

David Schied
P.O. Box 1378
Novi, MI 48376
248-946-4016
deschied@yahoo.com

12/29/11

Certified Mailing: 7010 1870 0000 7499 3066

William K. Suter, Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20543
(202) 479-3011

Re: Petition for Rehearing of Denial of Petitions for Certiorari No. 11-5937 and No. 11-6015 and for Mandamus No. 11-5945. Return of original filings to the U.S. Supreme Court

Dear Mr. Sutter,

I would like to remind you of my letter dated 8/17/11 in complaint of the manner in which my original documents arrived back to me in disarray, with folded and torn documents and divisional tabs, and with other obvious evidence of mistreatment and the destruction of documents that I had submitted to your U.S. Supreme Court in good faith effort to exercise my right to due process on civil and criminal complaints about government racketeering and corruption, and while in demand for access to a criminal grand jury investigation of these reported crimes. As you know, the instant cases before the Supreme Court provides clear notice that the crimes being reported also involve criminal cover-up of these government crimes by State and United States judges I have accused of "*aiding and abetting*" and who are also guilty of crimes in "*accessory after the fact*".

On Tuesday when the post office opened up again, I retrieved two boxes you returned to me with my previously filed "Petition for Rehearing..." sent in a notarized original and a quantity of ten (10) copies on the above referenced cases, with each carrying a cover page clearly marked in reference to these three cases, and each containing the very same Table of Contents, Questions Presented, List of Parties, Table of Cited Authorities, Jurisdictional Statement, Facts, Arguments, and Requests for Relief. As you should be aware, all three cases – two Petitions for Certiorari and one Petition for Writ of Mandamus – offered a broad coverage of information showing due process and other constitutional violations as well history of crimes against many of the very same individuals and pertaining to not only me but also to my dependent child.

When I opened those boxes I again discovered that you, "*S. Elliot*", and/or other "*agents*" of the Supreme Court had mistreated and damaged my original

documents. I again found many unbound documents with broken or carelessly applied bindings, with folded and bent pages, dirty pages, pages out of order and mixed up with other submissions, with torn appendix tabs, etc. The cover letter that you sent with these documents stated that I should file “*separate petitions for rehearing for each certiorari petition*”, and to “*resubmit one copy of each for rehearing*”. Aside from replacing and reorganizing the documents you and your agents damaged, this meant doing nothing more than changing the cover page to reflect EACH of the separate “*petitions*” rather than ALL of the petitions, and sending the very same documents in a quantity of three rather than eleven.

I believe your actions to have been completely unnecessary since you had ample documents in your possession, with ample information for the Supreme Court justices to understand the purpose and content of my three petitions. This was a clear misuse of “*color*” of discretion and procedure to once again cost me more money, to obstruct my efforts at a resolve of these reported government crimes, and to deter me as a “*pro per*” and “*forma pauperis*” litigant by threat that if I did not comply with your demand for the resubmission of my documents in “*corrected form within 15 days of the date of this letter, the petition will not be filed*”. It is therefore clear to me that my previous letter of 8/17/11 did nothing to dissuade you from causing me further damage and an “*obstruction*” of the justice that I otherwise expect, paid for as an American taxpayer, and otherwise demand from my public servants. Your actions have again caused me damage.

I have replaced pages and divider tabs, and have reorganized all of the pages of these documents and have enclosed them according to your instructions with separate cover pages tailored specifically for EACH case. In accordance with my previous experience in your telling me not to change anything in the content of my filings, I have not changed anything beyond the cover page of my filings. Everything therefore is still the same as it was when I sent it to you before in a quantity of eleven. In accordance with your instructions, I have provided you with one copy for each of the two petitions concerning the two denied petitions for “*certiorari*”. In addition, I have enclosed my “*notarized original*” behind the cover page for the one petition concerning the denied petition for “*mandamus*”.

I have also enclosed a 6-page, 26-numbered paragraphed “*Statute Staple Securities Instrument – Legal Notice and Demand*” and accompanying 7-page 73-numbered paragraphed “*Legal Notice and Demand Definitions*” outlining the value of the damages I have clearly claimed in the other numerous documents I have filed with your office, to include my notice of damages inflicted upon me by your office and each and every one of the justices of the United States Supreme Court. The enclosed documents simply provide all of you with the good faith opportunity to turn all of this “*miscarriage of justice*” around.

Again, I ask that you instruct your staff to handle these documents carefully and respectfully since, as shown to be already by your previous mishandlings, these filings are vulnerable to abuses. Note that this cover letter constitutes “*proof of service*” of these documents upon you and each of the Supreme Court members.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "David Charles Hopewell".

Attachments by inclusion in two (2) boxes shipped on the today's date:

- 1 notarized original in reference to "*mandamus*" and 2 copies of the same in reference to "*certiorari*", each referencing one of three different case numbers.
- 6-page, 26-numbered paragraphed "*Statute Staple Securities Instrument – Legal Notice and Demand*" and accompanying 7-page 73-numbered paragraphed "*Legal Notice and Demand Definitions*"

English

Customer Service

USPS Mobile

Register / Sign In



Search USPS.com or Track Packages

Quick Tools

Ship a Package

Send Mail

Manage Your Mail

Shop

Business Solutions

Track & Confirm

GET EMAIL UPDATES

PRINT DETAILS

YOUR LABEL NUMBER

7010187000074993066

SERVICE

Priority Mail®

STATUS OF YOUR ITEM

Delivered

DATE & TIME

January 04, 2012, 11:07 am

LOCATION

WASHINGTON, DC 20543

FEATURES

Expected Delivery By:
January 3, 2012
Certified Mail™

Notice Left (No
Authorized Recipient
Available)

January 04, 2012, 11:01 am

WASHINGTON, DC 20543

Arrival at Unit

January 04, 2012, 10:56 am

WASHINGTON, DC 20022

Processed at USPS
Origin Sort Facility

January 02, 2012, 4:44 am

WASHINGTON, DC 20066

Acceptance

December 30, 2011, 5:19 pm

NOVI, MI 48376

Check on Another Item

What's your label (or receipt) number?

Find

LEGAL

Privacy Policy
Terms of Use
FOIA
No FEAR Act EEO Data

ON USPS.COM

Government Services
Buy Stamps & Shop
Print a Label with Postage
Customer Service
Site Index

ON ABOUT.USPS.COM

About USPS Home
Newsroom
Mail Service Updates
Forms & Publications
Careers

OTHER USPS SITES

Business Customer Gateway
Postal Inspectors
Inspector General
Postal Explorer

Copyright© 2012 USPS. All Rights Reserved.

7010 1870 0000 7499 3066

U.S. Postal Service™ CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

WASHINGTON, DC 20543

Postage \$10.95

Certified Fee \$2.85

Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) \$0.00

Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) \$0.00

Total Postage & Fees \$13.80

0376

05

Postmark Here

DEC 30 2011

12/30/2011

48376 USPS

Sent To: William Suter, Clerk of the Court
Street, Apt. No.: Supreme Court of the U.S., 1 First St., NE
or PO Box No.:
City, State, ZIP+4: Washington, DC 20543

PS Form 3800, August 2006

See Reverse for Instructions