

David Schied – BENEFICIARY / RELATOR
P.O. Box 321
Spearfish, South Dakota
(all calls recorded)
605-580-5121

7/13/2021

Attn: Clerk of the Court – Matthew Thelen
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
400 S. Phillips Ave.
Sioux Falls, SD 57104
Matt.Thelen@sdd.uscourts.gov

RE: Subsidiary filings for the *Schied v. UNITED STATES, et al* case

Clerk of the Court Matthew Thelen:

Please file the following list of documents to the above referenced case as copied below from the accompanying “PROOF OF SERVICE” also dated today.

- 1) BENEFICIARY’s / RELATOR’s IDENTIFICATION OF “CO-TRUSTEES” DOES 1-17 (as named individually being former “DOES”); (133 pages) and
- 2) DEMAND (OR ORDER) FOR FEDERAL SPECIAL GRAND JURY INVESTIGATION; (same as cover page depicted above)
- 3) EXHIBITS #1-17 (referencing “*Service of Process*” of the newly identified “DOES”; in accompaniment of previously filed 18-page “*BENEFICIARY’s MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE OF SUBPOENAS AND COMPLAINTS BY U.S. MARSHALS WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS*”; (17 pages)
- 4) This instant PROOF OF SERVICE (1 page)

Additionally, I have noted that – differently than I have ever seen any other STATE or UNITED STATES “*Clerk of the Court*” do – you are placing my cover letters directed to YOU in request for your filing my documents (as a totally and permanently disabled litigant) as “NUMBERED FILINGS” (in this instant case as “DKT. ITEMS #2,3, and 4 just behind in order the “ORIGINAL COMPLAINT”). In a second case I filed of “*David Schied v. U-HAUL INTERNATIONAL, INC.*”, you filed my cover letter to YOU as the “*Clerk of the Court*” instead as an “EXHIBIT” instead of a fully numbered document. I find these actions not only inconsistent, but very peculiar!

I therefore ask you to explain your actions fully with regard to including as “*formal public filings*” these simple cover letters addressed administratively to you as if I had submitted them intentionally as somehow relevant to the purpose and outcome of the case as filings of my choice, when this is clearly NOT the case. I meant for these documents to be communications with YOU concerning administrative actions in the handling of the OTHER filings relevant to the case(s).

As such, you have ten (10) from your receipt of this new cover letter and other filings as referenced above, before I take further action by a separate complaint to the CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE in charge of this DISTRICT COURT. Please include in your written answer back to me any relevant COURT

RULE that authorizes you to create such court records out of cover letters from litigants as addressed to the “Clerk of the Court”; and provide appropriately “*date-stamped*” examples of other standard cover letters in accompaniment of separate “*PROOF OF SERVICE(s)*” to support your contention that this is otherwise “*standard treatment*” for every “*attorney of record*” that files in your U.S. DISTRICT COURT Clerk’s Office.

Respectively,

/s/ David Schied

BENEFICIARY / RELATOR to the Sovereign People