this letter, which is being written as my Complaint directly to you as the “*chief administrative officer*” and the spokesperson for the judicial branch of the United States; and therefore as the supervisory official ultimately responsible to the people of the United States for the performance of James Duff as the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Daniel Chip". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Daniel" written in a larger, more prominent script than the last name "Chip".

## SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

ATTN: John G. Roberts, Jr. - Chief Justice  
of the U.S.  
c/o James C. Duff - Director  
Administrative Office of the United States  
Courts  
One Columbus Circle, N.E.  
Washington, DC 20544

2. Article Number

(Transfer from service label)

7009 2250 0002 2103 6106

## COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

x Deborah Mayronne

☒ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

DEBORAH MAYRONNE

C. Date of Delivery

2/25/10

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ No

3. Service Type

☒ Certified Mail☐ Express Mail☐ Registered☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise☐ Insured Mail☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)

☐ Yes



# **EXHIBIT #6**

David Schied  
P.O. Box 1378  
Novi, MI 48376  
248-946-4016  
(no phone calls please; email or in-person conferences only)

Delivery of this document was  
made via certified mail, return  
receipt requested  
7011 0470 0000 4054 4106

4/9/2011

State of Michigan Judicial Tenure Commission  
3034 W. Grand Blvd., Ste. 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

Re: Submission of five (5) “judicial misconduct” complaints on the following judges: 1) Muriel Hughes (P36362); 2) Jeanne Stempien (P31381); 3) Judge Virgil Smith (P20714); 4) Charlotte Wirth (P30727); 5) Karen Khalil (P41981)

To Whom It May Concern:

**Accompanying this cover letter are five (5) completed “*Request for Investigation*” forms outlining separate complaints on the above-named Michigan judges, all of whom I hereby allege are engaging in the commission of crimes against the sovereign People of this Michigan republic.**

**\*Note that these latest four judicial misconduct complaints come to you in the aftermath of my having reported to your agency crimes being committed by other judges in 2008 while Judge Jeanne Stempien was the “*Chairperson*” for the Judicial Tenure Commission. Those previous complaints, which were all DENIED by your agency without any supporting cause, were on the judges of: a) Melinda Morris (Washtenaw County Circuit Court); William Collette (“chief” judge of Ingham County Circuit Court); Cynthia Diane Stephens (former Wayne County Circuit Court judge promoted to the Michigan Court of Appeals); Deborah Servitto (Michigan Court of Appeals); Karen Fort Hood (Michigan Court of Appeals); and Mark Cavanagh (Court of Appeals). You should also note that the only reason why I have not filed additional judicial misconduct complaints on Court of Appeals judges Pat M. Donofrio, on Donald S. Owens, and Richard Bandstra (formerly Court of Appeals), is because their gross negligence and criminal malfeasance, coupled with the crimes of their predecessors and cohorts in Michigan government corruption, has impacted my life to such extent that I simply have not had the time and opportunity to complete that such tasks. My criminal complaints regarding their activities against me however are well-documented in the court records of an Ingham County Circuit Court case that went before them in 2008 which you can look up as David Schied v. State of Michigan, et. al, which began in Ingham County in the courtroom of William Collette and subsequently went before Bandstra, Owens, and Donofrio at the Court of Appeals.**

**Regarding the first complaint on Judge Muriel Hughes:**

You should note that in support of the two copies of the “Request for Investigation Form”, I am sending the following:

- a) 1-copy (13 pages) “*3<sup>rd</sup> Motion for Judge Muriel Hughes to Disqualify Herself Based Upon Abuse of Judicial Discretion, Extreme Prejudicial Bias Against Men and in Favor of Women, and Based Upon Judicial and Criminal Misconduct*”. (I am sending only one copy of this document because this is still an ongoing “divorce” case and Judge Hughes still has an original of this document in her possession for which is still pending a ruling as scheduled for hearing on 4/15/11.)
- b) 1-copy of (51 pages) “*Plaintiff’s Affidavit of Complete Procedural History in Support of Plaintiff’s 3<sup>rd</sup> Motion for Judge Muriel Hughes to Disqualify Herself Based Upon Abuse of Judicial Discretion, Extreme Prejudicial Bias Against Men and in Favor of Women, and Based Upon Judicial and Criminal Misconduct*”. (I am sending only one copy of this document because this is still an ongoing “divorce” case and Judge Hughes still has an original of this document in her possession for which is still pending a ruling as scheduled for hearing on 4/15/11.)
- c) 2-copies of “*Sworn and Notarized Affidavit by David Schied for the Authenticity and Truthfulness of the Attached Document of ‘Attesting Statement of Verification and Agreement to Severance of Attorney-Client Contract for Divorce’, Submitted in Evidence of Federal Offenses of Criminal ‘Extortion’ and Tortuous ‘Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law’*” (13 pages total in one copy inclusive of the two page Affidavit and the 11 pages of the “Attesting Statement of Verification...”) Note that Judge Hughes already has both of these documents, as they have been previously submitted but completely dismissed by her in previous months of proceedings.
- d) 2-copies of “*Affidavit of Court-Watchers As to Occurrences in Wayne County Circuit Court on 3/10/11*” (17 pages in total)

**Regarding the second complaint on Judge Jeanne Stempien:**

You should note that in support of the two copies of the “Request for Investigation Form”, I am sending the following:

- 1-copy (63 pages) of the “Sworn and Notarized Affidavit of David Schied Regarding The Procedural History of the Above-Referenced Civil Court Case”. (The case in reference is Schied v. Leonard Rezmierwki; David Bolitho; Katy Doerr-Parker; Northville Public Schools Board of Education; James Hines; Larry Crider; Warren Evans; Benny N. Napoleon; Wayne County Sheriff’s Department; Robert Donaldson; Maria Miller; James D. Gonzales; Kym Worthy; Office of the Wayne County Prosecutor; and DOES 1-30”) NOTE: I am not sending two copies of this document because Judge Stempien still has the original of this document in her possession as it was presented for hearing on March 25<sup>th</sup> and to date I have still not received her ruling in that matter of “*Motion for Summary Dismissal*” of the “*Northville Public Schools Defendants*”.

**NOTE ALSO:** The only reason why I have not filed attorney misconduct complaints on Michigan attorneys Robert Donaldson, Maria Miller, James D. Gonzales, and Kym Worthy, as well as attorney Joseph G. Rogalski who defended these defendants in Court, is because the gross negligence and criminal malfeasance of these law enforcement officials, as well as the gross negligence and criminal malfeasance of Judge Jeanne Stempien, have

**coupled with the crimes of their predecessors and cohorts in Michigan government corruption, to impact my life to such extent that I simply have not had the time and opportunity to complete that such tasks. My criminal complaints regarding their activities against me however are well-documented in the court records of an Wayne County Circuit Court case referenced by the “Sworn and Notarized Affidavit....” of which you are now in possession by this judicial misconduct complaint on Judge Stempien.**

**Regarding the third complaint on Wayne County Circuit Court “chief” Judge Virgil Smith:**

You should note that in support of the two copies of the “*Request for Investigation Form*”, I am sending the following:

- 2-copies of “*Affidavit of Court-Watchers as to Occurrences in Wayne County Circuit Court on 12/17/10*” (10 pages in total) with testimony by “*reasonable citizens*” that Judge Virgil Smith appeared to be committing crimes of treason from the bench.

**Regarding the fourth fifty complaints on the 17<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court judges Charlotte Wirth and Karen Khalil in Redford Township:**

You should note that in support of the two copies of the “*Request for Investigation Form(s)*”, I am sending for EACH of these two judges (for a total of 4 forms, two for the JTC and one each for the two judges), I am also sending the following in two copies (one set for the JTC and the other set for the two judges to share since they are together the ONLY judges operating this 17<sup>th</sup> District Court):

- a) My letter dated 10/26/10 to “Chief” Greenstein and “Captain” Foldi of the Redford Police Department as criminal co-conspirators in crimes against the People;
- b) The reply letter of Cptn. James Foldi dated 11/1/10;
- c) Fraudulent “Notice to Appear” before a “*magistrate*” in the 17<sup>th</sup> District Court and containing a fraudulent Michigan State Bar number of P-04444, as evidence of mass fraud upon the public by the judges and the governing Township of Redford;
- d) Results of a Michigan State Bar search on bar number P04444 as yielding “no results”;
- e) My letter to “Chief” Brian Greenstein in complaint of a conspiracy to defraud the public, and describing criminal “extortion” by his officer D. Gregg, ID #RT14;
- f) My letter to Judge Karen Khalil (misspelled “Khaul”) in “Report of corruption and racketeering by law enforcement fraudulently acting on behalf of the Court to extort money from the public” (which was completely unanswered by Judge Khalil);
- g) “Notice to Appear” before Judge Charlotte L. Wirth, with her bar number referenced as P-30727.

Please send me an acknowledgment letter right away with your assignment of judicial complaint numbers on each of the five complaints on the judges I have listed above. I’ll hope that you send that right away, and hope as well that you do not take the usual year or two to decide how, when and why to investigate these separate complaints.

Respectively,



Cc:

- Wayne County Sovereign Jural Assembly
- Oakland County Sovereign Jural Assembly
- Michigan Jural Sovereign Assembly
- Dr. William Kauffman, retired UM Professor of Engineering; whistleblower on treason and national security violations by UM President and Board of Regents (attorneys)
- Bill Proctor – Investigative Reporter for WXYZ; founder of the “*Proving Innocence*”

**For office use only:**  
**RFI No.**

**MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM**

**Instructions:**

- (1) Clearly type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete ***both*** pages of this form.
- (3) Have your **signature notarized**.
- (4) **Make a copy** of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include ***copies not originals*** of any documents or transcripts that support your claim.
- (6) Return this original, completed and notarized form to:  
Judicial Tenure Commission  
3034 W. Grand Blvd., Ste. 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

**I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:**

Name: David Schied Prisoner No., if any. \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: P.O. Box 1378 City/State/Zip Novi, MI 48376  
Daytime Phone \_\_\_\_\_ Evening Phone 248-946-4016  
(no calls please)

**II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:**

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee: Judge Muriel Hughes (P36362)  
Name of Case (Plaintiff v Defendant) David Schied v. Barbara Schied  
Case No. 10-109328-DM District or Circuit Court No. \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Judge (check one):

- |   |  |                                     |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supreme Court            | <input type="checkbox"/> Probate Court   | <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Court of Appeals         | <input type="checkbox"/> District Court  | <input type="checkbox"/> Referee    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Circuit Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Other      |

Date and time of the alleged misconduct: From Sept. 2010 through today (4/2/11)

Your Attorney's Name: Daryle Salisbury Attorney's Phone No. 248-348-6820

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☐ yes ☒ no  
Transcripts are too costly for  
"forma pauperis" litigants

For office use only:

\* See also "Affidavit of Court-Watchers" as to the Occurrences in

Wayne County Circuit Court on 3/10/11 (16 pages also attached)


**III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:**

(Attach additional sheets, if necessary) Enough of the "Facts" are provided by the attachments filed w/the court: a) Sworn & Notarized Affidavit of David Schied re: Procedural History (27 pages); b) Attesting Statement of Verification (11 pages) (from attny)


- 1) Violation of Ch.1, Rule 1 governing complaints of judicial misconduct. Judge Muriel Hughes used her judge office to continue providing special treatment for another woman after being confronted by me for flagrantly displaying a sorority membership banner over the State flag in the courtroom and filing the first of what was eventually to become three (3) motions for this judge to disqualify herself for judicial and criminal misconduct based upon her actions in the court.
- 2) Violation of Canon 1 - Acting with prejudicial bias against me and against my attorney as shown by the attachments referenced above.
- 3) Violation of Canon 2, which otherwise requires judges at all times to:  
a) respect and observe the law; b) promote public confidence by "impartiality of the judiciary"; c) treat every person fairly; d) take appropriate disciplinary measures against attorneys for unprofessional conduct.
- 4) Judge Hughes acted concertedly and in a "chain pattern" of corruption to "cover up" her own judicial and criminal misconduct by quashing, silencing, disregarding, and dismissing my numerous written motions, affidavits, and oral statements in the courtroom calling continuous attention to her unprofessional behaviors. Moreover, she continuously disregarded the underlying basis of the divorce over which she presided by repeatedly disregarding and dismissing my numerous claims of being a crime victim and of my family being crime victims, by association and by direct retaliation, at the hands of Michigan government officials committing a "chain" of misdemeanor and felony crimes against us for the past 7 1/2 years since 2003. She also disregarded and dismissed all of my repeated requests and demands that she do something to assist me in getting a resolve of my criminal allegations by facilitating a "criminal grand jury investigation", including an investigation of crime by "Friend-of-the-Court".

**I certify that I have read the information sheet on the Judicial Tenure Commission's function, jurisdiction, and procedures. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.**


**FORM NOT ACCEPTED WITHOUT COMPLETING ALL SECTIONS BELOW**

▼   
► Your original signature

► Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, ►  
on this 11 day of April 2010 2a

►   
Original Signature of Notary  
Notary Commission expires: July 21, 2011

Original Notary stamp or seal required here:



**For office use only:**  
**RFI No.**

**MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM**

**Instructions:**

- (1) Clearly type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete ***both*** pages of this form.
- (3) Have your **signature notarized**.
- (4) **Make a copy** of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include ***copies not originals*** of any documents or transcripts that support your claim.
- (6) Return this original, completed and notarized form to:  
Judicial Tenure Commission  
3034 W. Grand Blvd., Ste. 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

**I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:**

Name: David Schied Prisoner No., if any. \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: P.O. Box 1378 City/State/Zip Novi, MI 48376  
Daytime Phone \_\_\_\_\_ Evening Phone 248-946-4016  
(no calls please)

**II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:**

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee: Judge Jeanne Stempien (P31381)  
David Schied v. Leonard Rezmierski; David Bolitho;  
Name of Case (Plaintiff v Defendant) Katy Doerr-Parker; Northville Public Schools Board  
Case No. 09-030727-NO of Ed; James Hines; Larry Crider; Warren Evans;  
Benny N. Napoleon; Wayne County Sheriff's Dept;  
Type of Judge (check one): District or Circuit Court No.  
Robert Donaldson; Maria Miller; James D. Gonzales;  
Kym Worthy; Office of the Wayne County Prosecutor,  
☐ Supreme Court ☐ Probate Court ☐ Magistrate and DOES 1-30  
☐ Court of Appeals ☐ District Court ☐ Referee  
☒ Circuit Court ☐ Municipal Court ☐ Other

Date and time of the alleged misconduct: From Feb. 2010 through today (4/2/11)

Your Attorney's Name: Pro se litigant Attorney's Phone No. \_\_\_\_\_

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☐ yes ☒ no

\*Numerous sworn and notarized Affidavits of witnesses of the offenses are available instead. Transcripts are too costly for  
"forma pauperis" litigants

For office use only:



**III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:**

(Attach additional sheets, if necessary) Enough of the "Facts" are provided by the attachments filed w/the court: a) Sworn & Notarized Affidavit of David Schied re: Procedural History (63 pages); b) Affidavit of Court-Watchers (occur. on 8/27/10) (8 pages)

1) Violation of Ch.1, Rule 1 governing complaints of judicial misconduct. Judge Jeanne Stempien used her judge office to continue providing special treatment for government officers and ~~providing special treatment for government officers and~~ their attorneys by knowingly allowing the defendants and their attorneys to perpetrate "fraud upon the court", even after I had filed the first of what was eventually to become two (2) motions for this judge to disqualify herself for judicial and criminal misconduct based upon her actions in the court.


2) Violation of Canon 1 - Acting with prejudicial bias against me as shown by the attachments referenced above. (NOTE: Numerous other Court-Watcher affidavits are available to demonstrate that this was a "pattern" of behavior at every hearing.)

3) Violation of Canon 2, which otherwise requires judges at all times to:  
a) respect and observe the law; b) promote public confidence by "impartiality of the judiciary"; c) treat every person fairly; d) take appropriate disciplinary measures against attorneys for unprofessional conduct.

4) Judge Stempien acted concertedly and in a "chain pattern" of corruption to "cover up" her own judicial and criminal misconduct by quashing, silencing, disregarding, and dismissing my numerous written motions, affidavits, and oral statements in the courtroom calling continuous attention to the defendants' attorneys and her own unprofessional behaviors. Moreover, she continuously disregarded the underlying "facts" serving as the basis of the Complaint, being that for the past 7 1/2 years since 2003, I and my family have been crime victims of numerous Michigan government officials committing a "chain" of misdemeanor and felony crimes. She also disregarded and dismissed all of my repeated requests and demands that she do something to assist me in getting a resolve of my criminal allegations by facilitating a "criminal grand jury investigation" of these crimes.

**I certify that I have read the information sheet on the Judicial Tenure Commission's function, jurisdiction, and procedures. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.**

**FORM NOT ACCEPTED WITHOUT COMPLETING ALL SECTIONS BELOW**

▼   
► Your original signature

► Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, ►  
on this 11 day of April 2010 2011

►   
Original Signature of Notary  
Notary Commission expires: July 23, 2011

Original Notary stamp or seal required here:

**For office use only:**  
**RFI No.**

**MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM**

**Instructions:**

- (1) Clearly type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete ***both*** pages of this form.
- (3) Have your **signature notarized**.
- (4) **Make a copy** of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include ***copies not originals*** of any documents or transcripts that support your claim.
- (6) Return this original, completed and notarized form to:  
Judicial Tenure Commission  
3034 W. Grand Blvd., Ste. 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

**I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:**

Name: David Schied Prisoner No., if any. \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: P.O. Box 1378 City/State/Zip Novi, MI 48376  
Daytime Phone \_\_\_\_\_ Evening Phone 248-946-4016  
(no calls please)

**II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:**

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee: Judge Virgil Smith (P20714)  
David Schied v. Leonard Rezmierski, et al; and  
Name of Case (Plaintiff v Defendant) David Schied v. Barbara Schied  
Case No. 09-030727-NO; 10-109328-DM District or Circuit Court No. \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Judge (check one):

- |   |  |                                     |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supreme Court            | <input type="checkbox"/> Probate Court   | <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Court of Appeals         | <input type="checkbox"/> District Court  | <input type="checkbox"/> Referee    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Circuit Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Other      |

Date and time of the alleged misconduct: From Aug. 2010 through today (4/2/11)

Your Attorney's Name: Pro se litigant Attorney's Phone No. \_\_\_\_\_

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☐ yes ☒ no

\*Numerous sworn and notarized Affidavits of \_\_\_\_\_ Transcripts are too costly for  
witnesses of the offenses are available instead. "forma pauperis" litigants

For office use only:

**III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:**

(8 pages)

(Attach additional sheets, if necessary) Enough of the "Facts" are provided by the attachments filed w/the court: a) Affidavit of Court-Watchers (occür. on 12/17/10)

1) Violation of Ch.1, Rule 1 governing complaints of judicial misconduct. Judge Virgil Smith used his judge office to continue providing special treatment for other judges, and providing special treatment for government officers and their attorneys. Judge Smith knowingly allowed two judges (Hughes and Stempien) to perpetrate "fraud upon the court", and he knowingly committed "misprision of felonies" by hearing all of the details in front of witnesses and still dismissing my complaints that these judges were allowing defendants and their attorneys to continue committing crimes against me as well as their own "fraud upon the court".

2) Violation of Canon 1 - Acting with prejudicial bias against me as shown by the attachments referenced above. (NOTE: Numerous other Court-Watcher affidavits are available to demonstrate that this was a "pattern" of behavior in which this judge was both knowledgeable and an active participant.)

3) Violation of Canon 2, which otherwise requires judges at all times to:

a) respect and observe the law; b) promote public confidence by "impartiality of the judiciary"; c) treat every person fairly; d) take appropriate disciplinary measures against attorneys for unprofessional conduct.

4) "Chief" Judge Smith acted concertedly and in a "chain pattern" of corruption to "cover up" the judicial and criminal misconduct of his "peer group" of other government officials, by quashing, silencing, disregarding, and dismissing my numerous written motions, affidavits, and oral statements in the courtroom calling continuous attention to the crimes of government "actors". Judge Smith continuously disregarded the underlying "facts" serving as the basis of the "motion" as well as the Complaints, being that for the past 7 1/2 years since 2003, I and my family have been crime victims of numerous Michigan government officials committing a "chain" of misdemeanor and felony crimes. He also disregarded and dismissed all of my repeated requests and demands that he assist in notifying or convening a "criminal grand jury investigation" about my report of these crimes.

**I certify that I have read the information sheet on the Judicial Tenure Commission's function, jurisdiction, and procedures. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.**

**FORM NOT ACCEPTED WITHOUT COMPLETING ALL SECTIONS BELOW**

▼   
► Your original signature

► Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, ►  
on this 11 day of April, 2010

►   
Original Signature of Notary  
Notary Commission expires: July 23, 2011

Original Notary stamp or seal required here:

**For office use only:**  
**RFI No.**

**MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM**

**Instructions:**

- (1) Clearly type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete ***both*** pages of this form.
- (3) Have your **signature notarized**.
- (4) **Make a copy** of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include ***copies not originals*** of any documents or transcripts that support your claim.
- (6) Return this original, completed and notarized form to:  
Judicial Tenure Commission  
3034 W. Grand Blvd., Ste. 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

**I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:**

Name: David Schied Prisoner No., if any. \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: P.O. Box 1378 City/State/Zip Novi, MI 48376  
Daytime Phone \_\_\_\_\_ Evening Phone 248-946-4016  
(no calls please)

**II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:**

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee: Judge Charlotte Wirth (P30727)  
Name of Case (Plaintiff v Defendant) Township of Redford v. Schied/David/Eugene  
Case No. 10B020893 District or Circuit Court No. \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Judge (check one):

- |   |  |                                     |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supreme Court    | <input type="checkbox"/> Probate Court             | <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Court of Appeals | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> District Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Referee    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Circuit Court    | <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal Court           | <input type="checkbox"/> Other      |

Date and time of the alleged misconduct: (unkn)prior to 10/25/10 through today (4/2/11)

Your Attorney's Name: Pro se litigant Attorney's Phone No. \_\_\_\_\_

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☐ yes ☒ no

Transcripts are too costly for  
"forma pauperis" litigants

For office use only:

**III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:**

(Attach additional sheets, if necessary)


The "facts" are depicted in the accompanying documents as itemized "Exhibits":

- 1) 3-page letter addressed to Chief "Chief" Greenstein & Cptn. "Captain" Foldi;
- 2) 1-page letter signed by Captain James Foldi;
- 3) "Notice to Appear" dated 11/8/10 signed by the "Clerk/Administrator" with reference to a nonexistent BAR membership number (P-04444);
- 4) 3-page letter addressed to "Chief Greenstein" dated 12/26/10;
- 5) 1-page letter addressed to "Judge Khaul" dated 12/26/10;
- 6) "Notice to Appear" dated 2/14/11 signed by the "Clerk/Administrator" with reference to BAR membership number belonging to Charlotte L. Wirth (P-30727);


Complaint: Judge Charlotte Wirth is working "in concert" along with numerous others of the Redford Township, inclusive of Judge Karen Khalil, the Redford Police, the District Attorney, the Clerk and Court Administrator, and the County Supervisor..... to intentionally misrepresent the nature of their hearings, and to DEFRAUD public citizens. The "modus operandi" of this judge, in collaboration with other local government officials, is to send out police officers to write bogus tickets, then have that very same police officer appear in court in place of the magistrate or judge to "extort" money from community citizens expecting to come to court to challenge the police citations. The notices are published in misleading fashion so as to have the public believe they will be appearing before a "magistrate" at a "conference" with the "police representative", where a "sentencing" can be expected. Upon arrival, they find instead the police officer dressed in plain clothes impersonating a "district attorney" (at a desk in an office adjacent to the judge's bench marked "district attorney") who offers "plea deals" of lower extortion amounts in exchange for admissions of guilt. Those who refuse to fully cooperate are bullied, intimidated, threatened, and ultimately "coerced" to pay or to come back to face one of the two judges.

**I certify that I have read the information sheet on the Judicial Tenure Commission's function, jurisdiction, and procedures. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.**

**FORM NOT ACCEPTED WITHOUT COMPLETING ALL SECTIONS BELOW**

▼   
► Your original signature

► Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, ►  
on this 11 day of April 2011

►   
Original Signature of Notary  
Notary Commission expires: July 23, 2011

Original Notary stamp or seal required here:

**For office use only:**  
**RFI No.**

**MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM**

**Instructions:**

- (1) Clearly type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete ***both*** pages of this form.
- (3) Have your **signature notarized**.
- (4) **Make a copy** of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include ***copies not originals*** of any documents or transcripts that support your claim.
- (6) Return this original, completed and notarized form to:  
Judicial Tenure Commission  
3034 W. Grand Blvd., Ste. 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

**I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:**

Name: David Schied Prisoner No., if any. \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: P.O. Box 1378 City/State/Zip Novi, MI 48376  
Daytime Phone \_\_\_\_\_ Evening Phone 248-946-4016  
(no calls please)

**II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:**

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee: Judge Karen Khalil (P41981)  
Name of Case (Plaintiff v Defendant) Township of Redford v. Schied/David/Eugene  
Case No. 10B020893 District or Circuit Court No. \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Judge (check one):

- |   |  |                                     |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supreme Court    | <input type="checkbox"/> Probate Court             | <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Court of Appeals | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> District Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Referee    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Circuit Court    | <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal Court           | <input type="checkbox"/> Other      |

Date and time of the alleged misconduct: (unkn)prior to 10/25/10 through today (4/2/11)

Your Attorney's Name: Pro se litigant Attorney's Phone No. \_\_\_\_\_

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☐ yes ☒ no  
Transcripts are too costly for  
"forma pauperis" litigants

For office use only:

**III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:**

(Attach additional sheets, if necessary)

The "facts" are depicted in the accompanying documents as itemized "Exhibits":

- 1) 3-page letter addressed to Chief "Chief" Greenstein & Cptn. "Captain" Foldi;
- 2) 1-page letter signed by Captain James Foldi;
- 3) "Notice to Appear" dated 11/8/10 signed by the "Clerk/Administrator" with reference to a nonexistent BAR membership number (P-04444);
- 4) 3-page letter addressed to "Chief Greenstein" dated 12/26/10;
- 5) 1-page letter addressed to "Judge Khaul" dated 12/26/10;
- 6) "Notice to Appear" dated 2/14/11 signed by the "Clerk/Administrator" with reference to BAR membership number belonging to Charlotte L. Wirth (P-30727);


Complaint: Judge Karen Khalil is working "in concert" along with numerous others of the Redford Township, inclusive of Judge Charlotte Wirth, the Redford Police, the District Attorney, the Clerk and Court Administrator, and the County Supervisor..... to intentionally misrepresent the nature of their hearings, and to DEFRAUD public citizens. The "modus operandi" of this judge, in collaboration with other local government officials, is to send out police officers to write bogus tickets, then have that very same police officer appear in court in place of the magistrate or judge to "extort" money from community citizens expecting to come to court to challenge the police citations. The notices are published in misleading fashion so as to have the public believe they will be appearing before a "magistrate" at a "conference" with the "police representative", where a "sentencing" can be expected. Upon arrival, they find instead the police officer dressed in plain clothes impersonating a "district attorney" (at a desk in an office adjacent to the judge's bench marked "district attorney") who offers "plea deals" of lower extortion amounts in exchange for admissions of guilt. Those who refuse to fully cooperate are bullied, intimidated, threatened, and ultimately "coerced" to pay or to come back to face one of the two judges.

**I certify that I have read the information sheet on the Judicial Tenure Commission's function, jurisdiction, and procedures. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.**

**FORM NOT ACCEPTED WITHOUT COMPLETING ALL SECTIONS BELOW**

▼  ▼  
► Your original signature

► Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, ►  
on this 11 day of April 2011

►   
Original Signature of Notary  
Notary Commission expires: July 23, 2011

Original Notary stamp or seal required here:

DOWNLOAD

# **EXHIBIT #7**



David Schied  
P.O. Box 1378  
Novi, MI 48376  
248-946-4016  
(no phone calls please; email or in-person conferences only)

Delivery of this document was  
made via certified mail, return  
receipt requested  
7011 0470 0000 4054 4090

4/9/2011

State of Michigan Attorney Grievance Commission  
243 West Congress, Suite 256  
Detroit, MI 48226-3259

Re: Submission of eight (8) "attorney misconduct" complaints on the following attorneys: 1) Bruce Bagdady (P40476); 2) Gary King (P32640); 3) Richard Fanning (P55697); 4) Thomas Fleury (P24064); 5) Barbara Buchanan (P55084); 6) Jennifer Rupert (P72199); 7) Michael Weaver (P43985); 8) Jessica Cooper (P23242)

To Whom It May Concern:

**Accompanying this cover letter are eight (5) completed "*Request for Investigation*" forms outlining separate complaints on the above-named Michigan judges, all of whom I hereby allege are engaging in the commission of crimes against the sovereign People of this Michigan republic.**

**\*Note that these latest four attorney misconduct complaints come to you in the aftermath of my having reported to your agency crimes being committed by other attorneys in 2006 and in 2008, which were both DENIED by your agency without any supporting cause.**

**Additionally, I wish you to note that there are numerous other attorneys on whom I have not yet filed additional attorney misconduct complaints on simply because their criminal "*malfeasance*" and "*fraud upon the court*" coupled with the crimes of their predecessors and cohorts in Michigan government corruption, has impacted my life to such extent that I have not had the time and opportunity to complete such tasks. My criminal complaints regarding their activities against me however are well-documented in the court records of an Ingham County Circuit Court case that went before the Michigan Court of Appeals in 2008, and subsequently before the Michigan Supreme Court in 2009. you can look up as *David Schied v. State of Michigan, et. al.* which began in Ingham County in the courtroom of William Collette and subsequently went before Bandstra, Owens, and Donofrio at the Court of Appeals. There are other cases that followed this one to the federal courts, such as "*Schied v. Thomas Davis, Jennifer Granholm, ..., et al.*"; "*Schied v. Daughtrey, et. al.*"; "*David Schied, on behalf of "Student A" v. Scott Snyder, et al.*" currently on appeal to the United States Supreme Court; and "*Schied v. Ronald Ward, et. al.*" which also currently on appeal to the United States Supreme Court.**

**What you should note about these other cases is that the State "*defendants*" were represented by numerous attorneys operating out of the Office of the Michigan Attorney**

General Mike Cox, who I have named for criminal racketeering and corruption violations in the *David Schied v. State of Michigan, et. al* case, inclusive of Mike Cox himself, Frank Monticello, Patrick O'Brien, Joshua Smith, Ron Robinson, and attorneys for the Wayne County RESA listed as Matthew Leitman and Saura Sahu. I have taken the time to note that all of the above-named individuals ARE also members of the Michigan State Bar.

**Regarding the first complaint on attorney Bruce Bagdady, the co-partner of the Keller Thoma P.C. who also appears to be the “ringleader” for Gary King, Richard Fanning, Thomas Fleury, Barbara Buchanan, and Jennifer Rupert, who also operate from the Keller Thoma, P.C. law firm:**

You should note that in support of the two copies of the “*Request for Investigation (R/I) Form*”, I am sending the following the following in duplicate, one for the Attorney Grievance Commission and the other for ringleader Bruce Bagdady:

- a) Website page for the election of Bruce Bagdady for Novi School Board president and verifying that Bagdady is partner in the Keller Thoma, P.C. law firm, which I assert is a criminal racketeering and extortion operation;
- b) Motion Hearing transcript dated March 30, 2007 before Judge Cynthia Diane Stephens in the Wayne County Circuit Court showing that Bruce Bagdady successfully argued that Texas laws and a Texas court “Order of Expunction” IS A “MYTH” and that legislators in Michigan intended for schoolteachers to be singled out as having “LIFETIME CONVICTIONS” even after having a “plea withdrawn”, “indictment dismissed”, “judgment set aside”, receiving gubernatorial clemency through a “full pardon”, having received a legal “obliteration” of the remaining “arrest” record, and having separated oneself from the original offense by fully three (3) decades of exemplary conduct and social contributions;
- c) “*Notice of Hearing*” dated 12/8/07 in a criminal racketeering and corruption case filed by Plaintiff in the Ingham County Circuit Court, a case being managed by Bagdady and which moved its way through the Court of Appeals to the Supreme Court where it was DENIED along the way by other members of the Michigan State Bar posing as legitimate judges;
- d) “*Plaintiff’s Response: To Defendants’ ‘Motion to Strike Plaintiff’s Complaint and/or For a More Definite Statement and Brief in Support’ and Accompanying ‘Motion for Sanctions Against Defendants and Their Attorneys’*”, (21 pages) dated 1/16/09 outlining the “fraud upon the Court” perpetrated by Bagdady and his cohorts in crime to that date as employed by the Keller Thoma law firm;
- e) Cover page to Plaintiff “*Student A’s ‘Reply to Federal Defendants’ ‘Motion to Dismiss’ and ‘Reiteration of Citizen Demand for a Grand Jury Investigation of Criminal Conspiracy Against Rights; Conspiracy to Commit Crimes; Racketeering and Corruption’*”, undated but was passed through the federal courts between 2009-2010 and ruled on in January 2011, which is a case that was being managed in defense by those under the “*partnership*” of Bruce Bagdady in the criminal operation of the Keller Thoma, P.C. law firm;
- f) “*Plaintiff’s Response and Brief in Support of Response to the Northville Public Schools Defendants’ Motion for Summary Disposition and Dismissal*”, (22 pages) dated 2/28/11, showing again the extent to which those performing under the “*partnership*” of Bagdady and others of the Keller Thoma, PC law firm, were willing to go to defraud the court so to

“win their case” by continuing to railroad me into have a “conviction” that “exists” and while depriving me of my rights to criminal “victim’s rights” protection and civil relief under the Constitution of Michigan and of the United States.

**Regarding the second complaint on attorney Gary King, who also operate criminally from the Keller Thoma, P.C. law firm:**

You should note that in support of the two copies of the “*Request for Investigation (R/I) Form*”, I am sending the following the following in duplicate, one for the Attorney Grievance Commission and the other for the criminal co-conspirator Gary King:

- a) Website page for the election of Bruce Bagdady for Novi School Board president and verifying that Bapy of an email sent by civil court defendant and alleged co-criminal conspirator Katy Doerr-Parker, copying Gary King at the Keller Thoma law firm, and depicting King as being the attorney who, in 2004 had assisted her in defrauding me into believing that once I successfully executed my federally protected (*see 28 CFR §50.12*) challenge to “challenge and correct” an erroneous FBI report received by the Northville Public Schools that the school district “*certainly can and will destroy or return all implicating documents if your record is expunged by court order*”;
- b) A copy of three pages of two pages of email dialogue between Katy Doerr-Parker and me illustrating Katy Parkers’ continued assurances that “*Our attorney, Gary King, would like for [me] to move forward with the required new fingerprinting at the \$54 cost. We will then make the determination to remove to his office in a sealed envelope or totally destroy any documents we possess*” (referencing the “*Texas Order of Expunction*” subsequently delivered freely to other Michigan school district employers and to the public under FOIA requests in 2005, 2006, and 2009 at minimum; and by which the attorneys of the Keller Thoma law firm have been defrauding the State and Federal courts about).
- c) Page 4 of a Michigan State Police crime report, in which “hostile” crime witness Scott Snyder (conveniently misspelled by the MSP detective writing this fraudulent report) stating that Keller Thoma attorney Gary King was acting as his protective cover in “obstructing” the criminal investigation of Lincoln Consolidated Schools superintendent Sandra Harris, who has been since employed as superintendent of the Oak Park School District.
- d) Email sent to me more recently on 3/18/11 by Gary King’s secretary Sherry A. Dockery, in reference to the continued defense of the Northville Public Schools officials committing these crimes against me, pertaining to the case of “*Schied v. Leonard Rezmierski, et. al*”.

**Regarding the third complaint on attorney Richard Fanning, Jr., who also operate criminally from the Keller Thoma, P.C. law firm:**

You should note that in support of the two copies of the “*Request for Investigation (R/I) Form*”, I am sending the following the following in duplicate, one for the Attorney Grievance Commission and the criminal co-conspirator Richard Fanning:

- Pages 1-2, 4-23, and 82-90 of Plaintiff “*Student A’s ‘Reply to Federal Defendants’ ‘Motion to Dismiss’ and ‘Reiteration of Citizen Demand for a Grand Jury Investigation of Criminal Conspiracy Against Rights; Conspiracy to Commit Crimes; Racketeering and Corruption*”, filed in 2009, a case on its way to the United States Supreme Court in 2011.

The pages of this “reply” brief will detail the role that Richard Fanning, Jr. played in the criminal conspiracy to deprive my child of his civil and constitutional rights to “due process” while also conspiring to “cover up” the criminal “obstruction of justice” committed by elementary school principal Scott Snyder when he repeatedly suspended my child from school after I had named him as a “hostile witness” in the MSP crime report on former Lincoln Consolidated Schools “interim” superintendent Sandra Harris. The violations covered by this complaint span unwarranted school suspensions and deprivation of the rights of my child occurring between 2006 and 2008.

NOTE: Although other documents are available to depict Richard Fanning’s involvement with this criminal racketeering operation of the Keller Thoma enterprise working alongside and in “concert” with the Northville Public Schools enterprise, I cannot afford the exorbitant cost of providing these copies. The documents supplied in regards to these other attorneys, alleged by me to be “*professional criminal*” employed by Bruce Bagdady and his other “*partners*” of the Keller Thoma law firm, should suffice if additional “incriminating” documents are needed.

**Regarding the fourth, fifth, and sixth complaints on attorneys Thomas Fleury, Barbara Buchanan, and Jennifer Rupert, who all three also operating criminally from the Keller Thoma, P.C. law firm:**

You should note that in support of the two copies of the “*Request for Investigation (R/I) Form(s)*” that I am sending for EACH of these two attorneys (one each for the Attorney Grievance Commission and one each for the two attorneys), I am sending the following the following documents in only TWO duplicates, one set of copies for the Attorney Grievance Commission and the other set of documents for the two criminal co-conspirators Thomas Fleury and Barbara Buchanan to share with Jennifer Rupert since they all operated “*in concert*” together, sometimes with and sometimes without attorney Jennifer Rupert. **Jennifer Rupert will therefore need to depend upon this very same documentation that I cannot afford to duplicate further since I am a CRIME VICTIM and “*forma pauperis*” litigant.**

- Cover page to Plaintiff “*Student A’s ‘Reply to Federal Defendants’ ‘Motion to Dismiss’ and ‘Reiteration of Citizen Demand for a Grand Jury Investigation of Criminal Conspiracy Against Rights; Conspiracy to Commit Crimes; Racketeering and Corruption*”, undated but was passed through the federal courts between 2009-2010 and ruled on in January 2011, which is a case that was being managed in defense by those under the “*partnership*” of Bruce Bagdady in the criminal operation of the Keller Thoma, P.C. law firm. (Page two of the cover page shows that this case was being handled by Fleury and Buchanan without attorney Rupert.) NOTE: Two copies of this document were provided, one each for reference by Fleury and by Buchanan.
- Cover page to “*Appellant’s Response to ‘Defendants-Appellees Susan Liebetraus’ and Harvalee Sauntos’ ‘Reply’ to Plaintiff-Appellant’s Motion for Immediate Consideration’ and ‘Appellant’s ‘Motion for Sanctions’ and ‘Writ of Mandamus’ Against Appellees and Their Attorneys*” filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit in 2010. (Page two of the cover page shows that only Barbara Buchanan was named, but the records should reveal that this was the very same case referenced above also being handled by Thomas Fleury.) NOTE: Two copies of this document were provided, one each for reference by Fleury and by Buchanan.

- “*Plaintiff’s Response: To Defendants’ ‘Motion to Strike Plaintiff’s Complaint and/or For a More Definite Statement and Brief in Support’*” and Accompanying ‘*Motion for Sanctions Against Defendants and Their Attorneys*’”, (21 pages) dated 1/16/09 outlining the “*fraud upon the Court*” perpetrated by attorneys Fleury, Buchanan and Rupert and his cohorts in crime to that date as employed by the Keller Thoma law firm;
- “*Plaintiff’s Response and Brief in Support of Response to the Northville Public Schools Defendants’ Motion for Summary Disposition and Dismissal*”, (22 pages) dated 2/28/11, showing again the extent to which those performing under the “*partnership*” of Fleury, Buchanan, and Rupert, along with others of the Keller Thoma, PC law firm, were willing to go to defraud the court so to “*win their case*” by continuing to railroad me into have a “*conviction*” that “*exists*” and while depriving me of my rights to criminal “*victim’s rights*” protection and civil relief under the Constitution of Michigan and of the United States. **(NOTE: While the copy enclosed along with this complaint includes a final page that is not signed and notarized, an original that IS signed and notarized DOES exist and can be provided upon request and if needed. Time restrictions and the costs of retrieving this final page preclude me from being able to provide it promptly at this time.)**

**Regarding the seventh complaint on attorney Michael Weaver, of the Plunkett-Cooney law firm:**

You should note that in support of the two copies of the “*Request for Investigation (R/I) Form*” I am sending the following listed documents in duplicate, one for the Attorney Grievance Commission and the other for this corrupt criminal, attorney Michael Weaver, **upon whom I had filed a previous “attorney misconduct” complaint in 2008 that was DENIED by the Attorney Grievance Commission. The Attorney Grievance Commission should acknowledge therefore, that it was their own gross negligence in disregarding my previous warning notice about this criminal Michael Weaver, that has brought this AGC to sanctify and protect the continuance of this principle offender’s ongoing crimes against me and against the People of Michigan and against the People of the United States.**

- 9-page Sworn and notarized “*Crime Report*” addressed to Oakland County Prosecutor Jessica Cooper in report of the numerous crimes committed by Michael Weaver in numerous cases where he has clearly and intentionally committed felony crimes of: a) “*fraud upon the court*”; b) legal acts in illegal manners; c) conspiracy to deprive of rights under color of law; d) willful neglect of duty; e) perjury of Oath; f) subornation of perjury; g) racketeering and government corruption; h) conspiracy to commit offenses; i) conspiracy to treason;
- 12-page “*Notice of Error and Correction of Statement in Previous Court Filings*”, a document filed in the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, dated 6/26/08, detailing the extent to which attorney Michael Weaver had gone in circumventing the laws and the State and United States constitutions to deny me due process, privileges and immunities, full faith and credit, and other rights – by gross “*fraud upon the courts*” – so to institute “*double jeopardy*” and the reinstatement of a “*conviction*” upon my identity whereas otherwise “*no conviction exists*”.

**Regarding the eighth complaint on attorney Jessica Cooper, who operated with criminal negligence from the Office of the Oakland County Prosecutor:**

You should note that in support of the two copies of the “*Request for Investigation (R/I) Form*”, I am sending the following the following in duplicate, one for the Attorney Grievance Commission and the other for the criminal co-conspirator Jessica Cooper, who has provided criminal sanctity to attorney Michael Weaver:

- 4-page letter to Oakland County Judge Michael Warren, who referred me to Oakland County prosecutor Jessica Cooper after learning in 2009 and again in 2010 that crimes were continuing to occur against me by numerous government officials acting “under color of law” through attorneys by “fraud upon the court” and other crimes. It should be noted that this letter was written to detail the results of what occurred AFTER I took Judge Warren’s suggestion and reported these crimes to the Oakland County Sheriff’s Department, to the Bloomfield Hills Police Department, and to prosecutor Jessica Cooper. **The letter outlines how these “law enforcement agencies” used company policy and “color of law” to deprive me of my right to have my crime report properly processed by an investigation and indictment of the alleged criminal, attorney Michael Weaver.**
- 9-page Sworn and notarized “*Crime Report*” addressed to Oakland County Prosecutor Jessica Cooper in report of the numerous crimes committed by Michael Weaver in numerous cases where he has clearly and intentionally committed felony crimes of: a) “fraud upon the court”; b) legal acts in illegal manners; c) conspiracy to deprive of rights under color of law; d) willful neglect of duty; e) perjury of Oath; f) subornation of perjury; g) racketeering and government corruption; h) conspiracy to commit offenses; i) conspiracy to treason;

Please send me an acknowledgment letter right away with your assignment of attorney complaint numbers on each of the five complaints on the attorneys I have listed above. I’ll hope that you send that right away, and hope as well that you do not take the usual year or two to decide how, when and why to investigate these separate complaints.

Respectively,



Cc:

- Wayne County Sovereign Jural Assembly
- Oakland County Sovereign Jural Assembly
- Michigan Jural Sovereign Assembly
- Dr. William Kauffman, retired UM Professor of Engineering; whistleblower on treason and national security violations by UM President and Board of Regents (attorneys)
- Bill Proctor – Investigative Reporter for WXYZ; founder of the “*Proving Innocence*”

State of Michigan  
**Attorney Grievance Commission**  
 243 West Congress, Suite 256  
 Detroit MI 48226-3259  
**REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION (R/I) FORM**

**Please fill out the entire form in ink – sign at the bottom – and provide a copy of any relevant information. In order to expedite the processing of your complaint, please provide 2 copies of any supporting documents.**

Attorney information:

Name (one attorney per R/I form): Bruce Bagdady (P40476)		
Address (number and street): 45515 Emerald Forest Dr.		
City: Novi	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48374-3121
Area code and Telephone Number: 248-348-2325	2004 to the present Date attorney was hired/appointed:	
Type of case Multiple civil and criminal allegations against him, his (divorce, criminal, estate, etc) clients, and against numerous attorneys of his law firm.		
Wayne and Ingham county circuit courts; U.S. District Court; MI CofA; & 6th Cir. Court of Appeals		06-633604-NO; Case #: 09-030727-NO;
Is this your first complaint to this office about this attorney? yes	Date of previous complaint (if applicable): n/a 5:09CV11307;	

\*See also "Notice of Hearing" as evidence of Bagdady's heading 2:08CV10005; defense in RICO lawsuit in 2007. **STATEMENT OF FACTS** Case: 07-1256-AW 08-1879; 08-1895  
 (Please provide details. You may attach additional pages.)

Only a smidgeon of the facts can be provided here concerning Bagdady's "partnering" in a racketeering and corruption operation known as the Keller Thoma law firm. Evidence of corruption is broad and extensive in my case in which Bruce Bagdady and other attorneys of Gary King, Richard Fanning, Jr., Thomas Fluery, Barbara Buchanan, Jennifer Rupert. Evidence: a) Press page showing Bagdady as "partner" in Keller Thoma. b) Motion Hearing Transcript dated 3/30/07 where Bagdady argues that a person with a set aside, pardon, and expunction dating back 30 years should have a "life sentence" and "expunctions are a myth". c) & d) Two "motion responses" & 1 "Reply" in State and Federal courts on the same Northville Public Schools as clients: Jan. 2009 and Feb. 2011 showing other

**I request the Attorney Grievance Commission investigate the above attorney:**

Your Name – print in ink: David Schied		conspiracy of "fraud on the court"	
		Mr. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>
Your Signature – in ink:		Date: 4/2/11	
Address (number and street): P.O. Box 1378			
City: Novi	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48376	
Area code and Telephone number: 248-946-4016 (no phone calls please)			

Note: The Keller Thoma law firms has received copies of all documents I am using in this grievance complaint. Numerous other docs are available upon request proving the underlying crimes of attorney Bagdady and his "partners". I am a "forma pauperis" litigant and cannot afford printing more copies of what this firm already has.

State of Michigan  
**Attorney Grievance Commission**  
243 West Congress, Suite 256  
Detroit MI 48226-3259  
**REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION (R/I) FORM**

**Please fill out the entire form in ink – sign at the bottom – and provide a copy of any relevant information. In order to expedite the processing of your complaint, please provide 2 copies of any supporting documents.**

Attorney information:

Name (one attorney per R/I form): Gary King (P32640)

Address (number and street): 440 E. Congress St., Fl.5

City: Detroit

State: Michigan

Zip Code: 48226

Area code and Telephone Number: 248-348-8922

2004 to the present  
Date attorney was hired/appointed:

Type of case Multiple civil and criminal allegations against him, his (divorce, criminal, estate, etc) clients, and against numerous attorneys of his law firm.

Wayne and Ingham county circuit courts; U.S. District 06-633604-NO;

Name of court: Court; MI CofA; & 6th Cir. Court of Appeals Case #: 09-030727-NO;

Is this your first complaint to this office about this attorney? yes

Date of previous complaint (if applicable): 5:09CV11307; n/a

\*See also email from "Sherry A. Dockery" as evidence of King's 2:08CV10005;

continued affiliation with ongoing cases. 08-1879; 08-1895

Only a smidgeon of the facts can be provided here concerning King's involvement with a racketeering and corruption operation known as the Keller Thoma law firm.

Evidence of corruption is broad and extensive in my case in which Gary King and other attorneys of Bruce Bagdady, Richard Fanning, Jr., Thomas Fluery, Barbara Buchanan, Jennifer Rupert. Evidence: a) 3 pages of email from NV Schools Defendant Katy Doerr-Parker with reference to Gary King as being instrumentally involved in "fraud" leading to deprivation of rights concerning a Texas court "Order of Expunction" the Keller Thoma clients are using criminally to deprive me of my rights, employment, and reputation; b) page 4 of MSP crime investigation report showing that NV Schools Defendant Scott Snyder, "hostile witness" was depending on

***I request the Attorney Grievance Commission investigate the above attorney:***

Gary King to help him in deterring police investigation to "cover" testimony.

Your Name – print in ink: David Schied

Mr. ☒

Mrs. ☐

Ms. ☐

Your Signature – in ink:

Date: 4/2/11

Address (number and street): P.O. Box 1378

City: Novi

State: Michigan

Zip Code: 48376

Area code and Telephone number: 248-946-4016 (no phone calls please)

Note: The Keller Thoma law firms has received copies of all documents I am using in this grievance complaint. Numerous other docs are available upon request proving the underlying crimes of attorney King and his "associates". I am a "forma pauperis" litigant and cannot afford printing more copies of what this firm already has.



State of Michigan  
**Attorney Grievance Commission**  
243 West Congress, Suite 256  
Detroit MI 48226-3259  
**REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION (R/I) FORM**

**Please fill out the entire form in ink – sign at the bottom – and provide a copy of any relevant information. In order to expedite the processing of your complaint, please provide 2 copies of any supporting documents.**

Attorney information:

Name (one attorney per R/I form): Richard Fanning, Jr. (P55697)		
Address (number and street): 440 E. Congress St., Fl.5		
City: Detroit	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48226
Area code and Telephone Number: 313-965-8931	2007 to 2010 Date attorney was hired/appointed:	
Type of case Multiple civil and criminal allegations against him, his (divorce, criminal, estate, etc) clients, and against numerous attorneys of the law firm.		
Wayne and Ingham county circuit courts; U.S. District Court; MI CofA; & 6th Cir. Court of Appeals		07-1256-AW; COA No.202804; Case #:09-030727-NO;
Is this your first complaint to this office about this attorney? yes	Date of previous complaint (if applicable): 5:09CV11307; 2:08CV10005;	

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

(Please provide details. You may attach additional pages.)

Only a smidgeon of the facts can be provided here concerning Fanning's involvement in a racketeering and corruption operation known as the Keller Thoma law firm. Evidence of corruption is broad and extensive in the case(s) involving Richard Fanning, Jr. and other attorneys of Gary King, Bruce Bagdady, Thomas Fluery, Barbara Buchanan, Jennifer Rupert. Evidence: a) Cover sheet of "Reply", b) Complaint "Summary" and c) relevant "Count" from Federal case showing how Fanning acted under "color of law" and cloak of deception to deprive an elementary school child of his rights to "due process" under IDEA while also working to cover up retaliation against child and father for naming his clients from the Northville Public Schools as criminal co-conspirators against the child's father in other civil and criminal cases.

**I request the Attorney Grievance Commission investigate the above attorney:**

Your Name – print in ink: David Schied		Mr. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>
Your Signature – in ink:		Date: 4/2/11
Address (number and street): P.O. Box 1378		
City: Novi	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48376
Area code and Telephone number: 248-946-4016 (no phone calls please)		

Note: The Keller Thoma law firms has received copies of all documents I am using in this grievance complaint. Numerous other docs are available upon request proving the underlying crimes of attorney Fanning and his "associates". I am a "forma pauperis" litigant and cannot afford printing more copies of what this firm already has.

State of Michigan  
**Attorney Grievance Commission**  
243 West Congress, Suite 256  
Detroit MI 48226-3259  
**REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION (R/I) FORM**

**Please fill out the entire form in ink – sign at the bottom – and provide a copy of any relevant information. In order to expedite the processing of your complaint, please provide 2 copies of any supporting documents.**

Attorney information:

Name (one attorney per R/I form): Thomas Fleury (P24064)		
Address (number and street): 440 E. Congress St., Fl.5		
City: Detroit	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48226
Area code and Telephone Number: 313-965-7610	2007 to 2011 Date attorney was hired/appointed:	
Type of case Multiple civil and criminal allegations against her, her (divorce, criminal, estate, etc) clients, and against numerous attorneys of the law firm.		
Wayne County circuit court; U.S. District Court;		09-030727-NO;
Name of court: 6th Cir. Court of Appeals	Case #:	5"09CV11307;
Is this your first complaint to this office about this attorney? yes	Date of previous complaint (if applicable): n/a 10-1176	

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

(Please provide details. You may attach additional pages.)

Only a smidgeon of the facts can be provided here concerning Rupert's involvement in a racketeering and corruption operation known as the Keller Thoma law firm. Evidence of corruption is broad and extensive in the case(s) involving Jennifer Rupert and other attorneys of Gary King, Bruce Bagdady, Barbara Buchanan, Richard Fanning, Jr., Jennifer Rupert. A multitude of evidence is available showing that Rupert and other "Keller Thoma" attorneys have repeated defrauded State & Federal courts to continue a 7-year cover up of crimes by their clients at the Northville Public Schools. Evidence: a) Two sets of cover sheets on Federal case with claims by child "Student A" into 6th Circuit; b) Two "motion responses" in a State court RICO case reflecting factual data surrounding repeated fraud upon the court to

***I request the Attorney Grievance Commission investigate the above attorney:***

deprive me of my Constitutional and civil rights under "color of law".		
Your Name – print in ink: David Schied Mr. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/> cases.		
Your Signature – in ink:		Date: 4/2/11
Address (number and street): P.O. Box 1378		
City: Novi	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48376
Area code and Telephone number: 248-946-4016 (no phone calls please)		

Note: (AGCP Form Rev. Feb. 11, 2011) (09931988.DOC)  
The Keller Thoma law firms has received copies of all documents I am using in this grievance complaint. Numerous other docs are available upon request proving the underlying crimes of attorney Fleury and his "associates". I am a "forma pauperis" litigant and cannot afford printing more copies of what this firm already has.

State of Michigan  
**Attorney Grievance Commission**  
243 West Congress, Suite 256  
Detroit MI 48226-3259  
**REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION (R/I) FORM**

**Please fill out the entire form in ink – sign at the bottom – and provide a copy of any relevant information. In order to expedite the processing of your complaint, please provide 2 copies of any supporting documents.**

Attorney information:

Name (one attorney per R/I form): Barbara Buchanan (P55084)		
Address (number and street): 440 E. Congress St., Fl.5		
City: Detroit	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48226
Area code and Telephone Number: 313-965-4480	2007 to 2011 Date attorney was hired/appointed:	
Type of case Multiple civil and criminal allegations against her, her (divorce, criminal, estate, etc) clients, and against numerous attorneys of the law firm.		
Wayne County circuit court; U.S. District Court;		09-030727-NO;
Name of court: 6th Cir. Court of Appeals	Case #:	5:09CV11307;
Is this your first complaint to this office about this attorney? yes	Date of previous complaint (if applicable): n/a	10-1176

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

(Please provide details. You may attach additional pages.)

Only a smidgeon of the facts can be provided here concerning Buchanan's involvement in a racketeering and corruption operation known as the Keller Thoma law firm. Evidence of corruption is broad and extensive in the case(s) involving Barbara Buchanan and other attorneys of Gary King, Bruce Bagdady, Thomas Fluery, Richard Fanning, Jr., Jennifer Rupert. A multitude of evidence is available showing that Buchanan and other "Keller Thoma" attorneys have repeated defrauded State & Federal courts to continue a 7-year cover up of crimes by their clients at the Northville Public Schools. Evidence: a) Two sets of cover sheets on Federal case with claims by child "Student A" into 6th Circuit; b) Two "motion responses" in a State court RICO case reflecting factual data surrounding repeated fraud upon the court to

***I request the Attorney Grievance Commission investigate the above attorney:***

deprive me of my Constitutional and civil rights under "color of law".		
Your Name – print in ink: David Schied	Mr. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>	cases.
Your Signature – in ink:	Date: 4/2/11	
Address (number and street): P.O. Box 1378		
City: Novi	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48376
Area code and Telephone number: 248-946-4016 (no phone calls please)		

Note: (AGCP Form Rev. Feb. 11, 2011)  
(09931988.DOC)  
The Keller Thoma law firms has received copies of all documents I am using in this grievance complaint. Numerous other docs are available upon request proving the underlying crimes of attorney Buchanan and her "associates". I am a "forma pauperis" litigant and cannot afford printing more copies of what this firm already has.

State of Michigan  
**Attorney Grievance Commission**  
243 West Congress, Suite 256  
Detroit MI 48226-3259  
**REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION (R/I) FORM**

**Please fill out the entire form in ink – sign at the bottom – and provide a copy of any relevant information. In order to expedite the processing of your complaint, please provide 2 copies of any supporting documents.**

Attorney information:

Name (one attorney per R/I form): Jennifer Rupert (P72199)		
Address (number and street): 440 E. Congress St., Fl.5		
City: Detroit	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48226
Area code and Telephone Number: 313-965-8924	2010 to 2011 Date attorney was hired/appointed:	
Type of case Multiple civil and criminal allegations against her, her (divorce, criminal, estate, etc) clients, and against numerous attorneys of the law firm.		
Name of court: Wayne County circuit court		Case #: 09-030727-NO
Is this your first complaint to this office about this attorney? yes	Date of previous complaint (if applicable): n/a	

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

(Please provide details. You may attach additional pages.)

Only a smidgeon of the facts can be provided here concerning Rupert's involvement in a racketeering and corruption operation known as the Keller Thoma law firm. Evidence of corruption is broad and extensive in the case(s) involving Jennifer Rupert and other attorneys of Gary King, Bruce Bagdady, Barbara Buchanan, Richard Fanning, Jr., Thomas Fleury. A multitude of evidence is available showing that Rupert and other "Keller Thoma" attorneys have repeated defrauded State & Federal courts to continue a 7-year cover up of crimes by their clients at the Northville Public Schools. Evidence: a) Two "motion responses" in a State court RIQO case reflecting factual data surrounding repeated fraud upon the court to deprive me of my Constitutional and civil rights under "color of law".

***I request the Attorney Grievance Commission investigate the above attorney:***

cases.

Your Name – print in ink: David Schied			Mr. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/>	Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>
Your Signature – in ink:			Date: 4/2/11		
Address (number and street): P.O. Box 1378					
City: Novi	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48376			
Area code and Telephone number: 248-946-4016 (no phone calls please)					

Note: The Keller Thoma law firms has received copies of all documents I am using in this grievance complaint. Numerous other docs are available upon request proving the underlying crimes of attorney Rupert and her "associates". I am a "forma pauperis" litigant and cannot afford printing more copies of what this firm already has.

State of Michigan  
**Attorney Grievance Commission**  
243 West Congress, Suite 256  
Detroit MI 48226-3259  
**REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION (R/I) FORM**

**Please fill out the entire form in ink – sign at the bottom – and provide a copy of any relevant information. In order to expedite the processing of your complaint, please provide 2 copies of any supporting documents.**

Attorney information:

Name (one attorney per R/I form): Michael D. Weaver (P43985)		
Address (number and street): 38505 Woodward Ave., Suite 2000		
City: Bloomfield Hills	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48304
Area code and Telephone Number: 248-901-4025	persistent from 2004 through present Date attorney was hired/appointed: 4/2/11	
Type of case multiple civil with criminal allegations against him (divorce, criminal, estate, etc): as well as his clients		
Name of court: U.S. District Court for EDM; MI C of Appeals		Case #: 1) 04-000577-CL; 2) COA No. 267023
Is this your first complaint to this office about this attorney?	Date of previous complaint (if applicable): 3) SC No. 131803 4) 07-1256-AW 5) United States District Court No. 2:08-CV-10005 6) Sixth Circuit C of A No. 08-1879 7) Sixth Circuit C of A No. 08-1895 8) 09-1474-NO 9) U.S. District Court No. 10-10105	
<b>STATEMENT OF FACTS</b> (Please provide details. You may attach additional pages.)		
Details of Mr. Weaver's numerous counts of criminal "fraud upon the courts" and felony "conspiracy to commit" and "cover-up" of the crimes of his clients, are detailed in the attached documents entitled: a) Sworn and Notarized "Crime Report" to the Oakland County Prosecutor regarding Michael Weaver; b) "Notice of Error and Correction of Statement" filed in the U.S. Court of Appeals outlining numerous counts of "fraud upon the court(s)" by Michael Weaver.		

***I request the Attorney Grievance Commission investigate the above attorney:***

Your Name – print in ink: David Schied		Mr. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>
Your Signature – in ink:		Date: 4/2/11
Address (number and street): P.O. Box 1378		
City: Novi	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48376
Area code and Telephone number: 248-946-4016 (no phone calls please)		

(AGC RI Form rev. Feb. 16, 2011) Note: Attorney Weaver received copies of all the documents I am using to substantiate my grievance complaint. Numerous other documents are available upon request. I am a "forma pauperis" litigant and cannot afford printing him more copies

State of Michigan  
**Attorney Grievance Commission**  
243 West Congress, Suite 256  
Detroit MI 48226-3259  
**REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION (R/I) FORM**

**Please fill out the entire form in ink – sign at the bottom – and provide a copy of any relevant information. In order to expedite the processing of your complaint, please provide 2 copies of any supporting documents.**

Attorney information:

Name (one attorney per R/I form): Jessica Cooper (P23242)		
Address (number and street): 1200 N. Telegraph Rd.		
City: Pontiac	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48341
Area code and Telephone Number: 248-858-1000	9/23/10 through present Date attorney was hired/appointed:	
Type of case Criminal complaint with a plethora of evidence and (divorce, criminal, estate, etc) sworn and notarized statements constituting "indictment"		
Jurisdiction is Oakland County Circuit Court. Report	Prosecutor refused to	
Name of court: to prosecutor recommended by Judge Warren.	Case #: provide	
Is this your first complaint to this office about this attorney? yes	Date of previous complaint (if applicable): n/a	

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

(Please provide details. You may attach additional pages.)

Details of Prosecutor Cooper's dereliction of duty to detect and arrest offenders and enforce and protect against the violation of all State and Federal laws, are presented in the attached documents entitled:

- a) Sworn and Notarized "Crime Report" to the Oakland County Prosecutor regarding numerous counts of criminal activity by attorney Michael Weaver;
- b) Letter to Oakland County Circuit Court Judge Michael Warren detailing the runaround I received by the Bloomfield Hills Police acting "in concert" with the Office of the Oakland County Prosecutor to deprive me of my right to criminal protection "under color of law".

NOTE: Prosecutor Cooper already has a copy of the first of these two docs.

***I request the Attorney Grievance Commission investigate the above attorney:***

Your Name – print in ink: David Schied		Mr. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>
Your Signature – in ink:		Date: 4/2/11
Address (number and street): P.O. Box 1378		
City: Novi	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 48376
Area code and Telephone number: 248-946-4016 (no phone calls please)		

Note: Attorney Cooper received copies of one of the doc I am using to base this grievance complaint. Numerous other docs are available upon request proving the underlying crimes of attorney Weaver and his clients. I am a "forma pauperis" litigant and cannot afford printing more copies of what she already has.

# **EXHIBIT #8**

# Complaints Filed with the Michigan Judicial Tenure Commission



For office use only:

RFI No. 08 - 17406

**MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM**

**Instructions:**

- (1) Type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete *both* pages of this form.
- (3) Have your signature notarized.
- (4) Make a copy of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include copies of any documents or transcripts that support your claim. Send *copies* of documents or transcripts only, not originals.
- (6) Return this original, completed form to:

Judicial Tenure Commission  
Cadillac Place  
3034 West Grand Blvd.  
Suite 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

**I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:**

Name: David Schied  
20075 Northville Place Dr.  
Address: Northville, MI 48170

Prisoner No., if any. \_\_\_\_\_

City/State/Zip Northville, MI 48167

Daytime Phone 248-924-3129  
(late afternoon)

Evening Phone 248-924-3129

**II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:**

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee: Melinda Morris

Name of Case Schied v. Lincoln Consolidated Schools and Dr. Sandra Harris

Case No. 04-577-CL District or Circuit Court No. \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Judge (circle one):

☐ Supreme Court

☐ Probate Court

☐ Magistrate

☐ Court of Appeals

☐ District Court

☐ Referee

☒ Circuit Court

☐ Municipal Court

☐ Other

Date and time of the alleged misconduct: 12/10/04; 11/10/05

Your Attorney's Name: Joseph Firestone Attorney's Phone No. 248-540-2701

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☒ yes ☐ no (attached)

For office use only:

**Judge Melinda Morris**

III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:

- ① Violation of Ch. (Attach additional sheets, if necessary)  
Rule 1 of Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct - Judge Morris used her judge office to provide special treatment for government officers and their attorneys by allowing the defendants and their attorneys to perpetrate fraud upon the court. (Refer to plaintiff's "More Definite Statement" with special attention to pp. 50-72.)
- ② Violation of Canon 1 of the Code of Judicial Conduct by acting with prejudicial bias against plaintiff and his attorney by: (a) making an Order to Compel the plaintiff to submit to deposition questioning about the circumstances giving rise to his Texas "set aside" "governor's pardon" and court-ordered "expunction"; (b) then admitting the plaintiff's admissions of "guilt" and "conviction" as "matters of material fact" and cause for dismissing plaintiff's case; (c) without giving full faith and credit to the meaning of those Texas documents to "withdraw the plea", "dismiss the indictment" to relieve the plaintiff of all "disabilities and penalties", to provide the plaintiff with restoration of "full civil rights and privileges", and to permit the plaintiff to remain silent, even under oath, about the circumstances of the criminal events; and (d) without litigating the criminal issues implicating the government official.
- ③ Violation of Canon 2, which otherwise requires judges at all times to: (a) respect and observe the law; (b) promote public confidence by "impartiality of the judiciary"; (c) treat every person fairly; (d) take appropriate disciplinary measures against attorneys for unprofessional conduct.
- ④ Judge Morris disregarded and misapplied Texas laws and Michigan statutes, Texas case law and Attorney General opinions and Michigan public law which otherwise provided just cause for the plaintiff's complaints and which also provided him with statutory protection under public policies, with criminal consequences for violating his function, jurisdiction, and procedures included on the Commission's web site. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.
- (See details in all of the attached documents.)
- Paul Schaf*  
 Your signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, on this 2 day of JAN 2008

Signature of Notary

My Commission expires: 6-29-2013

(DOWNLOAD)

MAUREENA A. JAHNKE  
 Notary Public, State of Michigan  
 County of Wayne  
 My Commission Expires Jun. 29, 2013  
 Acting in the County of WAYNE

Attached documents include the following:

- ① Original "Complaint and Jury Demand"
- ② Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery
- ③ First Amended Complaint and Restated Jury Demand
- ④ Affidavit of Claudia Gutierrez
- ⑤ Transcript of Motion Hearing dated 10/26/05
- ⑥ Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Disposition
- ⑦ Appellant's Brief (pro per) to the Michigan Court of Appeals
- ⑧ Plaintiff's "More Definite Statement"

For office use only:

RFI No. 08-17407

MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM

Instructions:

- (1) Type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete **both** pages of this form.
- (3) Have your signature notarized.
- (4) Make a copy of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include copies of any documents or transcripts that support your claim. Send **copies** of documents or transcripts only, not originals.
- (6) Return this original, completed form to:

Judicial Tenure Commission  
Cadillac Place  
3034 West Grand Blvd.  
Suite 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:

Name: David Schied  
Address: 20075 Northville Place Dr.  
North #3120

Prisoner No., if any. \_\_\_\_\_

City/State/Zip Northville, MI 48167

Daytime Phone 248-924-3129  
(late afternoon)

Evening Phone 248-924-3129

II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee: Deborah A. Servitto

Name of Case Schied v. Lincoln Consolidated Schools + Dr. Sandra Harris

Case No. 267023

District or Circuit Court No. 04-577-CL

Type of Judge (circle one):

☐ Supreme Court

☐ Probate Court

☐ Magistrate

☒ Court of Appeals

☐ District Court

☐ Referee

☐ Circuit Court

☐ Municipal Court

☐ Other

Date and time of the alleged misconduct: 6/29/06

Your Attorney's Name: Joseph Firestone Attorney's Phone No. 248-540-2701

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☐ yes

☒ no

*They would be helpful however in showing that Plaintiff's attorney was interrupted during his first statement of oral argument and never given a chance.*

For office use only:

Judge Deborah Servitto

III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:

(Attach additional sheets, if necessary)

- ① Violation of Ch. 1, Rule 1 of Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct - Judge Servitto used her judge's office to provide special treatment for government officers and their attorneys by allowing the defendants and their attorneys to perpetrate fraud upon the court. (Refer in part to Appellant's Reply Brief with special attention to pp. 4-5 to Court of Appeals.)
- ② Violation of Canon 1 of the Code of Judicial Conduct by acting with prejudicial bias against plaintiff and his attorney in the following: (a) disregarding plaintiff complaints of defendant's "misrepresentation of material facts" of disregarding lower court transaction showing clear violations by defendant's attorney of the Rules of Professional Conduct (Rules 8.4, 3.3-3.4). (See details as outlined on pp. 50-72 of plaintiff's "More Definite Statement".)
- ③ Violation of Canon 2 which otherwise requires judges at all times to: (a) respect and observe the law; (b) promote public confidence by "impartiality of the judiciary"; (c) treat every person fairly; (d) take appropriate disciplinary measures against attorneys for unprofessional conduct.
- ④ Judge Servitto disregarded and misapplied Texas laws and Michigan statutes, Texas case law and Attorney General opinions, and Michigan case law, which otherwise provided just cause for the plaintiff's complaints; and which also provided him with statutory protection under public policies, with criminal consequences for those violating his privacy rights. (See details in all of the attached documents.)
- ⑤ Judge Servitto acted concertedly and in a "chain pattern" of corruption to "cover up" her gross negligence and incompetence by placing the Court of Appeals ruling in an "unpublished" court decision when the ruling otherwise set clear precedence. (Had the criminal aspects of the plaintiff's complaint been litigated). Such action was in violation of MCR 7.215 (B) for "Standards for Publication", which gave rise to additional court action currently before the Michigan Court of Appeals.

I certify that I have read the information concerning the Judicial Tenure Commission's function, jurisdiction, and procedures included on the Commission's web site. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.

Your signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, on this 2 day of July 2008

Signature of Notary

My Commission expires:

6-29-2013

[DOWNLOAD]

Notary stamp or seal MAUREEN A. JAHNKE

Notary Public, State of Michigan

County of Wayne

My Commission Expires Jun. 29, 2013

Acting in the County of WAYNE

Attached documents include the following:

- ① Appellant's Brief as filed by attorney Joseph Firestone in case 267023
- ② Appellant's Reply Brief as filed by attorney Joseph Firestone in case 267023
- ③ Court of Appeals "unpublished" decision in case 267023
- ④ Court of Appeals "Docketing Statement" filed "proper" by plaintiff on 12/26/07
- ⑤ Appellant's Brief as filed by plaintiff "proper" on 12/26/07
- ⑥ "More Definite Statement" filed in Ingham County Circuit Court case 07-1256-AW
- ⑦ Federal Complaint filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by attorney Darvle Salisbury.

For office use only:  
RFI No. 08-17408

MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM

Instructions:

- (1) Type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete *both* pages of this form.
- (3) Have your signature notarized.
- (4) Make a copy of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include copies of any documents or transcripts that support your claim. Send *copies* of documents or transcripts only, not originals.
- (6) Return this original, completed form to:

Judicial Tenure Commission  
Cadillac Place  
3034 West Grand Blvd.  
Suite 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:

Name: David Schied  
Address: 20075 Northville Place Dr.  
Northville MI 48120

Prisoner No., if any. \_\_\_\_\_

City/State/Zip Northville, MI 48167

Daytime Phone 248-924-3129  
(late afternoon)

Evening Phone 248-924-3129

II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee: Karen M. Fort Hood

Name of Case: Schied v. Lincoln Consolidated Schools & Dr. Sandra Harris

Case No. 267023

District or Circuit Court No. 04-577-CL

Type of Judge (circle one):

- |  |  |                                     |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supreme Court               | <input type="checkbox"/> Probate Court   | <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Court of Appeals | <input type="checkbox"/> District Court  | <input type="checkbox"/> Referee    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Circuit Court               | <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Other      |

Date and time of the alleged misconduct: 6/29/06

Your Attorney's Name: Joseph Firestone Attorney's Phone No. 248-540-2701

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☐ yes ☒ no

*They would be helpful however in showing that Plaintiff's attorney was interrupted during his first statement of oral argument and never given a chance*

For office use only:

Judge Karen Fort Hood

III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:

(Attach additional sheets, if necessary)

- ① Violation of Ch. I, Rule 1 of Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct - Judge Hood used her judge's office to provide special treatment for government officers and their attorneys by allowing the defendants and their attorneys to perpetrate fraud upon the court. (Refer in part to Appellant's Reply Brief with special attention to pp. 4-5 to Court of Appeals.)
- ② Violation of Canon 1 of the Code of Judicial Conduct by acting with prejudicial bias against plaintiff and his attorney in the following: (a) disregarding plaintiff complaints of defendants' "misrepresentation of material facts" of disregarding lower court transcripts showing clear violations by defendants' attorney of the Rules of Professional Conduct (Rules 8.4, 3.3, 3.4). (See details as outlined on pp. 50-72 of plaintiff's "More Definite Statement".)
- ③ Violation of Canon 2 which otherwise requires judges at all times to: (a) respect and observe the law; (b) promote public confidence by "impartiality of the judiciary"; (c) treat every person fairly; (d) take appropriate disciplinary measures against attorneys for unprofessional conduct.
- ④ Judge Hood disregarded and misapplied Texas laws and Michigan statutes, Texas case law and Attorney General opinions, and Michigan case law, which otherwise provided just cause for the plaintiff's complaint; and which also provided him with statutory protection under public policies, with criminal consequences for those violating his privacy rights. (See details in all of the attached documents.)
- ⑤ Judge Hood acted concertedly and in a "chain pattern" of corruption to "cover up" her gross negligence and incompetence by placing the Court of Appeals ruling in an "unpublished" court decision when the ruling otherwise set clear precedence. (Had the criminal aspects of the plaintiff's complaint been litigated). Such action was in violation of MCR 7.215(B) for "Standards for Publication", which gave rise to additional court action currently before the Michigan Court of Appeals.

I certify that I have read the information concerning the Judicial Tenure Commission's function, jurisdiction, and procedures included on the Commission's web site. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.

Your signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, on this 2 day of JAN 2008

Signature of Notary

My Commission expires: 6-29-2013

(DOWNLOAD)

Notary stamp or seal in this section

MAUREEN A. JAHNKE

Notary Public, State of Michigan

County of Wayne

My Commission Expires Jun. 29, 2013

Acting in the County of

WAYNE

Attached documents include the following:

- 1) Appellant's Brief as filed by attorney Joseph Firestone in case 267023
- 2) Appellant's Reply Brief as filed by attorney Joseph Firestone in case 267023
- 3) Court of Appeals "unpublished" decision in case 267023
- 4) Court of Appeals "Docketing Statement" filed "proper" by plaintiff on 12/26/07
- 5) Appellant's Brief as filed by plaintiff "proper" on 12/26/07
- 6) "More Definite Statement" filed in Ingham County Circuit Court case 07-1256-AW
- 7) Federal Complaint filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by attorney Deryle Salisbury.



For office use only:  
RFI No. 08-17409

MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM

Instructions:

- (1) Type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete *both* pages of this form.
- (3) Have your signature notarized.
- (4) Make a copy of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include copies of any documents or transcripts that support your claim. Send *copies* of documents or transcripts only, not originals.
- (6) Return this original, completed form to:

Judicial Tenure Commission  
Cadillac Place  
3034 West Grand Blvd.  
Suite 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:

Name: David Schied  
Address: 20075 Northville Place Dr.  
North #3120

Prisoner No., if any. \_\_\_\_\_  
City/State/Zip Northville, MI 48167

Daytime Phone 248-924-3129  
(late afternoon)

Evening Phone 248-924-3129

II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee: Mark J. Cavanagh

Name of Case Schied v. Lincoln Consolidated Schools + Dr. Sandra Harris

Case No. 267023

District or Circuit Court No. 04-577-CL

Type of Judge (circle one):

- |  |  |                                     |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supreme Court               | <input type="checkbox"/> Probate Court   | <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Court of Appeals | <input type="checkbox"/> District Court  | <input type="checkbox"/> Referee    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Circuit Court               | <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Other      |

Date and time of the alleged misconduct: 6/29/06

Your Attorney's Name: Joseph Firestone Attorney's Phone No. 248-540-2701

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☐ yes

☒ no They would be helpful however in showing that Plaintiff's attorney was interrupted during his first statement of oral argument and never given a chance.

For office use only:

Judge Mark Cavanagh

### III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:

(Attach additional sheets, if necessary)

1. Violation of Ch. I, Rule 1 of Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct - Judge Cavanagh used her judge's office to provide special treatment for government officers and their attorneys by allowing the defendants and their attorneys to perpetrate fraud upon the court. (Relies in part to Appellant's Reply Brief with special attention to pp. 4-5 to Court of Appeals.)
2. Violation of Canon 1 of the Code of Judicial Conduct by acting with prejudicial bias against plaintiff and his attorney in the following: (a) disregarding plaintiff's complaints of defendant's "misrepresentation of material facts" of disregarding lower court findings showing clear violations by defendant's attorney of the Rules of Professional Conduct (Rules 3.4, 3.3, 7.4). (See details as outlined on pp. 50-72 of plaintiff's "More Definite Statement".)
3. Violation of Canon 2 which otherwise requires judges at all times to: (a) respect and observe the law; (b) promote public confidence by "impartiality of the judiciary"; (c) treat every person fairly; (d) take appropriate disciplinary measures against attorneys for unprofessional conduct.
4. Judge Cavanagh disregarded and misapplied Texas laws and Michigan statutes, Texas case law and Attorney General opinions, and Michigan case law, which otherwise provided just cause for the plaintiff's complaints; and which also provided him with statutory protection under public policies, with criminal consequences for those violating his privacy rights. (See details in all of the attached documents.)
5. Judge Cavanagh acted concertedly and in a "chain pattern" of corruption to "cover up" her gross negligence and incompetence by placing the Court of Appeals ruling in an "unpublished" court decision when the ruling otherwise set clear precedence. (Had the criminal aspects of the plaintiff's complaint been litigated). Such action was in violation of MCR 7.215(B) for "Standards for Publication", which gave rise to additional court action currently before the Michigan Court of Appeals.

I certify that I have read the information concerning the Judicial Tenure Commission's function, jurisdiction, and procedures included on the Commission's web site. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.

Your signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, on this 2 day of Jan, 2008

Signature of Notary Maureen A. Jahnke  
My Commission expires: 6-29-2013

[DOWNLOAD]

MAUREEN A. JAHNKE  
Notary stamp or seal in this section: Notary Public, State of Michigan  
County of Wayne  
My Commission Expires Jun. 29, 2013  
Acting in the County of Wayne

Attached documents include the following:

- 1) Appellant's Brief as filed by attorney Joseph Firestone in case 267023
- 2) Appellant's Reply Brief as filed by attorney Joseph Firestone in case 267023
- 3) Court of Appeals "unpublished" decision in case 267023
- 4) Court of Appeals "Docketing Statement" filed "proper" by plaintiff on 12/26/07
- 5) Appellant's Brief as filed by plaintiff "proper" on 12/26/07
- 6) "More Definite Statement" filed in Ingham County Circuit Court case 07-1756-AW
- 7) Federal Complaint filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by attorney Daryle Salisbury.



For office use only:

RFI No. 08-17411

MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM

Instructions:

- (1) Type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete *both* pages of this form.
- (3) Have your signature notarized.
- (4) Make a copy of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include copies of any documents or transcripts that support your claim. Send *copies* of documents or transcripts only, not originals.
- (6) Return this original, completed form to:

Judicial Tenure Commission  
Cadillac Place  
3034 West Grand Blvd.  
Suite 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:

Name:

David Schied

Prisoner No., if any. \_\_\_\_\_

Address:

20075 Northville Place Dr.  
Northville, MI 48167

City/State/Zip

Northville, MI 48167

Daytime Phone

248-924-3129  
(late afternoon)

Evening Phone

248-924-3129

II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee:

Cynthia Diane Stephens

Name of Case

Schied v. Northville Public School District

Case No.

06-633604

District or Circuit Court No. \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Judge (circle one):

- ☐ Supreme Court  
☐ Court of Appeals  
☒ Circuit Court

- ☐ Probate Court  
☐ District Court  
☐ Municipal Court

- ☐ Magistrate  
☐ Referee  
☐ Other

Date and time of the alleged misconduct:

3/30/07

Your Attorney's Name:

Daryl Salisbury

Attorney's Phone No.

248-348-6820

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☒ yes ☐ no

(attached)

For office use only:

Judge Cynthia Stephens

III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:

(Attach additional sheets, if necessary)

- ① Violation of Ch. 1 Rule 1 of Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct - Judge Stephens used her judge's office to provide special treatment for government officers and their attorneys.
- ② Violation of Canon 1 of the Code of Judicial Conduct by acting with pre-judice bias against plaintiff and his attorney by: (a) allowing the defendants to argue a single sentence of the law right down to the placement of the comma for a detrimental interpretation against the plaintiff (b) while disregarding entire sentences and paragraphs of numerous statutes, including the very same statute argued by the defendants, that otherwise made the defendants' actions criminal offenses; (c) while failing to provide full faith and credit to Texas court orders and instead providing justification and "governmental immunity" for the defendants' criminal acts of first defrauding the plaintiff with written promises to destroy or return the plaintiff's "expunction" documents and then turning around and criminally placing the "non public" documents into the plaintiff's public personnel file; (d) and subsequently Judge Stephens mocked plaintiff's efforts and Texas laws and court orders by ruling the plaintiff had relied upon "myths" and was subject to a "life sentence" and that his set aside, pardoned + expunged 30 year old teen offense was proof of "unprofessional conduct". (See hearing transcripts + briefs.)
- ③ Details of #2 above are in violation of Canon 2 requiring judges to respect and observe the law.

I certify that I have read the information concerning the Judicial Tenure Commission's function, jurisdiction, and procedures included on the Commission's web site. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.

Your signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public,

on this 2 day of Jan 2008

Signature of Notary  
My Commission expires: 6-29-2013

Notary stamp or seal in this section:

MAUREEN A. JAHNKE

Notary Public, State of Michigan

County of Wayne

My Commission Expires Jun. 29, 2013

Acting in the County of Wayne

(DOWNLOAD)

Attached documents include the following:

- ① Plaintiff's "Complaint"
- ② Plaintiff's "Reply to Defendant's Summary Proposition Motion"
- ③ Affidavit of Plaintiff David Schied
- ④ Transcript of Motion hearing dated 3/30/07
- ⑤ Appellant's "Brief"
- ⑥ More Definite Statement (See pp. 73-89 in particular)
- ⑦ Federal Complaint filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by attorney Daryle Salisbury

For office use only:

RFI No. 08-17410

MICHIGAN JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION  
REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION FORM

Instructions:

- (1) Type or print all information, except your signature.
- (2) Complete *both* pages of this form.
- (3) Have your signature notarized.
- (4) Make a copy of this Request for Investigation for your files.
- (5) Include copies of any documents or transcripts that support your claim. Send *copies* of documents or transcripts only, not originals.
- (6) Return this original, completed form to:

Judicial Tenure Commission  
Cadillac Place  
3034 West Grand Blvd.  
Suite 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

I. INFORMATION ABOUT YOU:

Name: David Schied Prisoner No., if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: 20075 Northville Place Dr. City/State/Zip Northville, MI 48167  
North # 3120  
Daytime Phone 248-924-3129 Evening Phone 248-924-3129  
(late afternoon)

II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CASE:

Name of Judge/Magistrate/Referee: William C. Collette  
Name of Case Schied v. State of Michigan, et.al.  
Case No. 07-1256-AW District or Circuit Court No. \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Judge (circle one):

- |   |  |                                     |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supreme Court            | <input type="checkbox"/> Probate Court   | <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Court of Appeals         | <input type="checkbox"/> District Court  | <input type="checkbox"/> Referee    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Circuit Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal Court | <input type="checkbox"/> Other      |

Date and time of the alleged misconduct: 11/7/07; 12/7/07

Your Attorney's Name: pro per Attorney's Phone No. \_\_\_\_\_

Do you have any transcripts of the proceedings? ☒ yes ☐ no (attached)

For office use only:

Chief Judge William Collette

### III. PLEASE SET OUT THE FACTS YOU ALLEGE CONSTITUTE MISCONDUCT:

(Attach additional sheets, if necessary)

- ① Violation of Ch. 1, Rule 1 of Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct - Judge Collette's conduct was prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the court. He used his judge's office to provide special treatment for a lifelong friend (Patrick O'Brien) who was a criminal co-defendant in this case.
- ② Violation of Canon 1 of the Code of Judicial Conduct - Failure to uphold the integrity and independence of the judiciary. (See MI Court of Appeals Docketing Statement)
- ③ Violation of Canon 2(C) - failure to exhibit conduct and mannerisms that treat every person fairly, with courtesy, and respect. (See transcripts and details of plaintiff's "Motion for Disqualification and Removal of Judge for Judicial Misconduct")
- ④ Failure to follow proper procedure according to MCR 2.003(C)(2) and 2.003(C)(3) in hearing motions that were properly filed prior to dismissal of plaintiff's case; and thereby disregarding:

(a) MCR 2.003(B) Grounds for Disqualification of Judge (see details in accompanying documents + in particular pp. 18-20 in the "Appellant's Brief" to the Michigan Court of Appeals.

(b) MCR 2.223(A) - "If a civil action is improper the court shall order a change of venue upon timely motion or upon own accord. (See transcript and plaintiff's "Motion for Change of Venue on Finding of Lack of Jurisdiction")

(c) MC L-767.3 - authorizing a judge to direct an inquiry upon oral or written notification, filed under oath in belief that actual crimes have been committed, and with evidence submitted in proof thereof. (See all of plaintiff's supporting documents.)

I certify that I have read the information concerning the Judicial Tenure Commission's function, jurisdiction, and procedures included on the Commission's web site. I further swear (or affirm) that the above information is true and accurate, and I have been duly sworn by the attesting notary public listed below.

Your signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public,

on this 2 day of Jan, 2008

Signature of Notary

My Commission expires: 6-29-2013

[DOWNLOAD]

Notary stamp or seal in this section:

MAUREEN A. JAHNKE

Notary Public, State of Michigan

County of Wayne

My Commission Expires Jun. 29, 2013

Acting in the County of Wayne

Attached documents include the following:

- ① Hearing transcript dated 11/7/07
- ② Motion for Disqualification + Removal of Judge for Judicial Misconduct.
- ③ Motion for Change of Venue on Finding of Lack of Jurisdiction / Interlocutory Appeal and Order to Strike -
- ④ Michigan Court of Appeals "Docketing Statement"
- ⑤ Appellant's Brief to the MI Court of Appeals
- ⑥ Plaintiff's "More Definite Statement" and "Reply Brief to All Defendants' Motions for Summary Disposition"
- ⑦ Federal Complaint Filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983

**COMMISSIONERS**

JUDGE JEANNE STEMPIEN  
CHAIRPERSON  
JUDGE KATHLEEN J. MCCANN  
VICE CHAIRPERSON  
THOMAS J. RYAN, ESQ.  
SECRETARY  
NANCY J. DIEHL, ESQ.  
DIANE M. GARRISON  
JUDGE BARRY M. GRANT  
JUDGE Nanci J. GRANT  
RONALD F. ROSE  
JUDGE MICHAEL J. TALBOT



**PAUL J. FISCHER, ESQ.**  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
& GENERAL COUNSEL  
3034 WEST GRAND BLVD., SUITE 8-450  
CADILLAC PLACE BUILDING  
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48202  
TELEPHONE: (313) 875-5110  
FAX: (313) 875-5154

State of Michigan  
**Judicial Tenure Commission**

Wednesday, January 09, 2008

David Schied  
20075 Northville Place Dr.  
North #3120  
Northville, MI 48167

**RE: Request for Investigation No. 08-17406**

Dear Mr. Schied:

The Judicial Tenure Commission acknowledges receipt of your Request for Investigation, which has been assigned to a member of the Commission staff for review. The Commission must determine if this matter falls within its jurisdiction and whether the facts you have provided indicate a violation of any of the rules which the Commission enforces.

If further action is indicated, the Commission may authorize a full investigation. Upon completion of such an investigation the matter will be referred to the Commission for its further consideration. If the Commission needs additional facts or information from you, a member of the staff will be in touch with you. If, on the other hand, the Commission determines that the complaints contained in your Request for Investigation do not warrant further action, you will be notified of that decision as well.

Please be assured that we are acting as expeditiously as we can to give this matter careful attention. All communication regarding this Request for Investigation must be directed to the Commission staff and not the individual members of the Commission. You will receive written notice of the Commission's decision promptly after it is made.

Thank you for bringing this matter to the attention of the Judicial Tenure Commission.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul J. Fischer".

Paul J. Fischer  
Executive Director and  
General Counsel

PJF/wsb

David Schied  
20075 Northville Place Dr. North #3120  
Northville, MI 48167  
248-924-3129

January 16, 2008

Mr. Paul J. Fischer – Executive Director and General Counsel  
Judicial Tenure Commission  
Cadillac Place  
3034 West Grand Blvd., Suite 8-450  
Detroit, MI 48202

RE: Multiple complaints and Notice of Issuance of Multiple Investigation Numbers

Dear Mr. Fischer,

On Wednesday, January 9<sup>th</sup> you provided me with six investigation numbers on complaints filed with your office on six Michigan judges. None of the letters provided a connective reference to the individual judge for which each complaint was about. I am therefore writing today for clarification of which Investigation Number goes with which Judge.

Below I have provided two columns, one with investigation numbers that you provided to me, and the other with the names of the judges under investigation. Please format this information in such fashion as to adequately provide me with the proper links between the investigation numbers and the judges for whom those numbers relate.

08 – 17406	_____	Judge Melinda Morris – Washtenaw County Circuit Court
08 – 17407	_____	Judge Deborah A. Servitto – Michigan Court of Appeals
08 – 17408	<del>_____</del>	Judge Mark J. Cavanagh – Michigan Court of Appeals
08 – 17409	<del>_____</del>	Judge Karen M. Fort Hood – Michigan Court of Appeals
08 – 17410	<del>_____</del>	Judge Cynthia Diane Stephens – Wayne County Circuit Court
08 – 17411	<del>_____</del>	Judge William E. Collette – Ingham County Circuit Court

Thank you for assisting me in clarifying this matter at this beginning stage of your investigation of these judges.

Sincerely,

