

**United States Court of Appeals**  
***For The Eighth Circuit***  
Thomas F. Eagleton U.S. Courthouse  
111 South 10th Street, Room 24.329  
**St. Louis, Missouri 63102**

**Michael E. Gans**  
*Clerk of Court*

**VOICE (314) 244-2400**  
**FAX (314) 244-2780**  
**[www.ca8.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca8.uscourts.gov)**

**August 12, 2021**

Mr. David Schied  
P.O. Box 321  
Spearfish, SD 57783

RE: **21-2809** David Schied v. United States, et al

Dear Mr. Schied:

The district court clerk has transmitted a notice of appeal and docket entries in this matter, and we have docketed them under the caption and case number shown above. Please include the caption and the case number on all correspondence or pleadings submitted to this court.

Your appeal is being referred to the court. **No briefing schedule will be established, and no additional pleadings are required from you. Our office will advise you of any action taken in your case.**

On June 1, 2007, the Eighth Circuit implemented the appellate version of CM/ECF. Electronic filing is now mandatory for attorneys and voluntary for pro se litigants proceeding without an attorney. Information about electronic filing can be found at the court's web site [www.ca8.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca8.uscourts.gov). In order to become an authorized Eighth Circuit filer, you must register with the PACER Service Center at <https://www.pacer.gov/psco/cgi-bin/cmecf/ea-regform.pl>. Questions about CM/ECF may be addressed to the Clerk's office.

Please note that service by pro se parties is governed by Eighth Circuit Rule 25B. A copy of the rule and additional information is attached to the pro se party's copy of this notice.

Please contact us if you have any questions about the case.

Michael E. Gans  
Clerk of Court

ASL

Enclosure(s)

cc: Mr. Matthew W. Thelen

District Court/Agency Case Number(s): 5:21-cv-05030-LLP

**Caption For Case Number: 21-2809**

David Schied, one of the Sovereign American People, a totally and permanently disabled  
RECENT QUAD-AMPUTEE, CRIME VICTIM, Common Law and Civil Rights sui juris  
GRIEVANT/CLAIMANT/BENEFICIARY (BENEFICIARY/RELATOR)

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

United States of America; Donald Trump, in his public capacity as former U.S. PRESIDENT for the UNITED STATES; Denise Paige Hood, in her private capacity and public capacity as "chief judge" for the USDCEDM; Victoria Roberts, in her private capacity and public capacity as "senior judge" for the USDCEDM; Avern Cohn, in her private capacity and public capacity as "senior judge" for the USDCEDM; U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, also known as USDCEDM; Kinikia Essix, in her private capacity and public capacity as "Clerk of the Court" for the USDCEDM; Office of the U.S. Attorney for the EDM; Matthew Schneider, in his private capacity and public capacity as former ASSISTANT AG for the STATE OF MICHIGAN and as U.S. ATTORNEY for the EDM; Barbara McQuade, in her private capacity and public capacity as former U.S. ATTORNEY for the EDM; Terrence Berg, in his private capacity and public capacity as former U.S. ATTORNEY and as U.S. District Court "judge" for the EDM; Stephen Joseph Murphy, in his private capacity and public capacity as former U.S. ATTORNEY and as U.S. District Court "judge" for the EDM; Michael Horowitz, in his private and public capacities, as USDOJ-OIG and CHAIR of PANDEMIC RESPONSE ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE, a DIVISION of the COUNCIL OF INSPECTORS GENERAL ON INTEGRITY AND EFFICIENCY; Nina Witkofski, in her private capacity, and in her public capacity as CHIEF OF STAFF, for the CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION; William P. Barr, in his private capacity, and in his public capacity as former U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL ("USAG"); Jeffrey A. Rosen, in his public capacity as former USAG; Merrick B. Garland, in his public capacity as USAG; Eric Dreiband, in his private capacity, and in his public capacity as former ASST. U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL for the CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION of the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ("USDOJ"); Christopher Cole, in his private capacity as the "criminally accused" and in his public capacity as USDOJ FBI Task Force Officer; Christopher Tarrant, in his private capacity as the "criminally accused" and in his public capacity as USDOJ FBI Special Agent; Ben Carson, in his private capacity and public capacity as former SECRETARY for the U.S. HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT ("HUD"); Rae Oliver Davis, in her private capacity, and in her public capacity as INSPECTOR GENERAL for HUD; David Montoya, in his private capacity, and in his public capacity as INVESTIGATOR for the OFFICE OF INVESTIGATION of the HUD OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL; Christi Grimm, in her private capacity, and in her public capacity as PRINCIPAL DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL of the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; Seema Verma, in her private capacity, and in her public capacity as DIRECTOR of the CENTER FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES ("CMS") of USDHHS; Andrew Saul, in his private and public capacities as COMMISSIONER for the SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; Sonny Purdue, in his private capacity, and in his public capacity as SECRETARY of the U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE; Devon Westhill, in his private capacity, and in his public capacity as DEPUTY of the OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CIVIL RIGHTS for the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE; Roberto Contreras, in his private and public capacities; DIRECTOR, CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION of the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE; Betsy DeVos, in her private capacity, and in her public capacity as former SECRETARY for UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; Steven T. Mnuchin, in his private capacity, and

his public capacity as former SECRETARY of UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY; Eugene Scalia, in his private capacity, and his public capacity as former SECRETARY for the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ("USDL"); State of Michigan; Gretchin Whitmer, in her private and public capacities as MICHIGAN GOVERNOR; Rick Snyder, in his private and public capacities as former MICHIGAN GOVERNOR; Jennifer Granholm, in her private and public capacities as former MICHIGAN GOVERNOR; Dana Nessel, in her private and public capacities as MICHIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL; Bill Schuette, in his private and public capacities as former MICHIGAN AG; Michael Cox, in his private and public capacities as former MICHIGAN ASSISTANT AG; Richard Cunningham, in his private and public capacities as former ASSISTANT AG; Charter County of Wayne, a countywide crime syndicate, domestic terrorist network operating as a continuing financial crimes enterprise; State Bar of Michigan; Travis Reeds, in his private capacity and public capacity as "judge" for the 52-1 DISTRICT COURT OF MICHIGAN, operating as a continuing financial crimes enterprise; Attorney Grievance Commission; Dominic Sylvestri, in his private capacity, and in his public capacity as a MICHIGAN "officer of the court" for the "52-1 JUDICIAL DISTRICT" of the STATE OF MICHIGAN; Ava K. Ortner, in her private capacity as the Criminally "Accused" and as an "eviction" attorney; Ava K. Ortner, in her public capacity as a MICHIGAN "officer of the court" and as LEGAL GUARDIAN FOR Donald Thorpe, Jr., a disabled veteran and the Criminally "Accused"; Donald Thorpe, Jr., a disabled veteran and the Criminally "Accused"; Kevin Skully, in his capacities as "ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE" for the MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS, MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES AND ENERGY; Sally Talberg, Chairman of the MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION; Jerry Labut, in his private capacity as former AMI PROJECT MANAGER for DTE ENERGY; Beverly Buritz, in her private capacity as OPERATIONS SUPERVISOR for DTE ENERGY; DTE Energy; Bill Gatt, in his private capacity and his public capacity as MAYOR of the CITY OF NOVI; Novi City Council; Paul Gobeille, in his private capacity, and in his CORPORATE capacity as SENIOR VICE-PRESIDENT for COLLIERS INTERNATIONAL; Michael Yamada, in his private capacity, and in his CORPORATE capacity as PRINCIPAL for COLLIERS INTERNATIONAL; Colliers International; Everett Stern, in his private and CORPORATE capacities as "Intelligence Director" as TACTICAL RABBIT, a private CORPORATION; Tom Masseau, in his private capacity, and in his CORPORATE capacity as former Director of MICHIGAN PROTECTION AND ADVOCACY SERVICE ("MPAS" NOW "DISABILITY RIGHTS MICHIGAN") and PRESIDENT for NATIONAL DISABILITY RIGHTS NETWORK; Robin Jones, in her private capacity, and in her CORPORATE capacity as DIRECTOR; Peter Berg, in his private capacity, and in his CORPORATE capacity as TECHNICAL AND PROJECT COORDINATOR for the GREAT LAKES ADA CENTER at the INSTITUTE ON DISABILITY AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT at the UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS; University of Illinois; Susan Fitzmaurice, in her private capacity, and in her CORPORATE capacity as CO-FOUNDER of MICHIGAN ADA 30th ANNIVERSARY CELEBRATION and, CO-FOUNDER of IDEAAS-SUSAN FITZMAURICE and TEDDY'S Ts AND BUTTONS; Lora Frankel, in her private capacity, and in her CORPORATE capacity as CO-FOUNDER of MICHIGAN ADA 30th ANNIVERSARY CELEBRATION and VSA MICHIGAN; Christopher Fitzmaurice, in his CORPORATE and PRINCIPAL of IDEAAS-SUSAN FITZMAURICE and TEDDY'S Ts AND BUTTONS; Trans Union, LLC, a credit reporting CORPORATION; Equifax Information Services LLC, a credit reporting CORPORATION; Experian Information Solutions, a credit reporting CORPORATION; Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency, a quasi-governmental student originator, servicer, and debt collector operating as the CORPORATE FICTION of "FEDLOAN SERVICING", also known as PHEAA; Nelnet, Inc., a student loan servicing CORPORATION; Educational Credit Management Corporation, a student loan guarantor CORPORATION, also known as ECMC; Richard Fairbank, in his private and his CORPORATE capacity as

FOUNDER/CHAIRMAN/PRESIDENT/CEO of CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION; Capital One Financial Corporation, an INACTIVE credit card, credit extension and debt collection CORPORATION otherwise doing business fraudulently and in the STATE OF MICHIGAN in discriminatory and predatory fashion in 2020 and 2021; Jane and John Does, 1-30 (as may be named in subsequent "amended" filings)

Defendants - Appellees

**Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals**

**PRO SE Notice of Docket Activity**

The following was filed on 08/12/2021

**Case Name:** David Schied v. United States, et al

**Case Number:** 21-2809

**Docket Text:**

Civil case docketed. [5065164] [21-2809]

**The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:**

Document Description: Notice of Appeal Docket Letter

**Notice will be mailed to:**

Mr. David Schied  
P.O. Box 321  
Spearfish, SD 57783

**Notice will be electronically mailed to:**

Mr. Matthew W. Thelen: coadocs@sdd.uscourts.gov

**Addresses For Case Participants: 21-2809**

Mr. David Schied  
P.O. Box 321  
Spearfish, SD 57783

Mr. Matthew W. Thelen  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
District of South Dakota  
302 U.S. Courthouse  
Rapid City, SD 57701

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 21-2809

David Schied, one of the Sovereign American People, a totally and permanently disabled  
RECENT QUAD-AMPUTEE, CRIME VICTIM, Common Law and Civil Rights sui juris  
GRIEVANT/CLAIMANT/BENEFICIARY (BENEFICIARY/RELATOR)

Appellant

v.

United States of America, et al.

Appellees

---

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the District of South Dakota - Western  
(5:21-cv-05030-LLP)

---

**ORDER**

If the original file of the United States District Court is available for review in electronic format, the court will rely on the electronic version of the record in its review. The appendices required by Eighth Circuit Rule 30A shall not be required. In accordance with Eighth Circuit Local Rule 30A(a)(2), the Clerk of the United States District Court is requested to forward to this Court forthwith any portions of the original record which are not available in an electronic format through PACER, including any documents maintained in paper format or filed under seal, exhibits, CDs, videos, administrative records and state court files. These documents should be submitted within 10 days.

August 12, 2021

Order Entered Under Rule 27A(a):  
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

---

/s/ Michael E. Gans



## **NOTICE TO PRO SE LITIGANTS REGARDING SERVICE OF THEIR DOCUMENTS EFFECTIVE DATE - OCTOBER 1, 2010**

The Eighth Circuit has amended its local rules to provide that pro se litigants can use the CM/ECF filing system to serve their documents on opposing counsel. A copy of the Eighth Circuit Rule 25B is attached to this notice. Please review the rule carefully.

### **How Does It Work?**

Under this new rule, pro se litigants do not have to mail copies of their filings to opposing counsel. Instead, the pro se party will file a copy of the pleading or other documents with the clerk of the Eighth Circuit, and the clerk will use the CM/ECF system to serve the filing on opposing parties. Upon receipt of your pleading, the clerk will make a docket entry, scan the document into the CM/ECF system, and serve it on all registered users through a CM/ECF Notice of Docket Activity. All response times and subsequent filing dates are calculated from the date of the Notice of Docket Activity. You will receive a paper copy of the Notice of Docket Activity to show your document has been filed and served. The rule applies to all letters, pleadings and briefs you file with the court.

### **How Do I Know If I Have to Serve Someone With a Paper Copy of My Pleading?**

When the case is docketed, the clerk will provide the pro se parties in the case with a listing of the parties and attorneys in the case. The list will designate those parties and attorneys being served by CM/ECF and those parties and attorneys being served by mail. Nearly all attorneys practicing before the court are registered CM/ECF filers, and the clerk will serve your pleadings on these attorneys through a CM/ECF electronic notice. However, there will be some cases where attorneys or parties are not registered CM/ECF filers, and you must serve these parties by mailing a copy of your pleading to them at the time you file the pleading with the court. See FRAP 25. Please review the service list carefully to see if there are any parties or attorneys you must serve with a paper copy of your pleading. If you have any questions about service of a party or attorney, please contact the clerk's office.

### **Do I Still Need a Certificate of Service?**

Yes. Your certificate of service should show the date the document was mailed to the clerk and the names of all parties or attorneys you served by mail. A sample certificate is attached.

---



**Rule 25B: FILING BY PRO SE LITIGANTS WHO ARE NOT REGISTERED  
CM/ECF FILERS**

**(a) General Provisions.** Pro se litigants who are not registered users of the CM/ECF system may use CM/ECF to serve their pleadings on registered users of the system. When the case is docketed, the clerk will provide the pro se litigant with a listing of the parties to the case which will show whether a party can be served electronically by the clerk or must be served by mail by the pro se litigant. If a party to the appeal is a registered CM/ECF user or is represented by a registered user, the clerk will perform service on the party pursuant to the provisions of these rules, and the pro se litigant is not required to serve a paper copy of the pleading on the registered user. If a party to the appeal is not a registered user or is not represented by a registered user, the pro se litigant must serve a paper copy of the document on the party in accordance with the provisions of FRAP 25 at the same time he files the document with the clerk.

**(b) Duties of the Clerk and Service on Parties to the Appeal.** When a pro se litigant files a paper document with the clerk's office, the clerk will scan the document into the CM/ECF system and create a docket entry for the pleading showing its filing date. The clerk will then provide every registered user with an electronic Notice of Docket Activity. This electronic Notice of Docket Activity will constitute service of the document on the registered user for purposes of FRAP 26, and the date of the electronic Notice of Docket Activity will serve as the date of service for purposes of FRAP 26(c). Response or reply times for non-registered users who receive their service by mail will be calculated in accordance with the provisions of FRAP 26(c).

**(c) Pro Se Certificate of Service.** Every document filed by a pro se litigant shall include a certificate of service which provides the date the party mailed the document to the clerk, together with the names of any parties or attorneys the pro se party served by mail. A sample pro se certificate of service can be found at Appendix B to these rules.

**(d) Clerk to Provide Copy of Notice of Docket Activity .** The clerk will provide the pro se filer with a paper copy of the Notice of Docket Activity showing the date the document was filed and the names of the persons the clerk served electronically.

---

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE  
FOR PRO SE DOCUMENTS

On the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, the undersigned party served the following document or documents (list documents):

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

on (list names and addresses of parties or attorneys):

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

by delivering a copy by (state how you served the document, such as U.S. Mail):

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Print or Type Name)