

David Schied
P.O. Box 321
Spearfish, South Dakota 57783
605-340-4439 (all calls recorded)

8/9/2022

Attn: CLERK OF COURT for the
SOUTH DAKOTA SUPREME COURT
SCClerkBriefs@ujs.state.sd.us

**Please SEND BACK
PROOF OF
DATE-STAMPED
“MOTION”
FILINGS**

Dear SUPREME COURT CLERK,

Please file the following documents as copied to the FOURTH (4TH) CIRCUIT COURT:

- 1) **CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE** (4 pages);
- 2) **“‘FORMAL OBJECTION’ and ‘LEAVE FOR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL TO THE ‘SOUTH DAKOTA SUPREME COURT’ ON CONSTRUCTIVE AND DISCRIMINATORY DENIALS OF MOTIONS FOR ‘IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION’ AND FOR ‘SERVICE ON ONE CONSTITUTING SERVICE ON MANY’ ... AS BASED ON ...”** (41 pages)

Please also review and consider filing the added enclosed documents accompanying this cover letter as FIVE ATTACHMENTS; and note that I am a recent “*totally and permanently disabled quad-amputee*” requesting “*reasonable accommodations*” from this Court. The documents, inclusive of the following listing, are self-explanatory; however, if you have any questions, please notify me right away. I wish to have date-stamped copies returned to me of – at minimum – all of the following “*cover pages*” for each of these filings listed below (and on the “CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE” that were “*received*” today by the FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT, as considered thus “*filed*”:

- 1) **CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE** (4 pages);
- 2) **“‘FORMAL OBJECTION’ and ‘MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION’ AND TO ‘SHOW CAUSE’ ON 7/29/22 INFORMAL AND DISCRIMINATORY ‘ARTICLE I MAGISTRATE’ INSTRUCTIONS IN LIEU OF HEARING, ON 7/18/22 ACTUAL FILING DATE OF MOTION FOR ARTICLE III COMPLIANT DECLARATORY STATEMENTS”** (39 double-sided pages)
- 3) **“‘MOTION FOR ‘THE COURT’ TO ‘SHOW CAUSE’ FOR ‘HIS’ SAID ‘BELIEFS’ DEEMED OTHERWISE TO BE ‘PREJUDICIAL,’ AND IN STARK VIOLATION OF THE UNITED STATES LAWS GOVERNING THE PRESERVATION AND PROTECTION OF THE ‘DIGNITY’ AND OTHER ‘RIGHTS OF DISABLED AMERICANS’ WHO – AS EXAMPLIFIED IN THIS CASE – ARE PERSISTINGLY RECLAIMING THEIR ‘SOVEREIGN’ STATUS AGAINST THE ‘ADMINISTRATIVE [DEEP] STATE’”** (40 double-sided pages)
- 4) **BENEFICIARY / RELATOR / PRIVATE PUBLIC PROXY David Schied’s: “MOTION TO CORRECT THE FOURTH (4TH) CIRCUIT COURT RECORD OF LAWRENCE COUNTY, BASED CRIMINALLY UPON FRAUD BY AGENTS OF ‘THE COURT’ ENGENDERED AS A ‘HE’ AND SERVING AS THE ALTER-EGO OF ‘JUDGE STAWN’**

[A.K.A. 'JUDGE STRAWN'] ACTING 'PREJUDICIALLY' AND OUTSIDE OF ARTICLE III COMPLIANCE ... AS BASED ON ... (41 double-sided pages)

- 5) **“‘FORMAL OBJECTION’ and ‘LEAVE FOR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL TO THE ‘SOUTH DAKOTA SUPREME COURT’ ON CONSTRUCTIVE AND DISCRIMINATORY DENIALS OF MOTIONS FOR ‘IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION’ AND FOR ‘SERVICE ON ONE CONSTITUTING SERVICE ON MANY’ ... AS BASED ON ...**” (the same three reasons stated in #2 and #3 above) (41 double-sided pages)

ALSO NOTE that I have replied back to the MAGISTRATE/CLERK Carol Latuseck in response to the letter that she wrote to me dated 7/29/22, as follows in brief:

- 1) I have asked that (Latuseck) please provide to me all information about the “ODESSEY” filing system and how I may acquire direct access to filing in that system;
- 2) I have asserted that, given (Latuseck’s) assertion that I am being forbidden the ease and the “*reasonable accommodation*” of filing my documents in the same fashion of correspondence as she asserts a DEMAND upon me as a “*totally and permanently disabled quad-amputee*” without MEDICAID to pay for “ACCESSING” my community and Post Office to actually be MANDATED to go to the Post Office (without the STATE-guaranteed means for my doing so), then I REFUSE to accept (her) “*directed*” proposal that (she) be furnished with the ease of “*serving*” me more of (her) illicit “*stuff*” by email;
- 3) I have stated that, since I do not engage with the “STATE BAR” as a purported “CRIME SYNDICATE,” I have asked that she please NOT send back to me any more of her “STATE BAR” marketing paraphernalia in promotion of her/your networked MONOPOLY and “JUST US” club;
- 4) I have asked that (Latuseck) please send me any PROOF that she has that “*the court*” identified by the masculine pronoun “*he*”, has “*opined*” anything prior to 7/29/22 as she has referenced in paragraph #3 of her 7/29/22 letter.
- 5) I have asserted that, as a “*totally and permanently disabled quad-amputee*” without MEDICAID to pay for TRANSPORTATION and “ACCESS” to my community and Post Office, I AM NOT GUARANTEED TO RESPOND TO ANYTHING the MAFISTRATE/CLERK Latuseck sends to me as “*directed by ‘the court’*” since, in order to do so requires resources beyond my human means according to ANY COERCED schedule that either she or your “*Judge Stawn / Judge Strawn*” may attempt to impose upon me in DOMESTIC TERRORIST fashion.

Sincerely,

/s/ **David Schied** – one of the sovereign American People acting directly as “*Beneficiary*” and as “*Private, Public Proxy*” on behalf of the sovereign People as “TAXPAYERS” living in both the STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA and elsewhere in the UNITED STATES

* The above signature is authorized by David Schied as a “*totally and permanently disabled quad-amputee*” with “*reasonable accommodations*” exercised by Right according to laws provided by the AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT. Also, given that this “*quad-amputee*” is not being properly afforded public transportation, prosthetic legs, or mobility devices maintained by the STATE; and given that he does not “*drive*” or have a “*driver’s license*,” it is a “*reasonable accommodation*” to provide such a disabled individual the entitlement to “*serve process*” upon these CO-TRUSTEES by electronic email instead.