David Schied – recent "totally and permanently disabled quad-amputee" and reported "crime victim"
P.O. Box 321 Spearfish, South Dakota 57783
605-580-5121 (all calls recorded)

12/16/2021

ATTN: Scott Harris – Clerk OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D. C. 20543

Re: Separate filing requests for all documents in TWO marked BOXES as "inextricably intertwined" entries to the ARTICLE III COURT OF RECORD on the two cases listed below:

David Schied v. UNITED STATES, et al 8th Cir. Court of Appeals # 21-2809 USDC-SDWD Civ. No. # 21-5030

David Schied v. U-HAUL INTN'L, INC. 8th Cir. Court of Appeals # 21-2873 USDC-SDWD Civ. No. #21-5035

Dear Mr. Harris,

Enclosed with cover letter – sent to you with identical "CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE" pages in two separately marked boxes ("1 of 2" and "2 of 2") being sent via PRIORITY MAIL WITH TRACKING with each box containing identical proof of service for all so far involved (including the SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES) – are the "ORIGINALS" and "10 COPIES" all of the documents being filed in the ARTICLE III COURT OF RECORD.

Please note that, per the instructions I found in the "<u>GUIDE FOR PROSPECTIVE INDIGENT PETITIONERS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI</u>" — which provides no indication that "10 copies" are required for either the "Motion" for filing "forma pauperis" or the "Affidavit/Declaration in Support of Motion…" — I have included only "originals", one for each case being presented herein.

Please also consider my status as an elderly, poor, and disabled when considering both my costs and Taxpayer costs of sending all of my materials back to me if something minor in my *good faith* filings needs correcting. Instead, just send notice of anything else required while still sending me either proof of filing or case number related to the docketing of each of these two cases.

Please also note that since I was DENIED "access" to the court to such extent that NONE of the named CO-TRUSTEES (except the "representative counsel" for the UNITED STATES as "TRUSTEE" for EACH case) were allowed to be served in these cases — and only Matthew Thelen is marked by the COURT OF APPEALS as the "receiver / agent / principal" for each action on behalf of ALL LITIGANTS in both cases — only he is being served (via email) by the pre-established standard established between he and I as a matter of this ARTICLE III COURT OF RECORD.

Thank you.

Respectively, /s/ David Schied – Sui Juris GRIEVANT/CLAIMANT BENEFICIARY/RELATOR PRIVATE, PUBLIC PROXY