

David Schied
P.O. Box 321
Spearfish, South Dakota 57783
605-580-5121 (all calls recorded)

9/20/2021

Attn: Michael Gans, Clerk of the Court
United States Court of Appeals *For The Eighth Circuit*
Thomas F. Eagleton U.S. Courthouse I I I South 10th Street., Room 24.329
St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Re: Filing of documents and service to the U-HAUL INTERNATIONAL, *et alia* (21-2873) and the UNITED STATES, *et alia* (21-2809)

Mr. Gans:

I have enclosed with this cover letter the following in PRINTED copies for your filing and service upon Mr. Michael Thelen as the “*opposing counsel*” and “*representative*” of the UNITED STATES, as indicated by the correspondence you sent to me in snapshot in relevant part below.

- 1) BRIEF on CLAIM and APPEAL (85 pages);
- 2) CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE [Rule 32(g)(1)] (1 page)
- 3) PROOF OF SERVICE (2 pages)

The Eighth Circuit has amended its local rules to provide that pro se litigants can use the CM/ECF filing system to serve their documents on opposing counsel. A copy of the Eighth Circuit Rule 25B is attached to this notice. Please review the rule carefully.

How Does It Work?

Under this new rule, pro se litigants do not have to mail copies of their filings to opposing counsel. Instead, the pro se party will file a copy of the pleading or other documents with the clerk of the Eighth Circuit, and the clerk will use the CM/ECF system to serve the filing on opposing parties. Upon receipt of your pleading, the clerk will make a docket entry, scan the document into the CM/ECF system, and serve it on all registered users through a CM/ECF Notice of Docket Activity. All response times and subsequent filing dates are calculated from the date of the Notice of Docket Activity. You will receive a paper copy of the Notice of Docket Activity to show your document has been filed and served. The rule applies to all letters, pleadings and briefs you file with the court.

Please note that the number of FACTS and items of EVIDENCE were so vast, it was impossible for me as a quad-amputee to construct a TABLE OF EXHIBITS and to print out and construct tabs for all of these documents that were DENIED DISCOVERY under the lower “*judge*” Piersol’s claim of “*No Facts*”. Therefore, in this “*digital age*”, I have uploaded all of these documents to my own COMMON LAW “*ARTICLE III COURT OF RECORD*” and included links in my PDF file of my “*BRIEF*” where all of these documents may be easily downloaded for the COA’s reference.

Seeing that you have NOT provided any email address for you to receive this PDF with links, to save any need for hand-typing these URL-addresses and make things as easy as one-click per document, I will send you the “*ORIGINAL SIGNED*” PDF doc if you will please send me a valid email address for that purpose. If you need a separate “*TABLE OF EXHIBITS*” referencing the same, just let me know. I will be asking for “*reasonable accommodations*” to be provided for that as the “*spirit*” of the ADA legislation allows to the disabled.

Sincerely, /s/ David Schied (totally and permanently disabled quad-amputee)