United States	SUPREME COURT
David Schied, on behalf of " $Student A$ ",, Plaintiff)))
v. SCOTT SNYDER, LYNN MOSSOIAN, KENNETH ROTH, RICHARD FANNING, JR., DAVID SOEBBING, HARVALEE SAUNTO, DONNA PARUSZKIEWICZ, MARY E. FAYAD, SUSAN LIEBETREU, DONALD S. YARAB, CATHERINE ANDERLE, ARNE DUNCAN, in both their)) Case No. 10-1176 –) 6 th Circuit CofA) 09-11307 – USDC EDMSD)
individual and official capacities Defendants	
NOTICE O	F APPEAL
Notice is hereby given that David So in the above named case, hereby appeal to of the entered by the U.S. Court of Appeals 1/19/11.	
By:	Daniel Schiff
DATED: April 11, 2011	
	David Schied
	Pro Se PO Box 1378
	Novi, Michigan 48376
	248-946-4016

No.

In The Supreme Court of the United States

David Schied, on behalf of "<u>Student A</u>", <u>Petitioner</u>

v.

SCOTT SNYDER, LYNN MOSSOIAN, KENNETH ROTH, RICHARD FANNING, JR., DAVID SOEBBING, HARVALEE SAUNTO, DONNA PARUSZKIEWICZ, MARY E. FAYAD, SUSAN LIEBETREU, DONALD S. YARAB, CATHERINE ANDERLE, ARNE DUNCAN, in both their individual and official capacities

Respondents

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari
From The United States Court of District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan
and
From the United States Court of Appeals of the Sixth Circuit

MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS

David Schied Pro Se PO Box 1378 Novi, Michigan 48376 248-946-4016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GROUNDS FOR RELIEF	. 4.
RELIEF SOUGHT	8.
	e
TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES	
28 U.S. C. §1915	. 3.
U.S. Supreme Court Rules, Rule 39(1)	3.
28 U.S.C. §1746	3.
MCL 767A1-767A9	5
18 U.S.C. § 3332	6
Rule 2 107(F)	8

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff-Appellant David Schied relies upon

28 U.S. C. §1915 for PROCEEDING IN FORMA PAUPERIS as follows:

"Subject to subsection (b), any court of the United States may authorize the commencement, prosecution or defense of any suit, action or proceeding, civil or criminal, or appeal therein, without prepayment of fees or security therefor, by a person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets..."

The Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Rule 39(1) states:

"A party seeking to proceed in forma pauperis shall file a motion or leave to do so, together with the party's notarized affidavit or declaration (in compliance with 28 U.S.C. §1746) in the form prescribed by the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Form 4. The motion shall state whether leave to proceed in forma pauperis was sought in any other court and, if so, whether leave was granted."

28 U.S.C. §1746 states:

"Wherever, under any law of the United States or under any rule." regulation, order, or requirement made pursuant to law, any matter is required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the sworn declaration, verification, certificate, statement. oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of office, or an oath required to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such matter may, with like force and effect, be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the unsworn declaration, certificate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is subscribed by him, as true under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form: 1) If executed without the United States: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)"; 2) If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)"

In the history of this case, Petitioner was granted "forma pauperis" status by both the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, based upon Plaintiff/Appellant/Petitioner's compliance with the above statutes and Rules of Civil Procedure.

Now, accompanying this instant "Motion for Permission to Appeal in Forma Pauperis" to the Supreme Court, is yet another – updated – "Affidavit Accompanying Motion for Permission to Appeal in Forma Pauperis". Petitioner has also signed this affidavit while in compliance with the above statutes, procedures and/or rules. (See "EXHIBIT]")

Therefore, I, David Schied, hereby make declaration that the case being presented on Appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court from the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, involves violations of civil rights and criminal offenses for which my family and I are yet unrecognized "CRIME VICTIMS".

Though this case has been filed in a civil court, it calls for a Grand Jury investigation and indictments because I have properly submitted these criminal claims with the Wayne County (Michigan) Sheriff's Department, the Northville City Police, and with the Office of the Wayne County Prosecutor only to obtained evidence of their having have it refused my crime report without "due process" of a proper handling of these criminal matters through use of the Michigan <u>Code of Criminal Procedures</u>.

In addition, I have sought "victims' relief, as guaranteed under the Michigan state Constitution, by requesting access to a criminal grand jury at both the "State" level and at the "United States" levels.

At the state level it appears that county and state grand juries of citizens have been rendered obsolete by the "investigative subpoena statute". (See Senate Bill 85 codified as MCL 767A1-767A9) According to the Michigan Criminal Law Annual Journal (Vol.1, No.1, 2002) that law, otherwise implemented to help in solving "cold cases" beginning January 1, 1996, has effectively instead turned Michigan into a state that no longer has a "standing grand jury system". 1

At the Federal level, Plaintiff/Appellant/Petitioner David Schied has documented his pleas-turned-demands upon judges, courts, and federal prosecutors for access to a federal special grand jury to investigate his criminal allegations against an ever-expanding list of attorneys and their clients as government "agents", who are creatively committing a variety of felony offenses "under color of law" to cover-ups the preceding crimes of their "peer group" of other government

¹

 $^{^1}$ "Although we do have two very specific " $Grand\ Jury$ " statutes, they are unique creatures of the law, and only utilized rarely, in very special circumstances. MCL 767.3, et seq. authorizes the use of a "one-man grand jury"- that being a judge. Witnesses can be summoned before a judge to answer questions under oath regarding a particular crime. At the end of the investigation, the judge decides whether to return an indictment. The proceedings are held in secret, and any violation of secrecy can result in criminal punishment being imposed against the violator. This type of grand jury is typically utilized to investigate public corruption cases. MCL 767.7b et. seq. also authorizes a "Multi-County Grand Jury" to be convened solely for the purpose of investigating crimes crossing two county borders. The attorney general, or each participating county prosecutor, must file a motion seeking permission in the Michigan Court of Appeals to convene such a multi-county grand jury. Its membership must consist of not less than 13 nor more than 17 members. Secrecy provisions also govern the multicounty grand jury. These grand juries are most commonly used to investigate drugdealing organizations, which generally operate in several counties. These statutory provisions are the only ones authorizing a grand jury in the state of Michigan. There are no provisions for a regular, standing grand jury by which normal crimes are to be routinely investigated and reviewed for charging and indictment." (Citation found at: http://www.michbar.org/criminal/pdfs/CLJ2002-InvestigativeSubpoenas.pdf)

officials through "fraud upon the court", deprivation of due process and other constitutional rights, and through the issuance of grossly misleading and fraudulent iudicial "decisions" and "rulings".

The most recent of these crime reports was submitted in a formal letter of criminal complaint to BARBARA McQUADE, the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, just this past Tuesday, April 5, 2011 by hand-delivery to Assistant U.S. Attorney Regina McCullough. (See "EXHIBIT >")

That letter referenced numerous supporting documents provided to Ms.

McCullough for forwarding to U.S. Attorney McQuade and/or to the "Criminal Civil Rights Division" official (thus far unnamed). Note that the subject line heading of that letter reads as follows:

"Report of large scale conspiracy of multi-tiered government crimes (misdemeanor and felony); Request and/or Demand for access to a federal grand jury; for reporting these crimes (as they occurred individually and collectively) to a federal special grand jury as statutorily provided under 18 U.S.C. § 3332."

As a result of these crimes continuing for over seven (7) years, my family and I have been subject to millions of dollars in added suffering and damages. At the time of filing of this instant "motion" with the United States Supreme Court, divorce proceedings are finalizing in State court as the end result of what has become the ultimate destruction of the Petitioner's "family" by these "government racketeering and corruption" crimes.

This court case involves allegations of criminal fraud upon the court, conspiracy to deprive of rights under the <u>Individuals with Disabilities in Education</u>

Act (IDEA) and the <u>Family Educational Rights to Privacy Act</u> (FERPA) through "color of law", obstruction of a criminal investigation, retaliatory suspension of a child with a disability, denial of due process, and the denial of the civil right to criminal protection.

At the base of this chain conspiracy of government agencies is a school administration and law firm, the KELLER THOMA, P.C. law firm, that has been defrauding other State and Federal courts while committing crimes against Plaintiff/Petitioner "Student A" and his father David Schied, and with a cover-up of these crimes rising to the top of the Department of Education government chain by a mix of significant numerous omissions and misstatements from each "self-policing" government agency comprising this "corrupt" government "racket"; thus, leading to a persistent "deprivation of rights under color of law" at each level of Plaintiff/Petitioner's "redress" of these grievances. Such mischaracterization of the claims and misapplication of the laws have also manifested in the Federal courts, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, as well as the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

Altogether these crimes have placed my family and me in severe financial hardship, while the crimes continue to be perpetuated by the codefendants/respondents, and with new government offenses being committed with virtually each place Mr. Schied goes for crime victims' relief.

I therefore lack the funds to pay for the costs of filing, and simply cannot afford the plethora of copying costs, notices of service and mailing costs, and other

costly fees required in presenting the multiplicity of arguments and evidence to the Court and to the Defendants in this federal case.

RELIEF SOUGHT

I hereby move for the Court to issue an Order to:

- Permit Plaintiff to proceed without payment of filing or any other court related fees, or service fees;
- Direct the clerk of the court to file the pleadings without payment of filing or any other court related fees; and
- Direct the Defendants to allow Plaintiff to serve future papers in this
 action upon Defendants as respondents in accordance with the
 Michigan court rules [Rule 2.107(F)] allowing for a "Motion for Filing
 of a Pleading and Service on an Adverse Party Constituting Notice of It
 to All Parties".2

Respectfully submitted,

By: Kamel Schiff

DATED: April 7, 2011

Michigan Court Rules, Rule 2.107(F), provides that, "The Court, on motion or on its own initiative, may order that (4) the filing of pleading and service on an adverse party constitutes notice of it to all parties." In addition, MCL 775.20 holds that, "The expense of all prosecutions against persons holding or who may have held state office, for malfeasance in office, shall be paid from the general fund, by the state treasurer, and the board of state auditors are hereby authorized and empowered to all just and legal claims for such prosecutions, and this section shall be deemed to apply to the expenses of any prosecution already commenced, as well as to any which may occur in the future."

VERIFICATION

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct based upon my personal knowledge.

As the aggrieved party, UCC 1-102(2) Reserving my rights Without Prejudice UCC 1-308, I, David Eugene: from the family of Schied, am pursuing my remedies provided by [the Uniform Commercial Code] UCC 1-305.

This AFFIDAVIT, is subject to postal statutes and under the jurisdiction of the Universal Postal Union. No portion of this affidavit is intended to harass, offend, conspire, intimidate, blackmail, coerce, or cause anxiety, alarm, distress or slander any homo-sapiens or impede any public procedures, All Rights Are Reserved Respectively, without prejudice to any of rights, but not limited to, UCC 1-207, UCC 1-308, MCL 440.1207. Including the First Amendment to The Constitution of the Republic of the united States of America, and to Article One Section Five to The Constitution of the Republic of Michigan 1963 circa. The affiant named herein accepts the officiate of this colorable court oath of office to uphold the constitution, and is hereby accepted for value.

David Schied

Pro Se

Executed on April 11, 2011.

David Schied

 $Pro\ Se$

PO Box 1378

Novi, Michigan 48376

248-946-4016

Email: deschied@yahoo.com

Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of, 2011.			
Notary Public, County, MI acting in County Michigan.			
My Commission Expires: July 27, 2011			

No.

In The Supreme Court of the United States

David Schied, Petitioner

V.

SCOTT SNYDER, LYNN MOSSOIAN, KENNETH ROTH, RICHARD FANNING, JR., DAVID SOEBBING, HARVALEE SAUNTO, DONNA PARUSZKIEWICZ, MARY E. FAYAD, SUSAN LIEBETREU, DONALD S. YARAB, CATHERINE ANDERLE, ARNE DUNCAN, in both their individual and official capacities Respondents

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari
From The United States Court of District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan
and
United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

APPENDIX OF REFERENCED EXHIBITS

IN ACCOMPANIMENT OF MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS

David Schied Pro Se PO Box 1378 Novi, Michigan 48376 248-946-4016

APPENDIX OF REFERENCED EXHIBITS

DESCRIPTION OF ENTRY	DATE	RECORD ENTRY
		NO.
8-page "Affidavit Accompanying Motion for		
Permission to Appeal in Forma Pauperis'	4/11/2011	1
11-page letter of criminal complaint to U.S.		
Attorney Barbara McQuade and the	3/31/2011	2
Criminal Division of the U.S. Attorney for		
the Eastern District of Michigan		



No.

In The Supreme Court of the United States

David Schied, on behalf of "Student A", Petitioner

V.

SCOTT SNYDER, LYNN MOSSOIAN, KENNETH ROTH, RICHARD FANNING, JR., DAVID SOEBBING, HARVALEE SAUNTO, DONNA PARUSZKIEWICZ, MARY E. FAYAD, SUSAN LIEBETREU, DONALD S. YARAB, CATHERINE ANDERLE, ARNE DUNCAN, in both their individual and official capacities

Respondents

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari
From The United States Court of District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and
United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS

David Schied Pro Se PO Box 1378 Novi, Michigan 48376 248-946-4016

Affidavit in Support of Motion

I swear or affirm under penalty of perjury that, because of my poverty, I cannot prepay the docket fees of my appeal or post a bond for them. I believe I am entitled to redress. I swear or affirm under penalty of perjury under United States laws that my answers on this form are true and correct. (28 U.S.C. § 1746; 18 U.S.C. § 1621.)

Instructions

Complete all questions in this application and then sign it. Do not leave any blanks: if the answer to a question is "0," "none," or "not applicable (N/A)," write that response. If you need more space to answer a question or to explain your answer, attach a separate sheet of paper identified with your name, your case's docket number, and the question number.

Signed: / Much / Chap wo preplue Date:

My issues on appeal are: all on record with the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

1. For both you and your spouse estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.

Income source	Average monthly amount during the past 12 months		Amount expected next month	
	You	Spouse	You	Spouse
Employment	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Self-employment	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Income from real property (such as rental income)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Interest and dividends	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Gifts	\$0	\$ 0	see below*	see below*
Alimony	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Child support	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Retirement (such as social security, pensions, annuities, insurance)	\$	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Disability (such as social security, insurance payments)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Unemployment payments	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Public-assistance (such as welfare)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Other (specify):	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total monthly income:	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

^{*} Wife has admitted to getting some small amounts each month from her mother while undergoing divorce proceedings this past month. That amount is expected to be around \$100 per month and she refuses to reveal the exact amount.

2. List your employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of employment	Gross monthly pay
Brighton Area Schools (where defendants are all employed)	125 South Church St. Brighton, MI 48116	Have been unemployed for 2 ½ of the past 3 years as a direct result of this allegations in this case	Have been unemployed for 2 ½ of the past 3 years.
			\$
			\$

3. List your spouse's employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of employment	Gross monthly pay
Meijers Supermarket	Unknown in Livonia	January through early December 2009	\$ 333 / mo.
			\$
			\$

4. How much cash do you and your spouse have? \$___0_

^{*} I am living and supporting a family of three solely on federally subsidized student loans. Any cash available is borrowed by guarantee of institutions and the federal government.

Below, state any money you or your spouse have in bank accounts or in any other financial institution.

Financial Institution	Type of Account	Amount you have	Amount your spouse has
UM Credit Union	checking	\$ \$1596.85 for living and school expenses only	\$ 0 is what she is reporting to the judge in our divorce
		\$	\$
		\$	\$

If you are a prisoner, you must attach a statement certified by the appropriate institutional officer showing all receipts, expenditures, and balances during the last six months in your institutional accounts. If you have multiple accounts, perhaps because you have been in multiple institutions, attach one certified statement of each account.

5. List the assets, and their values, which you own or your spouse owns. Do not list clothing and ordinary household furnishings.

Home	Other real estate	Motor vehicle #1
(Value) \$ N/A	(Value) \$ N/A	(Value) \$ 200
		Make and year: 1993 Honda
		Model: Accord
		Registration #: CEJ6211

Motor vehicle #2	Other assets	Other assets
(Value) \$	(Value) \$	(Value) \$ 200
Make and year:		1995 Mercury
Model:		Mystique
Registration #:		Unknown – Wife has a documented learning disability and unable to keep records; and because of divorce proceedings I have no authority to access or control this record.

6. State every person, business, or organization owing you or your spouse money, and the amount owed.

Person owing you or your spouse money	Amount owed to you	Amount owed to your spouse
N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
	\$	\$
	\$	\$
	\$	\$

7. State the persons who rely on you or your spouse for support.

Name [or, if a minor (i.e., underage), initials only]	Relationship	Age
N.D. Schied (underage child)	Dependent child	14

8. Estimate the average monthly expenses of you and your family. Show separately the amounts paid by your spouse. Adjust any payments that are made weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate.

	You	Your Spouse
Rent or home-mortgage payment (including lot rented for mobile home) Are real estate taxes included? [] Yes [x] No Is property insurance included? [] Yes [x] No	\$ 779	\$ 0
Utilities (electricity, heating fuel, water, sewer, and telephone)	\$ 320	\$ 0
Home maintenance (repairs and upkeep)	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Food	\$ 400	\$ 50
Clothing	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Laundry and dry-cleaning	\$ 20	\$ N/A

Medical and dental expenses	\$ currently in debt on unpaid amounts on credit cards of over \$2500	\$ N/A
Transportation (not including motor vehicle payments)	\$ 80	\$ unknown – spouse refuses to reveal
Recreation, entertainment, newspapers, magazines, etc.	\$ N/A	\$ unknown — spouse refuses to reveal
Insurance (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage page	ayments)	
Homeowner's or renter's:	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Life:	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Health:	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Motor vehicle:	\$ 55	\$ 55
Other:	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Taxes (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments) (specify):	\$ unknown due to hardship in being unable to calculate	\$ unknown due to learning disability and in being unable to calculate
Installment payments		
Motor Vehicle:	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Credit card (name): *Wife's credit card companies and debts are unknown PNC Bank CARECREDIT/GEMB GE Money CHASE BANK OF AMERICA	\$ MINIMUM ranges from \$10 / month to \$120 /month	\$ Wife's credit is shot and collection payments are being handled through her mother during divorce proceedings.
Department store (name):	\$ N/A	\$ N/A

Total monthly expenses:	\$ around \$1725 / mo	\$ 55 and otherwise unknown
Other (specify): #15,000 in student loans are being "deferred" while collecting interest	\$	\$
Regular expenses for operation of business, profession, or farm (attach detailed statement)	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Alimony, maintenance, and support paid to others	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Other:	\$ N/A	\$ N/A

€.	Do you expect any major changes to your monthly income or expenses or in your assets or liabilities during the next 12 months?		
	[] Yes [x] No	If yes, describe on an attached sheet.	
10. I am a	connection with this case, inc	be paying — an attorney any money for services in cluding the completion of this form? [] Yes [x] No history of "forma pauperis" status in the United States court.	
	If yes, how much? \$ If yes, state the attorney's nan	ne, address, and telephone number:	
!1.		paying-anyone other than an attorney (such as a paralegal vices in connection with this case, including the completion	
	If yes, how much? \$	e, address, and telephone number:	

I am a CRIME VICTIM and for which the documentation in possession of the United States district court and Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals well describes.

Provide any other information that will help explain why you cannot pay the docket fees

12.

for your appeal.

Novi, l	Michigan	
	Your daytime phone	number: (<u>248)946-4016</u>
	Your age: <u>53</u>	Your years of schooling: <u>17</u>
	[Last four digits of] y	your social-security number: <u>7754</u>

State the [city and state] of your legal residence.

13.

David Schied
P.O. Box 1378
Novi, MI 48376
248-946-4016
(no phone calls please; email or in-person conferences only)

Delivery of this document was made in person in the company of at least one witness

3/31/2011

U.S. Attorney Barbara McQuade Attn: Criminal Division 211 West Fort Street, Suite 2001 Detroit, MI 48226 313-226-9700

Re: Report of large scale conspiracy of multi-tiered government crimes (misdemeanor and felony); Request and/or Demand for access to a federal grand jury; for reporting these crimes (as they occurred individually and collectively) to a federal special grand jury as statutorily provided under 18 U.S.C. § 3332.

To U.S. Attorney Barbara McQuade:

For the past 7 ½ years I have been properly reporting to State and United States government officials, both in the judiciary and in law enforcement, that crimes are being committed against me by Michigan school district officials. I have also been reporting that these crimes involve codified laws and regulations governing strict "contracts" between the Federal government and the State of Michigan that are being criminally violated, and with multi-tiered felony "cover-ups" of these crimes by government officials operating in both the judiciary and in law enforcement, and at both the State and the Federal levels.

In 2007, I reported some of these crimes to former U.S. Attorney <u>Stephen Murphy</u>, now a U.S. District Court judge. He, through his "assistants" at the U.S. Attorneys' office, refused to assist me in this matter other than to direct me to the FBI and to the Federal courts. From 2007 to the present, I have pursued both avenues only to uncover additional evidence of an even larger cover-up of these crimes by malfeasant FBI agents, DOJ employees, and federal judges who were unwilling to address the exact facts, evidence, and laws which I have been persistently citing as I continue to gather further evidence of the reoccurrences of the original crimes by Michigan school district officials and their cohorts.

I have properly filed "judicial misconduct" complaints only to find the "same pattern" of coverup by these "self-policing" systems, at both the State and Federal levels. Like the actions of the malfeasant prosecutors and judges I have meticulously tracked, those charged administratively with the "oversight responsibility" of their lower-level government systems have ignored the obvious, conducted mock or nonexistent "investigations", and have fraudulently published official "findings" designed solely to whitewash the offenses of those they are responsible for investigating and evaluating. In doing so, these higher level government "agency" officials repeat the harmful criminal offenses of their predecessors; again, while violating both State and Federal statutes, as well as depriving me personally of my rights, and while committing compounded crimes against me.

All these offenses, committed blatantly by these government officials in response to and in spite of my very explicit statements, mounds of explicit evidence, and explicit references to State and United States statutes, amounts to nothing less than a "chain conspiracy" of felonies by definition of the RICO Act and numerous other statutes.

Moreover, my numerous formal reports to the former U.S. Attorney Murphy, to the FBI, to the U.S. Department of Justice, to U.S. Attorney General <u>Eric Holder</u>, to the U.S. District Courts, to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, to the Sixth Circuit's "*Judicial Council*", and to U.S. Supreme Court justice <u>John Roberts</u>, <u>Jr</u>., have all gone mistreated or completely disregarded as indicated by the "*pattern*" referenced above. Then all of these formal reports have been subsequently dismissed, and most often by the publishing of erroneous facts inclusive of intentionally constructed "*omissions*" showing such dismissals were the actual objective all along, not the proper application of the law, due process, or the honoring of government Oaths to uphold the Constitution and the laws of the State and/or of the United States.

Nevertheless, the very basic facts of the original crimes remain the same: School district officials are continually distributing to the public, under the Freedom of Information Act, evidence of their earlier offenses, against me and against the FBI and Congress. The nature of these "predicate" offenses, is that in 2003 and 2004 these school district officials and their agents deprived me of my rights under federal statute [28 CFR §50.12(b)] to "challenge and correct" erroneous FBI reports received by the two school districts under privilege of the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact and Title 5, U.S.C. §552 (Privacy Act of 1974). [The CJIS Policy Council Act, 1974 PA 163 (MCL 28.211 et. seq.) is the State of Michigan's complimentary law allowing "conditional" access to the federal criminal history database, and with the Michigan Attorney General being charged with properly supervising the terms by which the Michigan State Police follow those conditional terms when providing criminal history obtained from that database to Michigan employers under this "Compact".)

Essentially, while one group of school district administration's office personnel in Michigan continues to respond to FOIA information about me by proffering copies of the erroneous 2003 FBI report through the mail again and again (minimally in 2003, 2006, and in 2009 as the testimonial evidence proves), another Michigan school district administration continues to respond to information about me by proffering copies of the Texas court "Order" that was used to correct that FBI report, which is otherwise strictly "prohibited in the use and dissemination" of ANY information referenced by that "expungement" court order.

Additionally, while criminally distributing these "nonpublic" documents, these school district officials also defend their actions through public declarations that disregard the legislative effect of laws and court orders delivered many decades ago, otherwise intended to protect citizens like me from the malicious criminal actions of these local Michigan government officials. Additionally, through their representative "agents", attorneys and prosecutors licensed by the Michigan State Bar (who are acting in collusion with the local government "under color of law"), this local government of school district administrators have been persistently defrauding State and Federal courts, the State Attorney General, the Office of the Governor, the FBI, the DOJ, and the Office of the U.S. Attorney General, all through their exercise of what are otherwise supposed to be "self-policing" duties. As a

result, these *original* ("<u>predicate</u>") offenses are exacerbated, compounded, and repeated, over and over again in a vicious cycle of "secondary" felony crimes.

I have too much well-organized evidence to even begin to prove and explain each one of my "allegations" by this instant letter and "new" approach to the Office of the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan. What I am providing however is a smidgeon of evidence that should reasonably prove that my statements above are accurate, and that there is "reasonable cause" to believe that crimes are being committed at both the "predicate" and the "secondary" levels to constitute, by definition, felony criminal racketeering and corruption by specifically named government officials.

It should be noted by your office that your predecessor, former U.S. Attorney <u>Terrence Berg</u>, was previously served in 2008 and 2009, along with the U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder (and the former U.S. Attorney General <u>Michael Mukasey</u>), with a very thorough accounting of the people involved with this "chain conspiracy of crimes".

One of these times by which your United States Department of Justice offices were "fully informed" by me, occurred at the time I filed a formal federal complaint in the U.S. District Court naming numerous federal judges and DOJ employees, including former U.S. Attorney-turned-federal-judge Stephen Murphy, for their intentional malfeasance and gross negligence, and their repeated mishandling and dismissal of my numerous previous crime reports about State government officials committing these "same" types of felony offenses for which their offenses were then also subsequently patterned. That first case is being referred to as "Schied v. Daughtrey, et. al" (Complaint No. 08-14944 filed on or about 11/25/08) and it was illegitimately quashed and thrown out "with prejudice" by Judge Lawrence Zatkoff. Although I filed a judicial misconduct complaint with the Sixth Circuit's "Judicial Council" against Judge Zatkoff, again, the "finding" of that judicial body, in that case, reflected only more of the same (felony malfeasance committed "under color of" law, rules, and the actual deprivation of administrative and constitutional due process) by these "self-policing" government officials.

The second time U.S. Attorney Terrence Berg and U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder (and former U.S. Attorney General Michael Mukasey) was notified about this "chain conspiracy" of crimes, was during U.S. District Court and Sixth Circuit Court proceedings in a case involving retaliation against my elementary school-aged child by the administration of one of the aforementioned school districts (Northville Public Schools). This was the case of "David Schied, on Behalf of 'Student A' versus Scott Snyder, et al'" (Complaint No. 5:09-CV-1130; U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, Case No. No. 10-1176) During this case I provided these federal DOJ officials with an extensive itemization of criminal offenders involved in this ongoing government cover-up. The documents, which were titled as "Sworn and Notarized Crime Report" and dated 2/10/10, were never responded to directly by either Berg or Holder, or by any of their representative agents. That formalized crime report remains unaddressed in the possession of the Office of the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, and also with the Office of the U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder.

The documents I am initially providing to you are only the very "tip of the iceberg" of documents I have in store, organized and prepared for showing, as these documents have all been repeatedly reorganized, summarized, itemized, and re-presented over and over again in previous years to those I now charge with felony "misprision of felony",

"misprision of treason", "obstruction of justice", and other "high crimes and misdemeanors". Some of these documents, of which I now entrust to you along with this letter, are listed immediately below and they should present a healthy cross-section of "alleged offenses" for which I, as well as the American public, am entitled to responsible government action.

Enclosed with this cover letter are the following sets of documents:

- 1) "Sworn Affidavit of Earl Hocquard" This sworn and notarized testimony of Earl Hocquard is complete with exhibits showing that the employees of one of the two school districts referenced above have indeed been committing the crimes alleged, and that the more recent documented incident occurred in early 2009;
- 2) "Crime Report", dated 9/23/10, addressed to Oakland County "Prosecutor Jessica Cooper" This crime report brings proper and in-depth attention to the extent to which I have gone in reporting attorney misconduct, both professional and criminal, and these attorneys' repeated violations of State and Federal laws as well as professional standards of ethics and their Oaths as "officers of the court", in order to "win" their cases on behalf of their school district and/or insurance company clients. This sworn and notarized crime report, one of very many, also shows to what extent these "defense" attorneys are willing to go when committing felony "fraud upon the court"; and the extent to which such types of criminal complaints are feloniously disregarded by both law enforcement officers and prosecutors, who are otherwise sanctioning the continuance of these crimes by their "peer group" of other government officials, those working as school district administrators, and their associative "BAR" members working as attorneys and judges in numerous Michigan counties.
- Tenure Commission" This packet contains six separate "judicial misconduct" complaints on Michigan judges, four residing at the Michigan Court of Appeals, one as the "chief" justice of the Ingham County Circuit Court, and one judge of the Washtenaw County Circuit Court. Though the "form" of the Complaint itself leaves the complainant with little room for detailing complaints, the large number of supporting documents accompanying each of these complaints as well as the sheer number of complaints being submitted at once should have provided this "self-policing" agency with enough information to tell that something very wrongful was going on in the courts to lead into this deliberate and plainly evident "miscarriage of justice". NOTE: The designated "Chairperson" for the Judicial Tenure Commission overseeing the resolve of each of these complaints as being in "no violation of judicial canons" was Wayne County Circuit Court judge Jeanne Stempien, who has lived in the town of Northville for the past quarter-century where the second group of school district "defendants" have been and continue operating to commit their ongoing crimes against me.
- 4) An over one-inch (1") thick packet of documents comprising my FORMA PAUPERIS "Plaintiff's Response and Brief in Support of Response to the Northville Public Schools Defendants' Motion for Summary Disposition and Dismissal" This is one of many documents I filed in the Wayne County Circuit Court case, initiated in December 2009, and with the Judicial Tenure Commission "Chairperson" Jeanne Stempien presiding as the judge over this particular 3rd Judicial Circuit Court case. This State

court civil case "Response" and "Brief of Support" includes approximately 350 pages of direct testimonial about the manner in which Judge Stempien spent the entirety of 2010 procedurally "gutting" my Complaint against the original the school district criminal offenders and the so-called "law enforcement agencies" of the Wayne County Sheriff's Department and Office of the Wayne County Prosecutor who I had alleged were committing secondary felony offenses in malfeasant "cover-up" of the Northville school district administrators' predicate crimes.

The "<u>Response</u>" provides the overview of how this judge placed procedure over substance, ignoring the obvious – the "<u>Sworn Affidavit of Earl Hocquard</u>" – throughout the year proving a "new incident or occurrence" in 2009; and while she also prevented me from conducting proper "discovery" of the Defendants so to ultimately end up <u>dismissing</u> the "Wayne County Defendants" (comprised of law enforcement officials and prosecutors) and subsequently "closing" the case illegally to leave the school district and Plaintiff (me) "hanging" and without knowledge that the case had been closed, effectively preventing me from taking this case to the Court of Appeals for lack of such knowledge that the case had been closed.

Exhibits #1-5 in support of the Response are all sworn and notarized Affidavits, with four of those five being signed by "court-watchers" as witnesses to numerous of the proceedings and testifying as to the manner in which Judge Jeanne Stempien and her supervisory "chief" Judge Virgil Smith conducted a procession of hearings that denied me "due process" and proved to "any reasonable person" that these judges were not only acting with bias, favoritism, and prejudice toward "the accused" criminal government defendants, but that such a reasonable assessment by these citizen onlookers had led to the determination that the actions of these judges went so far beyond the bounds of simple "judicial misconduct" to be felony crimes themselves.

The exhibits in testimonial about the judge's actions also reflect the level at which the public school defendants and their attorneys also engaged in a "conspiracy to commit fraud upon the court". Exhibit #6 was one of nearly 90 exhibits presented at the onset of this case in 2009, and again during the summer of 2010, in proof of such fraud by demonstrating relevant elements of a hearing transcript from a preceding case in 2007 whereby Judge Cynthia Diane Stephens (a judge who was elevated to the Court of Appeals some time after ruling in my case) ruled that the documentation I obtained in proof that a 2004 erroneous FBI report and otherwise proved that I had successfully exercised my federally statutory right to "challenge and correct" that FBI report "WAS A MYTH" and that "SCHOOLTEACHERS IN MICHIGAN ARE SUBJECT TO LIFE SENTENCES" while then ruling to further sanction the ongoing criminal activities of the "Northville Public Schools" defendants.

"Exhibit #7" is the docket sheet from another 2007 case that I had filed in the Ingham County Circuit Court, in which the documents I filed with the court proved beyond reasonable doubt that the school district attorneys had been lying to numerous courts since that case, in claim that I had not filed a "More Definite Statement" (comprising an "Amended Complaint") when the docket sheet otherwise shows that not only had Ingham County "chief" Judge William Collette dismissed my case without acknowledging this "More Definite Statement" document, but that he had also

dismissed my case without hearing on numerous motions that I had paid to have filed and heard by the court, including a "Motion for Judge Collette to Disqualify Himself" based upon his recorded and unrecorded actions at the first case hearing.

It should be noted that this particular "exhibit #7" underscores the fact that in that 2007 case I had named the former Governor Jennifer Granholm, the Michigan Attorney General Mike Cox, numerous law enforcement officials employed by the Michigan State Police, the Northville City Police, the Wayne and Washtenaw county offices of the prosecutors; and the Michigan Department of Education and employees of their various departments and regional (RESA) subdivisions, as well as the Northville school district officials and members of the Keller Thoma and Plunkett-Cooney law firms who represented them; numerous employees of the Michigan Department of Civil Rights; the Attorney General Mike Cox's wife, the Wayne County Commissioner Laura Cox and the rest of the Wayne County Commission; and even the judges of the Supreme Court, all of whom I had gone to – with and without attorney representation – with reasonable evidence and argument showing that these school districts (Lincoln Consolidated Schools in Washtenaw County and Northville Public Schools in Wayne County) were committing crimes against me while their attorneys were otherwise "defrauding" the courts and working with corrupt judges in joint membership of the Michigan State Bar to issue "fraudulent official rulings" upon the public and the higher courts.

- 5) A separate "Affidavit of Court-Watchers" This affidavit references the events that took place at the Wayne County Circuit Court in the courtroom of Judge Jeanne Stempien on July 23, 2010. It provides yet another sworn and notarized testimonial to complement the others provide with the "Response" above showing the manner in which this "Judicial Tenure Commission chairperson" conducted her court hearing with not only judicial malfeasance, but also with criminal intent to use "procedure over substance" and "color of law" to deprive me of my Constitutional rights and to provide the criminal government "actors" with proper cover and "relief" from being properly exposed for their government "racketeering and corruption".
- 6) The "Register of Actions" ("Docket Sheet") for case no. 09-030727-NO This set of pages provides another element of proper support of my claims, and the claims of the Affidavits provided by "court-watchers" as eyewitnesses to the crimes perpetrated by Judge Jeanne Stempien while operating from the bench. Most significantly, they provide evidence that after dismissing my claims against "The Wayne County Defendants" (officers of the Wayne County Sheriff's Department and the attorneys of the Office of the Wayne County Prosecutor) this judge (Stempien) then conspired with her staff of court "clerks" to "close" the entire case "off the oral record" so to constructively preclude my knowing about this action and thus depriving me "under color of law" of my right to further "expose" this case by taking the dismissal of "all" the defendants - even if I could afford it as a FORMA PAUPERIS litigant – to the Michigan Court of Appeals. [Note that the only records that have been generated since the closing of this case have been those pertaining to the subsequent fraudulent motion filed by the school district officials unwittingly "reopening" the lower court case and bringing cause for me to file the abovereferenced "Response" document depicting these crimes by this corrupt judge, Jeanne Stempien. As of the date of this instant "crime report" to the U.S. Attorney Barbara

McQuade, Judge Stempien has not yet ruled in the matter covered by these fraudulent "Defense" argument and the Plaintiff's "whistle-blowing" arguments submitted to the Court with additional proof of a criminal cover-up by the school district crimes by corrupt Michigan judges committing the "high crime" of "treason".]

- The "Precipe", "Notice of Hearing", and the "Northville Public Schools Defendants'

 Motion for Summary Disposition and Dismissal Brief in Support" This document, filed by the attorneys acting on behalf of the second school district administration committing crimes against me, is yet another piece of evidence in the criminals own words proving that these attorneys from the Keller Thoma Law Firm (minimally consisting of Thomas Fleury, Barbara Buchanan, and Jennifer Rupert) have long been committing "fraud upon the court" in order to provide cover for the continuance of their crimes against me and against the intent of Congress and the States in the setting of proper laws protecting the privacy rights of individuals like myself who have successfully "challenged and corrected" erroneous State and Federal criminal history records.
- 8) "Sworn and Notarized Criminal Complaint" dated 3/8/11 filed with the "Friend of the Court Enforcement Unit of the 'Courts Division' of the Wayne County Sheriff's Department and Benny Napoleon" This set of 28 pages of formalized criminal complaint underscores the fact that two previous criminal complaints had been filed with the Wayne County Sheriff but left unanswered, and that as a direct or indirect result of that negligence, there was a "new" criminal occurrence committed by employees of the "Friend-of-the-Court Family Division" of the 3rd Judicial Circuit Court (a.k.a. "The Wayne County Circuit Court"). This set of documents also underscore that all of the above-related crimes committed against me as a FORMA PAUPERIS litigant and against my family, have ultimately destroyed my family altogether.

These documents, submitted in the form of a <u>sworn Affidavit</u>, provides reference to statements, evidence, and laws supporting the allegation that two Friend of the Court employees conspired together to "cover-up" the crimes of their government predecessors as fellow "Wayne County government employees" by blaming me (the <u>crime victim</u>) personally instead for the breakdown of the marriage, and while conducting unethical, illegitimate, and illegal activities in the course of their executing their job duties so to intentionally "defame" me and "prejudice" my "standing" in a family divorce and child custody case that was initiated in mid-2010 and is still ongoing in the Wayne County Circuit Court. Note that this 28-page complaint also underscores the vast number of State and Federal laws that are available and applicable in proving the "chain conspiracy" of government crimes with which these latest two county employees are being shown to have chosen to support.

9) The "Plaintiff's Notice and Affidavit of Support of Notice for Objection to Fraudulent Factual Findings And Report of Friend-of-the-Court as the Agents of the Third Judicial Court of Michigan Acting to Provide a Criminal Cover-Up of Plaintiff's Report of Government Crimes and Request for Help in Getting a Criminal Grand Jury Investigation and Plaintiff's Otherwise Limited and Conditional Agreements with the Recommendation of MSW Intern Erica Owen and LMSW, LMFT FAME Supervisor Priscilla Wells in Regards to Child Custody" — Though this document title is long, it does show how blatantly descriptive I have gotten when boldly placing my allegations

right on the front of my documents submitted to the court, and when confronted at nearly every aspect of my cases, with ever-more corruption in and around the Wayne County Circuit Court.

In the instant of this particular document, like so many other previous times I have confronted my tormentors with direct written accusations "on the face" of my documents, my allegations were completely disregarded by the Wayne County Circuit Court judge. In this case, the judge is Judge Muriel Hughes, against whom I have now submitted my third (3rd) "Motion for Judge to Disqualify Herself Based Upon Extreme Prejudicial Bias Against Men and in Favor of Women, and for Judicial and Criminal Misconduct" (scheduled for upcoming hearing on Friday, April 15th).

Of the first two of such previous motions with near the same title on the face of the document, Judge Hughes dismissed the first because my allegations of judicial and criminal misconduct "failed to include an affidavit". She denied my motion despite that I had filed it within four days after a previous hearing in which she had rendered my attorney completely "impotent" and while finding me in "contempt of court" and held to "\$500 in personal sanctions" after swearing me in and then refusing to allow me to talk as she stripped all of my rights from my attorney and mischaracterized me on the record. (Note that since I took over this case Judge Hughes has reversed her decision on the contempt and sanctions while refusing to admit the err in her treatment of me or my attorney at the aforementioned initial hearing.) The second "motion for judge to disqualify herself' this Judge Hughes denied off the record by refusing to even accept it for hearing, telling me that I should take it to the Wayne County Circuit Court "chief" Judge Virgil Smith, which I did with numerous court-watchers as my witnesses, which yielded the results of that hearing in the "Sworn Affidavit of Courtwatchers on 12/17/10" seen as "Exhibit #5" above pertaining to the case involving Judge Stempien. (See documents listed above as collectively comprising #4 in the list.)

The fact is that after providing to Judge Hughes this "Plaintiff's Notice and Affidavit of Support of Notice for Objection to Fraudulent Factual Findings and Report of Friend-ofthe-Court...." a hearing was held on March 10, 2011 in which, on the record and in front of Judge Hughes (while she was sitting at the bench) I brought up the issues of these persistent crimes being perpetrated against me by Michigan government officials, and while getting on the record that I was issuing to the bailiff as the Wayne County Sheriff's Department deputy, my latest "Crime Report" concerning my criminal allegations against these two "Friend-of-the-Court" employees representing the "3rd Judicial Circuit Court", who I was alleging had committed crimes against me by way of also committing gross "frauds upon the court". Yet, while on the record, Judge Hughes did nothing to address either what I had filed or my deliberate actions and barefaced claims in her courtroom and on the record in front of court-watchers as my witnesses. She simply and intentionally ignored all of this material, a reflection of the overriding FRAUD being perpetrated upon the public by her "peer group" of other judges making numerous State and Federal court rulings inclusive of numerous significant "omissions" and "misstatements of fact" which can and will be proven when such an opportunity arises.

10) My follow up letter, dated 3/31/11 and addressed personally to Wayne County

Sheriff Benny Napoleon – This letter candidly shows a subject-line reflecting that the letter was written in regards to: "Unanswered crime report delivered to Wayne County Sheriff's Department Deputy W. Taurence (Badge No. 2166) being employed at the courtroom of the Wayne County Circuit Court, Courts Division" and with an accompanying "Request for a crime report and case number". As the end of the letter shows, it was sent only recently to Benny Napoleon along with four other supporting documents and photos, including a copy of the original "Sworn and Notarized Criminal Complaint dated 3/8/11" which was furnished to the court bailiff W. Taurence in the presence of court-watchers and in the courtroom of Judge Muriel Hughes as referenced in #8 above.

This letter memorializes what occurred "off the record" during a chance meeting with that Sheriff's deputy two weeks later in the 17th floor hallway where Judge Stempien holds her court and where the Wayne County Sheriff's Department "Court Division" headquarters is also situated. The letter documents that despite signs posted at the public elevators directing people to the Room 1711 as the appropriate place for "Service of Papers" upon the Wayne County Sheriff, this sheriff's deputy had elected to simply retain possession of my crime report rather than to forward it to his supervisors as I had directed him to do in front of Judge Hughes and witnesses in the courtroom. The letter also documents how he had responded to my inquiry about the 3/8/11 crime report by telling me that his supervisors had known about the crime report but nevertheless had instructed him to "hold on" to them indefinitely, obstructing the furtherance of my crime report to local law enforcement; and ultimately "aiding and abetting" in the cover up of the alleged crimes while fostering an environment for the perpetration of even more similar crimes against me.

U.S. Attorney Barbara McQuade:

Even if it could have been the case that I was somehow wrong in bringing my initial criminal accusations against the school district officials, which I am <u>not</u> wrong about, the documentation in EVIDENCE demonstrates a serious dereliction of duty on the part of government officials – including numerous judges – to provide me with proper "due process" in the handling of these allegations, both civil and criminal. You must believe that I have been gathering such examples of intentional gross negligence, conspiracy to malfeasance, and tortuous interference with my efforts to seek both civil and criminal remedies for the past seven and a half years.

I have tried everything including submitting "writs of mandamus" in demand for a grand jury or special master to investigate my allegations since prosecutors and judges refuse to do so. I know that the State of Michigan has rendered the "grand jury" obsolete by legislating into law the "investigative subpoena statute" over a decade ago before I ever moved to Michigan. I also have gone outside the State to the federal courts and to two of your predecessors as the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, only to have my allegations constructively "dismissed" every time – "under color of law" – without any form of proper address, thus sending the clear message to the government criminals that they may continue with the "status quo" of continued criminal violations against me and against my dependent family. All I have been left with is the Evidence of that "chain conspiracy" of criminal malfeasance and "treason" against the State and against the United States, committed by both State and Federal

government officials as "actors"; and I can guarantee you that all this evidence is nicely organized and ever-ready to deliver, piece-by-piece, to a federal special grand jury if you will convene one to hear my extensive reporting of grand-scale government "corruption and racketeering" going on right here in the Eastern District of Michigan.

Put simply, I clearly understand that <u>18 U.S.C. § 3332</u> (Powers and duties of the special grand jury) state the following:

"(a) It shall be the duty of each such grand jury impaneled within any judicial district to inquire into offenses against the criminal laws of the United States alleged to have been committed within that district. Such alleged offenses may be brought to the attention of the grand jury by the court or by any attorney appearing on behalf of the United States for the presentation of evidence. Any such attorney receiving information concerning such an alleged offense from any other person SHALL, if requested by such other person, inform the grand jury of such alleged offense, the identity of such other person, and such attorney's action or recommendation."

Ms. McQuade, I also realize that there are "constructive" ways that you too might employ to dismiss me as your predecessors have done. You might follow the above statute and provide the special grand jury with a heavily "watered down" version of my criminal allegations. You might add on top of that a "recommendation" that they disregard my claims because so many other government officials at the State and Federal level have done so, reasoning that it must only be me that is acting unreasonably. You might NOT follow the law above and only say that you did follow the law, reasoning that "grand jury proceedings are secret" and that it is none of my (or the public's) business what was conveyed to the grand jury by the U.S. Prosecutor. You might assign the task of informing one of your "assistant U.S. Attorneys" to the task of informing the grand jury on your behalf, without giving them all of the information. They might address the grand jury while delivering their own "watered down" version of the details I have provided, or without actually reading all of the information I, or you, have provided. They, or you, could represent the documents above as being "complete", without mentioning to the special grand jury that this is "only the tip of the iceberg", and that as such, my allegations are "under color of law" otherwise deemed as "incomplete", "vague", "conclusory", or otherwise insufficient to establish "reasonable cause" to for the grand jury to investigate specific individuals I have named or have yet to name. These examples can go on and on, I know, because I've seen these very types of things being implemented by government officers regularly for the last several years; and I've come to recognize and even anticipate these types of responses from the government otherwise entrusted to act on behalf of our People rather than on behalf of themselves.

In any regard, my focus at this point is on the final sentence of the above-phrased definition of 18 U.S.C. § 3332 when delivering to you my explicit "request" that you, having received the above listed statements of "information" and having been also informed that much more of other supporting documents are further available, inform the grand jury of my identity and the nature of the alleged offenses I am reporting to you; and that you subsequently INFORM ME IN WRITING OF THE RECOMMENDATION YOU MAKE, OR INTEND TO MAKE TO THE GRAND JURY, on behalf of "The People of the United States".

Respectfully,

Attachments/Enclosures:

• 10 sets of documents as described above in numbers 1-10 in summary descriptions